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## SECTION I - DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

## Population

Table 1
Historical Population - 1900 to 2017 (Polk County)

| Year | Population | \# <br> Change | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 0 0}$ | 17,801 | - | - |
| 1910 | 21,367 | 3,566 | $20.0 \%$ |
| 1920 | 26,870 | 5,503 | $25.8 \%$ |
| 1930 | 26,567 | -303 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| 1940 | 26,197 | -370 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| 1950 | 24,944 | $-1,253$ | $-4.8 \%$ |
| 1960 | 24,968 | 24 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 1970 | 26,666 | 1,698 | $6.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | 32,351 | 5,685 | $21.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 34,773 | 2,422 | $7.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 41,319 | 6,546 | $18.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 44,205 | 2,886 | $7.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 43,328 | 877 | $-2.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 2
Historical Population Change - 1960 to 2017 (Polk County and Surrounding Counties)

|  | 1960 to 1970 |  | 1970 to 1980 |  | 1980 to 1990 |  | 1990 to 2000 |  | 2000 to 2010 |  | 2010 to 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Barron | -315 | -0.9\% | 4,775 | 14.1\% | 2,020 | 5.2\% | 4,213 | 10.3\% | 907 | 2.0\% | -512 | -1.1\% |
| Burnett | 62 | 0.7\% | 3,064 | 33.0\% | 744 | 6.0\% | 2,590 | 19.8\% | -217 | -1.4\% | -218 | -1.4\% |
| Chippewa | 2,621 | 5.8\% | 4,410 | 9.2\% | 233 | 0.4\% | 2,835 | 5.4\% | 7,220 | 13.1\% | 1,030 | 1.7\% |
| Dunn | 2,998 | 11.5\% | 5,160 | 17.7\% | 1,595 | 4.7\% | 3,949 | 11.0\% | 3,999 | 10.0\% | 403 | 0.9\% |
| Polk | 1,698 | 6.8\% | 5,685 | 21.3\% | 2,422 | 7.5\% | 6,546 | 18.8\% | 2,886 | 7.0\% | -877 | -2.0\% |
| Rusk | -556 | -3.8\% | 1,351 | 9.5\% | -510 | -3.3\% | 268 | 1.8\% | -592 | -3.9\% | -544 | -3.7\% |
| Sawyer | 195 | 2.1\% | 3,173 | 32.8\% | 1,338 | 10.4\% | 2,015 | 14.2\% | 361 | 2.2\% | -173 | -1.0\% |
| St. Croix | 5,190 | 17.8\% | 8,908 | 25.9\% | 6,989 | 16.2\% | 12,904 | 25.7\% | 21,190 | 33.6\% | 2,797 | 3.3\% |
| Washburn | 300 | 2.9\% | 2,573 | 24.3\% | 598 | 4.5\% | 2,264 | 16.4\% | -125 | -0.8\% | -273 | -1.7\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 3
Historical Population and Population Change - 1980-2017 (Polk County Minor Civil Divisions (MCDs))

|  | Year |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | '80-'90 | '90-'00 | '00-10 | '10-'17 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 1,862 | 2,133 | 2,615 | 2,786 | 2,745 | 14.6 | 22.6 | 6.5 | -1.5 |
| Apple River | 819 | 815 | 1,067 | 1,146 | 1,074 | -0.5 | 30.9 | 7.4 | -6.3 |
| Balsam Lake | 960 | 1,067 | 1,384 | 1,411 | 1,588 | 11.1 | 29.7 | 2.0 | 12.5 |
| Beaver | 755 | 663 | 753 | 835 | 765 | -12.2 | 13.6 | 10.9 | -8.4 |
| Black Brook | 949 | 964 | 1,208 | 1,325 | 1,422 | 1.6 | 25.3 | 9.7 | 7.3 |
| Bone Lake | 466 | 503 | 710 | 717 | 666 | 7.9 | 41.2 | 1.0 | -7.1 |
| Clam Falls | 614 | 596 | 547 | 596 | 578 | -2.9 | -8.2 | 9.0 | -3.0 |
| Clayton | 789 | 780 | 912 | 975 | 1,010 | -1.1 | 16.9 | 6.9 | 3.6 |
| Clear Lake | 777 | 744 | 800 | 899 | 816 | -4.2 | 7.5 | 12.4 | -9.2 |
| Eureka | 1,135 | 1,201 | 1,338 | 1,649 | 1,649 | 5.8 | 11.4 | 23.2 | 0.0 |
| Farmington | 1,195 | 1,267 | 1,625 | 1,836 | 1,603 | 6.0 | 28.3 | 13.0 | -12.7 |
| Garfield | 1,010 | 1,107 | 1,443 | 1,692 | 1,562 | 9.6 | 30.4 | 17.3 | -7.7 |
| Georgetown | 746 | 780 | 1,004 | 977 | 936 | 4.6 | 28.7 | -2.7 | -4.2 |
| Johnstown | 401 | 410 | 520 | 534 | 563 | 2.2 | 26.8 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| Laketown | 909 | 921 | 918 | 961 | 881 | 1.3 | -0.3 | 4.7 | -8.3 |
| Lincoln | 1,683 | 1,835 | 2,304 | 2,208 | 2,037 | 9.0 | 25.6 | -4.2 | -7.7 |
| Lorain | 280 | 299 | 328 | 284 | 286 | 6.8 | 9.7 | -13.4 | 0.7 |
| Luck | 863 | 880 | 881 | 930 | 942 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 1.3 |
| McKinley | 337 | 327 | 328 | 347 | 290 | -3.0 | 0.3 | 5.8 | -16.4 |
| Milltown | 943 | 949 | 1,146 | 1,226 | 1,234 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 7.0 | 0.7 |
| Osceola | 1,066 | 1,337 | 2,085 | 2,855 | 2,840 | 25.4 | 55.9 | 36.9 | -0.5 |
| St. Croix Falls | 873 | 1,034 | 1,119 | 1,165 | 1,319 | 18.4 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 13.2 |
| Sterling | 497 | 591 | 724 | 790 | 689 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 9.1 | -12.8 |
| West Sweden | 718 | 682 | 731 | 699 | 867 | -5.0 | 7.2 | -4.4 | 24.0 |
| Subtotal: | 20,647 | 21,885 | 26,490 | 28,843 | 28,362 | 6.0 | 21.0 | 8.9 | -1.7 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 749 | 792 | 950 | 1,009 | 758 | 5.7 | 19.9 | 6.2 | -24.9 |
| Centuria | 711 | 790 | 865 | 948 | 1,024 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 8.0 |
| Clayton | 425 | 425 | 507 | 571 | 555 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 12.6 | -2.8 |
| Clear Lake | 899 | 932 | 1,051 | 1,070 | 1,045 | 3.7 | 12.8 | 1.8 | -2.3 |
| Dresser | 670 | 614 | 732 | 895 | 917 | -8.4 | 19.2 | 22.3 | 2.5 |
| Frederic | 1,039 | 1,124 | 1,262 | 1,137 | 983 | 8.2 | 12.3 | -9.9 | -13.5 |
| Luck | 997 | 1,022 | 1,210 | 1,119 | 1,138 | 2.5 | 18.4 | -7.5 | 1.7 |
| Milltown | 732 | 786 | 888 | 917 | 1,205 | 7.4 | 13.0 | 3.3 | 31.4 |
| Osceola | 1,581 | 2,075 | 2,421 | 2,568 | 2,499 | 31.2 | 16.7 | 6.1 | -2.7 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 6 | 65 | 93 | 91 | 0.0 | 983.3 | 43.1 | -2.2 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 691 | 811 | 1,000 | 957 | 836 |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal: | 8,494 | 9,377 | 10,951 | 11,284 | 11,051 | 10.4 | 16.8 | 3.0 | -2.1 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 2,404 | 2,657 | 2,845 | 2,902 | 2,833 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 2.0 | -2.4 |
| St. Croix Falls | 1,497 | 1,640 | 2,033 | 2,133 | 1,918 | 9.6 | 24.0 | 4.9 | -10.1 |
| Subtotal: | 3,901 | 4,297 | 4,878 | 5,035 | 4,751 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 3.2 | -5.6 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 32,351 | 34,773 | 41,319 | 44,205 | 43,328 | 7.5 | 18.8 | 7.0 | -2.0 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 4
Components of Population Change 1950 to 2017 (Polk County)

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Births | 5,382 | 4,603 | 4,481 | 5,150 | 4,565 | 4,966 | 2,880 |
| Deaths | 2,519 | 2,739 | 3,183 | 3,424 | 3,782 | 4,072 | 2,846 |
| Total Natural Increase | 2,863 | 1,864 | 1,298 | 1,726 | 783 | 894 | 34 |
| Natural Increase Rate | $11.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Net Migration | $-2,839$ | -166 | 4,387 | 696 | 5,763 | 1,992 | -71 |
| Net Migration Rate | $-11.4 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Total Population Change | 24 | 1698 | 5,685 | 2,422 | 6,546 | 2,886 | -37 |
| Percent Population Change | $0.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ |

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health and Human Services and Wisconsin Demographic Services Center

## Age

Table 5
Age Distributions - 2017- (Polk County MCDs)

|  | \% <br> Under 5 years | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 5 \text { to } 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 10 \text { to } 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 15 \text { to } 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 20 \text { to } 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 35 \text { to } 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 51 \text { to } 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 65 \text { to } 84 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 2.4\% | 5.2\% | 7.8\% | 10.3\% | 9.6\% | 24.0\% | 24.8\% | 15.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Apple River | 2.1\% | 6.6\% | 6.3\% | 6.0\% | 16.9\% | 20.9\% | 26.0\% | 14.1\% | 1.2\% |
| Balsam Lake | 7.1\% | 3.7\% | 7.1\% | 5.2\% | 11.7\% | 18.1\% | 25.9\% | 20.2\% | 1.2\% |
| Beaver | 3.3\% | 4.1\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 8.9\% | 17.5\% | 31.2\% | 17.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Black Brook | 3.4\% | 5.9\% | 6.7\% | 7.0\% | 16.3\% | 22.4\% | 28.3\% | 9.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Bone Lake | 3.8\% | 6.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.9\% | 9.9\% | 16.1\% | 32.7\% | 19.1\% | 1.2\% |
| Clam Falls | 6.4\% | 6.6\% | 7.6\% | 3.3\% | 9.7\% | 13.0\% | 29.1\% | 23.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Clayton | 3.4\% | 5.1\% | 8.4\% | 5.7\% | 17.7\% | 14.9\% | 26.3\% | 17.8\% | 0.6\% |
| Clear Lake | 6.7\% | 6.9\% | 5.9\% | 4.8\% | 16.5\% | 22.7\% | 22.2\% | 13.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Eureka | 5.3\% | 8.3\% | 6.1\% | 4.6\% | 14.3\% | 16.9\% | 28.9\% | 14.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Farmington | 5.1\% | 5.6\% | 5.2\% | 5.4\% | 19.2\% | 20.1\% | 24.0\% | 13.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Garfield | 6.0\% | 6.2\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 18.1\% | 20.6\% | 24.3\% | 16.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Georgetown | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.3\% | 7.1\% | 15.7\% | 30.4\% | 30.2\% | 1.2\% |
| Johnstown | 2.1\% | 8.9\% | 5.5\% | 6.4\% | 11.9\% | 15.8\% | 24.2\% | 22.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Laketown | 6.1\% | 3.3\% | 1.6\% | 4.0\% | 11.5\% | 12.0\% | 30.9\% | 30.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Lincoln | 5.0\% | 4.4\% | 5.5\% | 6.0\% | 13.1\% | 18.7\% | 23.8\% | 22.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Lorain | 2.8\% | 3.8\% | 7.3\% | 5.2\% | 22.7\% | 10.1\% | 28.3\% | 17.1\% | 2.4\% |
| Luck | 3.2\% | 4.8\% | 5.8\% | 7.1\% | 9.3\% | 14.6\% | 35.5\% | 17.9\% | 1.7\% |
| McKinley | 6.2\% | 7.2\% | 6.6\% | 2.1\% | 14.8\% | 10.3\% | 29.3\% | 19.7\% | 3.8\% |
| Milltown | 7.3\% | 5.6\% | 4.2\% | 5.8\% | 12.7\% | 19.1\% | 29.3\% | 15.4\% | 0.6\% |
| Osceola | 4.4\% | 6.4\% | 9.9\% | 6.8\% | 13.4\% | 28.1\% | 20.2\% | 10.3\% | 0.7\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 5.8\% | 6.1\% | 6.1\% | 5.7\% | 14.5\% | 18.6\% | 26.6\% | 16.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Sterling | 5.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 5.1\% | 10.3\% | 22.9\% | 26.1\% | 15.2\% | 1.3\% |
| West Sweden | 4.6\% | 5.7\% | 10.3\% | 5.7\% | 16.1\% | 14.2\% | 29.8\% | 11.8\% | 2.0\% |

Table 5 Continued
Age Distributions - 2017- (Polk County MCDs)

|  | $\%$ <br> Under 5 years | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 5 \text { to } 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 10 \text { to } 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 15 \text { to } 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 20 \text { to } 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 35 \text { to } 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 51 \text { to } 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 65 \text { to } 84 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 2.8\% | 4.2\% | 6.9\% | 2.8\% | 17.4\% | 13.1\% | 30.6\% | 17.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Centuria | 10.8\% | 11.9\% | 11.2\% | 4.1\% | 21.9\% | 16.1\% | 12.6\% | 10.9\% | 0.4\% |
| Clayton | 5.2\% | 12.4\% | 10.3\% | 6.3\% | 21.6\% | 16.6\% | 21.3\% | 5.9\% | 0.4\% |
| Clear Lake | 4.1\% | 7.4\% | 7.9\% | 5.2\% | 14.2\% | 20.0\% | 23.3\% | 14.5\% | 3.4\% |
| Dresser | 6.2\% | 7.1\% | 7.6\% | 7.4\% | 18.6\% | 20.2\% | 21.5\% | 9.8\% | 1.5\% |
| Frederic | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | 5.2\% | 14.9\% | 14.4\% | 20.0\% | 20.3\% | 7.3\% |
| Luck | 3.3\% | 7.0\% | 6.3\% | 4.6\% | 16.3\% | 12.9\% | 22.1\% | 21.0\% | 6.5\% |
| Milltown | 7.6\% | 8.9\% | 5.4\% | 6.8\% | 19.4\% | 17.8\% | 19.9\% | 13.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Osceola | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 9.3\% | 18.1\% | 19.4\% | 22.3\% | 10.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 2.2\% | 34.1\% | 20.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 6.6\% | 6.6\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 5.7\% | 8.1\% | 6.2\% | 2.8\% | 20.6\% | 13.6\% | 20.5\% | 20.3\% | 2.2\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 6.2\% | 6.9\% | 6.5\% | 4.8\% | 18.8\% | 12.7\% | 12.9\% | 19.9\% | 11.3\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 6.8\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 5.2\% | 17.2\% | 11.6\% | 23.8\% | 21.9\% | 6.2\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 6.0\% | 15.0\% | 18.2\% | 24.2\% | 16.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Wisconsin | 5.9\% | 6.3\% | 6.4\% | 6.6\% | 19.6\% | 18.5\% | 21.1\% | 13.4\% | 2.2\% |
| United States | 6.2\% | 6.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% | 20.7\% | 19.2\% | 19.6\% | 13.0\% | 1.9\% |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 6

| Median Age - 2000 to $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |
| \# Change | \% Change |  |  |  |  |  |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 38.4 | 42.4 | 45.9 | 7.5 | $19.5 \%$ |  |
| Apple River | 38.9 | 43.6 | 44.7 | 5.8 | $14.9 \%$ |  |
| Balsam Lake | 38.8 | 47.0 | 47.8 | 9.0 | $23.2 \%$ |  |
| Beaver | 40.2 | 44.5 | 50.1 | 9.9 | $24.6 \%$ |  |
| Black Brook | 35.6 | 42.9 | 43.0 | 7.4 | $20.8 \%$ |  |
| Bone Lake | 39.8 | 46.3 | 51.0 | 11.2 | $28.1 \%$ |  |
| Clam Falls | 44.0 | 48.1 | 52.3 | 8.3 | $18.9 \%$ |  |
| Clayton | 39.4 | 41.8 | 45.3 | 5.9 | $15.0 \%$ |  |
| Clear Lake | 35.4 | 38.1 | 41.7 | 6.3 | $17.8 \%$ |  |
| Eureka | 38.6 | 43.3 | 47.7 | 9.1 | $23.6 \%$ |  |
| Farmington | 34.4 | 38.1 | 42.8 | 8.4 | $24.4 \%$ |  |
| Garfield | 37.4 | 41.3 | 45.3 | 7.9 | $21.1 \%$ |  |
| Georgetown | 45.8 | 51.6 | 56.4 | 10.6 | $23.1 \%$ |  |
| Johnstown | 41.7 | 44.5 | 49.0 | 7.3 | $17.5 \%$ |  |
| Laketown | 40.9 | 45.4 | 56.2 | 15.3 | $37.4 \%$ |  |
| Lincoln | 40.3 | 46.6 | 47.3 | 7.0 | $17.4 \%$ |  |

Table 6 Continued

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# Change | \% Change |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lorain | 38.4 | 46.6 | 46.4 | 8.0 | 20.8\% |
| Luck | 39.8 | 46.4 | 52.9 | 13.1 | 32.9\% |
| McKinley | 44.0 | 50.2 | 51.6 | 7.6 | 17.3\% |
| Milltown | 40.4 | 46.5 | 46.6 | 6.2 | 15.3\% |
| Osceola | 35.5 | 38.7 | 41.2 | 5.7 | 16.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 39.2 | 44.7 | 45.1 | 5.9 | 15.1\% |
| Sterling | 37.2 | 45.2 | 47.3 | 10.1 | 27.2\% |
| West Sweden | 41.1 | 46.9 | 44.1 | 3.0 | 7.3\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 45.5 | 45.0 | 52.1 | 6.6 | 14.5\% |
| Centuria | 34.6 | 34.9 | 29.2 | -5.4 | -15.6\% |
| Clayton | 29.8 | 29.1 | 32.7 | 2.9 | 9.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 39.4 | 37.9 | 42.7 | 3.3 | 8.4\% |
| Dresser | 35.1 | 34.0 | 36.6 | 1.5 | 4.3\% |
| Frederic | 43.6 | 41.4 | 45.8 | 2.2 | 5.0\% |
| Luck | 40.0 | 45.6 | 49.8 | 9.8 | 24.5\% |
| Milltown | 39.9 | 39.6 | 36.0 | -3.9 | -9.8\% |
| Osceola | 32.8 | 36.4 | 38.2 | 5.4 | 16.5\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 21.5 | 31.5 | 37.2 | 15.7 | 73.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 38.4 | 39.4 | 39.6 | 1.2 | 3.1\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 44.6 | 45.1 | 41.4 | -3.2 | -7.2\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 40.7 | 44.3 | 50.6 | 9.9 | 24.3\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 38.7 | 42.5 | 44.8 | 6.1 | 15.8\% |
| Wisconsin | 36.0 | 38.5 | 39.2 | 3.2 | 8.9\% |
| United States | 35.3 | 37.2 | 37.8 | 2.5 | 7.1\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## Households

Table 7
Average Household Size - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# Change | \% Change |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 2.69 | 2.63 | 2.51 | -0.18 | -6.7\% |
| Apple River | 2.55 | 2.48 | 2.40 | -0.15 | -5.9\% |
| Balsam Lake | 2.61 | 2.48 | 2.64 | 0.03 | 1.1\% |
| Beaver | 2.48 | 2.45 | 2.44 | -0.04 | -1.6\% |
| Black Brook | 2.88 | 2.61 | 2.39 | -0.49 | -17.0\% |
| Bone Lake | 2.69 | 2.51 | 2.34 | -0.35 | -13.0\% |
| Clam Falls | 2.31 | 2.34 | 2.27 | -0.04 | -1.7\% |
| Clayton | 2.53 | 2.51 | 2.40 | -0.13 | -5.1\% |
| Clear Lake | 2.90 | 2.72 | 2.64 | -0.26 | -9.0\% |
| Eureka | 2.66 | 2.57 | 2.43 | -0.23 | -8.6\% |
| Farmington | 3.10 | 2.80 | 2.48 | -0.62 | -20.0\% |
| Garfield | 2.73 | 2.72 | 2.48 | -0.25 | -9.2\% |
| Georgetown | 2.43 | 2.28 | 2.05 | -0.38 | -15.6\% |
| Johnstown | 2.56 | 2.57 | 2.44 | -0.12 | -4.7\% |
| Laketown | 2.62 | 2.42 | 2.50 | -0.12 | -4.6\% |
| Lincoln | 2.67 | 2.50 | 2.39 | -0.28 | -10.5\% |
| Lorain | 2.80 | 2.41 | 2.38 | -0.42 | -15.0\% |
| Luck | 2.60 | 2.42 | 2.28 | -0.32 | -12.3\% |
| McKinley | 2.52 | 2.33 | 2.38 | -0.14 | -5.6\% |
| Milltown | 2.60 | 2.49 | 2.47 | -0.13 | -5.0\% |
| Osceola | 2.80 | 2.72 | 2.67 | -0.13 | -4.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 2.66 | 2.53 | 2.61 | -0.05 | -1.9\% |
| Sterling | 2.59 | 2.36 | 2.13 | -0.46 | -17.8\% |
| West Sweden | 2.56 | 2.41 | 2.71 | 0.15 | 5.9\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 2.10 | 2.18 | 2.02 | -0.08 | -3.8\% |
| Centuria | 2.45 | 2.37 | 2.56 | 0.11 | 4.5\% |
| Clayton | 2.55 | 2.94 | 2.61 | 0.06 | 2.4\% |
| Clear Lake | 2.30 | 2.27 | 2.14 | -0.16 | -7.0\% |
| Dresser | 2.42 | 2.76 | 2.29 | -0.13 | -5.4\% |
| Frederic | 2.15 | 2.13 | 1.99 | -0.16 | -7.4\% |
| Luck | 2.27 | 2.21 | 2.07 | -0.20 | -8.8\% |
| Milltown | 2.11 | 2.03 | 2.33 | 0.22 | 10.4\% |
| Osceola | 2.38 | 2.18 | 2.29 | -0.09 | -3.8\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 2.60 | 2.24 | 2.76 | 0.16 | 6.2\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 2.22 | 2.29 | 2.02 | -0.2 | -9.0\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 2.17 | 2.14 | 2.20 | 0.03 | 1.4\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 2.22 | 2.14 | 1.89 | -0.33 | -14.9\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 2.51 | 2.43 | 2.35 | -0.16 | -6.4\% |
| Wisconsin | 2.50 | 2.43 | 2.41 | -0.09 | -3.6\% |
| United States | 2.59 | 2.58 | 2.63 | 0.04 | 1.5\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 8
Household Type - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | \% Family Households | \% of total households with individuals under 18 years | \% 1-person households | \% of households with nonfamily householder not living alone | \% of total households with nonfamily householder 65 years and older living alone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 77.2 | 35.8 | 17.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| 2010 | 75.3 | 31.7 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| 2017 | 73.1 | 32.3 | 19.6 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
| Apple River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 74.2 | 35.2 | 19.6 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
| 2010 | 71.0 | 30.5 | 23.7 | 5.3 | 8.1 |
| 2017 | 71.2 | 29.5 | 20.3 | 8.6 | 5.4 |
| Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.0 | 36.1 | 19.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| 2010 | 75.7 | 26.8 | 19.5 | 4.8 | 7.0 |
| 2017 | 80.4 | 25.3 | 16.3 | 3.3 | 6.8 |
| Beaver |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 71.7 | 30.6 | 23.7 | 4.6 | 7.9 |
| 2010 | 71.6 | 29.0 | 22.9 | 5.5 | 7.9 |
| 2017 | 69.7 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 5.1 | 9.2 |
| Black Brook |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 78.0 | 42.2 | 16.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| 2010 | 73.8 | 34.4 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| 2017 | 72.1 | 33.7 | 25.2 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| Bone Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 79.9 | 34.8 | 15.9 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| 2010 | 73.4 | 30.8 | 22.4 | 4.2 | 8.0 |
| 2017 | 73.0 | 26.0 | 22.1 | 4.9 | 8.1 |
| Clam Falls |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 70.5 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 2.5 | 12.2 |
| 2010 | 66.7 | 25.1 | 27.8 | 5.5 | 11.8 |
| 2017 | 65.5 | 18.4 | 32.2 | 2.4 | 14.9 |
| Clayton |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 73.1 | 34.2 | 23.3 | 3.6 | 7.5 |
| 2010 | 72.7 | 32.2 | 21.9 | 5.4 | 7.2 |
| 2017 | 67.0 | 28.5 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 7.4 |
| Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.8 | 40.2 | 17.0 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| 2010 | 78.2 | 39.1 | 17.9 | 3.9 | 6.6 |
| 2017 | 79.6 | 34.0 | 15.5 | 4.9 | 7.4 |
| Eureka |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 73.0 | 34.2 | 19.3 | 7.7 | 6.4 |
| 2010 | 76.2 | 32.4 | 17.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 2017 | 72.5 | 27.1 | 24.4 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| Farmington |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 81.9 | 47.6 | 15.0 | 3.1 | 4.4 |
| 2010 | 75.8 | 37.0 | 16.1 | 8.1 | 5.5 |
| 2017 | 74.7 | 30.6 | 18.4 | 6.9 | 8.1 |
| Garfield |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 77.1 | 36.7 | 16.6 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
| 2010 | 79.3 | 35.2 | 16.6 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| 2017 | 74.8 | 27.6 | 17.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 |


| Table 8 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Type - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Family Households | \% of total households with individuals under 18 years | \% 1-person households | \% of households with nonfamily householder not living alone | \% of total households with nonfamily householder 65 years and older living alone |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgetown |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 69.1 | 27.9 | 27.3 | 3.6 | 13.9 |
| 2010 | 69.5 | 20.7 | 25.6 | 4.9 | 13.0 |
| 2017 | 61.4 | 14.5 | 32.4 | 6.1 | 20.4 |
| Johnstown |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 75.9 | 32.0 | 21.7 | 2.4 | 7.9 |
| 2010 | 76.9 | 30.8 | 18.8 | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| 2017 | 73.2 | 27.3 | 22.9 | 3.9 | 11.7 |
| Laketown |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 74.9 | 33.4 | 20.9 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| 2010 | 70.8 | 26.2 | 25.7 | 3.5 | 6.3 |
| 2017 | 74.9 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 7.0 | 9.3 |
| Lincoln |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 77.7 | 36.2 | 17.6 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| 2010 | 74.7 | 27.0 | 19.7 | 5.6 | 8.2 |
| 2017 | 74.3 | 24.6 | 21.3 | 4.5 | 9.8 |
| Lorain |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.1 | 35.0 | 22.2 | 1.7 | 8.5 |
| 2010 | 72.0 | 27.1 | 25.4 | 2.6 | 14.4 |
| 2017 | 80.0 | 27.5 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Luck |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 74.3 | 34.5 | 22.4 | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| 2010 | 71.6 | 27.3 | 22.7 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| 2017 | 68.3 | 18.2 | 25.9 | 5.8 | 3.6 |
| McKinley |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.9 | 26.9 | 20.8 | 2.3 | 5.4 |
| 2010 | 71.1 | 25.5 | 22.8 | 6.1 | 4.0 |
| 2017 | 70.5 | 27.9 | 22.1 | 7.4 | 8.2 |
| Milltown |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.2 | 33.8 | 17.9 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| 2010 | 73.8 | 29.0 | 20.1 | 6.1 | 7.5 |
| 2017 | 73.4 | 31.4 | 21.2 | 5.4 | 7.4 |
| Osceola |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 80.2 | 43.0 | 15.3 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| 2010 | 77.2 | 41.6 | 18.1 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| 2017 | 77.8 | 39.6 | 15.9 | 6.3 | 5.2 |
| St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 73.6 | 37.9 | 21.4 | 5.0 | 6.7 |
| 2010 | 77.4 | 30.2 | 17.6 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| 2017 | 76.8 | 26.9 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 5.3 |
| Sterling |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 72.1 | 36.4 | 21.8 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| 2010 | 66.3 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| 2017 | 57.1 | 26.5 | 40.4 | 2.5 | 13.9 |
| West Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 75.1 | 34.4 | 21.4 | 3.5 | 7.7 |
| 2010 | 72.8 | 25.9 | 21.4 | 5.8 | 8.6 |
| 2017 | 74.1 | 33.1 | 18.8 | 7.2 | 9.4 |


| Table 8 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Type - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Family Households | \% of total households with individuals under 18 years | \% 1-person households | \% of households with nonfamily householder not living alone | \% of total households with nonfamily householder 65 years and older living alone |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 61.9 | 23.1 | 32.7 | 5.4 | 15.2 |
| 2010 | 59.2 | 22.8 | 35.9 | 4.8 | 17.3 |
| 2017 | 59.7 | 20.0 | 34.2 | 6.2 | 10.8 |
| Centuria |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 63.8 | 35.6 | 30.6 | 5.5 | 12.2 |
| 2010 | 54.8 | 30.9 | 35.7 | 9.2 | 16.6 |
| 2017 | 59.8 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 |
| Clayton |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 63.3 | 42.2 | 29.1 | 7.5 | 14.1 |
| 2010 | 71.6 | 48.6 | 24.0 | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| 2017 | 71.4 | 45.5 | 22.5 | 6.1 | 3.8 |
| Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 57.8 | 29.8 | 36.0 | 6.2 | 23.6 |
| 2010 | 61.0 | 30.5 | 33.6 | 5.0 | 14.4 |
| 2017 | 61.4 | 26.4 | 43.4 | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| Dresser |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 68.5 | 34.1 | 24.8 | 6.6 | 7.6 |
| 2010 | 64.0 | 37.4 | 27.1 | 8.9 | 10.2 |
| 2017 | 60.5 | 35.8 | 31.8 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| Frederic |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 53.5 | 24.8 | 42.2 | 4.3 | 25.2 |
| 2010 | 55.2 | 26.8 | 38.9 | 5.8 | 20.5 |
| 2017 | 52.6 | 24.8 | 42.2 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| Luck |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 61.8 | 31.2 | 32.2 | 6.0 | 17.0 |
| 2010 | 60.8 | 28.0 | 33.5 | 5.7 | 17.17 |
| 2017 | 52.9 | 23.4 | 38.8 | 8.5 | 12.8 |
| Milltown |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 56.0 | 26.7 | 38.6 | 5.5 | 21.0 |
| 2010 | 57.0 | 31.0 | 36.3 | 6.7 | 15.4 |
| 2017 | 55.8 | 31.1 | 37.7 | 6.4 | 8.6 |
| Osceola |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 61.4 | 38.4 | 31.1 | 7.3 | 10.5 |
| 2010 | 57.8 | 32.7 | 36.0 | 6.2 | 11.5 |
| 2017 | 64.7 | 50.6 | 29.1 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron Co.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 55.6 | 32.2 | 39.3 | 5.1 | 16.9 |
| 2010 | 50.8 | 25.1 | 41.8 | 7.5 | 19.1 |
| 2017 | 18.4 | 16.5 | 49.2 | 5.3 | 12.1 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk Co.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 76.0 | 48.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 |
| 2010 | 67.6 | 40.5 | 29.7 | 2.7 | 18.9 |
| 2017 | 81.8 | 60.6 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 |

Table 8 Continued
Household Type - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | \% Family Households | \% of total households with individuals under 18 years | \% 1-person households | \% of households with nonfamily householder not living alone | \% of total households with nonfamily householder 65 years and older living alone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 58.9 | 27.6 | 36.6 | 4.5 | 21.1 |
| 2010 | 54.8 | 26.4 | 41.1 | 4.0 | 25.2 |
| 2017 | 64.2 | 28.1 | 34.8 | 1.0 | 14.0 |
| St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 57.9 | 31.0 | 36.8 | 5.3 | 19.0 |
| 2010 | 54.0 | 26.0 | 40.2 | 5.8 | 18.8 |
| 2017 | 45.6 | 21.5 | 43.3 | 11.1 | 9.1 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 69.7 | 34.1 | 25.2 | 5.1 | 10.6 |
| 2010 | 68.1 | 30.6 | 26.3 | 5.6 | 10.9 |
| 2017 | 67.4 | 34.7 | 26.7 | 5.8 | 8.4 |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 66.5 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 6.7 | 9.9 |
| 2010 | 64.4 | 30.6 | 28.2 | 7.4 | 10.2 |
| 2017 | 63.6 | 29.1 | 29.0 | 7.4 | 6.8 |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 68.1 | 36.0 | 25.8 | 6.1 | 9.2 |
| 2010 | 66.4 | 33.4 | 26.7 | 6.8 | 9.4 |
| 2017 | 65.9 | 31.7 | 27.7 | 6.4 | 7.0 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## Population and Household Projections

## Table 9

Population Projections - 2010 to 2040 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | Census | Proj. | Proj. | Proj. | Proj. | Proj. | Proj. | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2010-2040 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 2,786 | 2,815 | 3,035 | 3,250 | 3,420 | 3,495 | 3,475 | 24.7\% |
| Apple River | 1,146 | 1,160 | 1,260 | 1,350 | 1,430 | 1,470 | 1,470 | 28.3\% |
| Balsam Lake | 1,411 | 1,405 | 1,505 | 1,590 | 1,660 | 1,680 | 1,660 | 17.6\% |
| Beaver | 835 | 845 | 930 | 1,015 | 1,085 | 1,125 | 1,140 | 36.5\% |
| Black Brook | 1,325 | 1,350 | 1,470 | 1,590 | 1,685 | 1,740 | 1,745 | 31.7\% |
| Bone Lake | 717 | 725 | 785 | 840 | 885 | 910 | 905 | 26.2\% |
| Clam Falls | 596 | 600 | 635 | 675 | 700 | 710 | 700 | 17.4\% |
| Clayton | 975 | 990 | 1,070 | 1,145 | 1,210 | 1,240 | 1,235 | 26.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 899 | 910 | 990 | 1,060 | 1,125 | 1,155 | 1,155 | 28.5\% |
| Eureka | 1,649 | 1,675 | 1,840 | 2,000 | 2,140 | 2,220 | 2,240 | 35.8\% |
| Farmington | 1,836 | 1,865 | 2,030 | 2,195 | 2,335 | 2,410 | 2,425 | 32.1\% |
| Garfield | 1,692 | 1,715 | 1,880 | 2,035 | 2,175 | 2,250 | 2,270 | 34.2\% |
| Georgetown | 977 | 985 | 1,050 | 1,110 | 1,155 | 1,170 | 1,155 | 18.2\% |
| Johnstown | 534 | 535 | 570 | 605 | 630 | 640 | 635 | 18.9\% |
| Laketown | 961 | 965 | 1,030 | 1,085 | 1,130 | 1,140 | 1,120 | 16.5\% |
| Lincoln | 2,208 | 2,170 | 2,270 | 2,355 | 2,410 | 2,395 | 2,325 | 5.3\% |
| Lorain | 284 | 275 | 280 | 285 | 285 | 280 | 265 | -6.7\% |
| Luck | 930 | 915 | 960 | 1,005 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 1,005 | 8.1\% |
| McKinley | 347 | 350 | 375 | 395 | 415 | 420 | 415 | 19.6\% |
| Milltown | 1,226 | 1,235 | 1,325 | 1,415 | 1,490 | 1,520 | 1,510 | 23.2\% |
| Osceola | 2,855 | 2,915 | 3,235 | 3,545 | 3,825 | 3,995 | 4,055 | 42.0\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 1,165 | 1,170 | 1,245 | 1,315 | 1,370 | 1,385 | 1,370 | 17.6\% |
| Sterling | 790 | 780 | 835 | 885 | 925 | 940 | 930 | 17.7\% |
| West Sweden | 699 | 685 | 715 | 740 | 750 | 740 | 715 | 2.3\% |
| Subtotal: | 28,843 | 29,035 | 31,320 | 33,485 | 35,265 | 36,060 | 35,920 | 24.5\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 1,009 | 1,005 | 1,070 | 1,135 | 1,185 | 1,200 | 1,185 | 17.4\% |
| Centuria | 948 | 930 | 985 | 1,035 | 1,070 | 1,075 | 1,055 | 11.3\% |
| Clayton | 571 | 575 | 625 | 670 | 710 | 730 | 730 | 27.8\% |
| Clear Lake | 1,070 | 1,065 | 1,130 | 1,185 | 1,225 | 1,235 | 1,210 | 13.1\% |
| Dresser | 895 | 910 | 1,000 | 1,085 | 1,160 | 1,205 | 1,215 | 35.8\% |
| Frederic | 1,137 | 1,115 | 1,155 | 1,180 | 1,190 | 1,165 | 1,110 | -2.4\% |
| Luck | 1,119 | 1,070 | 1,100 | 1,115 | 1,120 | 1,085 | 1,030 | -8.0\% |
| Milltown | 917 | 915 | 975 | 1,030 | 1,070 | 1,085 | 1,070 | 16.7\% |
| Osceola | 2,568 | 2,615 | 2,820 | 3,020 | 3,185 | 3,255 | 3,245 | 26.4\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 93 | 90 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 90 | 85 | -8.6\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 957 | 960 | 975 | 995 | 1,005 | 995 | 965 | 0.8\% |
| Subtotal: | 11,284 | 11,250 | 11,930 | 12,545 | 13,015 | 13,120 | 12,900 | 14.3\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 2,902 | 2,930 | 3,120 | 3,295 | 3,425 | 3,460 | 3,410 | 17.5\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 2,133 | 2,135 | 2,285 | 2,430 | 2,540 | 2,585 | 2,560 | 20.0\% |
| Subtotal: | 5,035 | 5,065 | 5,405 | 5,725 | 5,965 | 6,045 | 5,970 | 18.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 45,162 | 45,350 | 48,655 | 51,755 | 54,245 | 55,225 | 54,790 | 21.3\% |

[^0]Table 10

| Household Projections - 2010 to 2040 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 2010 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proj. } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | Proj. <br> 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proj. } \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | Proj. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proj. } \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proj. } \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | \% change <br> 2010-2040 |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 1,059 | 1,094 | 1,190 | 1,283 | 1,362 | 1,406 | 1,409 | 33.1\% |
| Apple River | 459 | 475 | 520 | 561 | 599 | 621 | 625 | 36.2\% |
| Balsam Lake | 568 | 579 | 625 | 665 | 700 | 716 | 713 | 25.5\% |
| Beaver | 341 | 353 | 392 | 431 | 464 | 486 | 497 | 45.7\% |
| Black Brook | 508 | 529 | 581 | 633 | 677 | 706 | 714 | 40.6\% |
| Bone Lake | 286 | 296 | 323 | 348 | 370 | 384 | 385 | 34.6\% |
| Clam Falls | 255 | 263 | 280 | 300 | 314 | 322 | 320 | 25.5\% |
| Clayton | 388 | 403 | 439 | 473 | 504 | 522 | 524 | 35.1\% |
| Clear Lake | 330 | 342 | 375 | 404 | 433 | 449 | 452 | 37.0\% |
| Eureka | 642 | 667 | 739 | 809 | 873 | 915 | 930 | 44.9\% |
| Farmington | 652 | 677 | 743 | 809 | 867 | 903 | 915 | 40.3\% |
| Garfield | 622 | 645 | 713 | 777 | 837 | 875 | 890 | 43.1\% |
| Georgetown | 429 | 442 | 476 | 506 | 531 | 544 | 541 | 26.1\% |
| Johnstown | 208 | 213 | 229 | 245 | 257 | 264 | 264 | 26.9\% |
| Laketown | 397 | 408 | 439 | 466 | 489 | 498 | 494 | 24.4\% |
| Lincoln | 884 | 889 | 937 | 980 | 1,011 | 1,015 | 993 | 12.3\% |
| Lorain | 118 | 117 | 120 | 123 | 124 | 123 | 117 | -0.8\% |
| Luck | 384 | 386 | 409 | 431 | 445 | 450 | 443 | 15.4\% |
| McKinley | 149 | 154 | 166 | 176 | 187 | 191 | 190 | 27.5\% |
| Milltown | 493 | 508 | 550 | 591 | 628 | 647 | 648 | 31.4\% |
| Osceola | 1,051 | 1,098 | 1,228 | 1,356 | 1,475 | 1,557 | 1,593 | 51.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 461 | 474 | 508 | 541 | 568 | 580 | 578 | 25.4\% |
| Sterling | 335 | 338 | 365 | 390 | 411 | 422 | 421 | 25.7\% |
| West Sweden | 290 | 291 | 306 | 319 | 326 | 325 | 316 | 9.0\% |
| Subtotal | 11,309 | 11,641 | 12,653 | 13,617 | 14,452 | 14,921 | 14,972 | 32.4\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 434 | 441 | 472 | 499 | 518 | 520 | 507 | 16.8\% |
| Centuria | 414 | 415 | 444 | 470 | 489 | 497 | 492 | 18.8\% |
| Clayton | 208 | 214 | 235 | 254 | 271 | 281 | 284 | 36.5\% |
| Clear Lake | 459 | 467 | 500 | 528 | 550 | 560 | 552 | 20.3\% |
| Dresser | 361 | 375 | 416 | 455 | 490 | 514 | 523 | 44.9\% |
| Frederic | 496 | 497 | 518 | 529 | 533 | 522 | 495 | -0.2\% |
| Luck | 475 | 464 | 480 | 487 | 489 | 474 | 448 | -5.7\% |
| Milltown | 416 | 425 | 456 | 485 | 508 | 520 | 516 | 24.0\% |
| Osceola | 1,142 | 1,190 | 1,294 | 1,395 | 1,484 | 1,532 | 1,540 | 34.9\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 37 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 36 | -2.7\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 455 | 467 | 480 | 495 | 507 | 510 | 502 | 10.3\% |
| Subtotal | 4,897 | 4,992 | 5,334 | 5,636 | 5,879 | 5,968 | 5,895 | 20.4\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 1,286 | 1,327 | 1,421 | 1,502 | 1,561 | 1,577 | 1,548 | 20.4\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 967 | 989 | 1,066 | 1,139 | 1,194 | 1,221 | 1,211 | 25.2\% |
| Subtotal | 2,253 | 2,316 | 2,487 | 2,641 | 2,755 | 2,798 | 2,759 | 22.5\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 18,459 | 18,949 | 20,474 | 21,894 | 23,086 | 23,687 | 23,626 | 28.0\% |

[^1]
## Income

Table 11
Households (HH) by Household Income - 1989 to 2017 (Polk County)

|  | 1989 |  | 1999 |  | 2010 |  | 2017 |  | 1999-2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Income | \# of HH | \% of HH | \# of HH | \% of HH | \# of HH | \% of HH | \# of HH | \% of HH | \# Change | \% Change |
| Less than \$10,000 | 2,320 | 17.8\% | 1,291 | 7.9\% | 972 | 5.3\% | 725 | 4.0\% | -566 | -43.8\% |
| $\$ 10,000 \text { to }$ $\$ 14,999$ | 1,581 | 12.1\% | 1,017 | 6.2\% | 930 | 5.1\% | 845 | 4.6\% | -172 | -16.9\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 24,999 \end{aligned}$ | 2,790 | 21.4\% | 2,169 | 13.3\% | 2,046 | 11.3\% | 2,125 | 11.7\% | -44 | -2.0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 34,999 \end{aligned}$ | 2,186 | 16.8\% | 2,306 | 14.1\% | 2,240 | 12.3\% | 1,991 | 10.9\% | -315 | -13.7\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | 2,107 | 16.2\% | 3,126 | 19.2\% | 2,932 | 16.1\% | 2,788 | 15.3\% | -338 | -10.8\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 74,999 \end{aligned}$ | 1,498 | 11.5\% | 3,703 | 22.7\% | 4,162 | 22.9\% | 3,628 | 19.9\% | -75 | -2.0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 75,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 99,999^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 333 | 2.6\% | 1,631 | 10.0\% | 2,476 | 13.6\% | 2,631 | 14.5\% | 1,000 | 61.3\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | 153 | 1.2\% | 794 | 4.9\% | 1,688 | 9.3\% | 2,386 | 13.1\% | 1,592 | 200.5\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 199,999^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 0.5\% | 131 | 0.8\% | 456 | 2.5\% | 664 | 3.7\% | 533 | 406.9\% |
| \$200,000 or more |  |  | 137 | 0.8\% | 268 | 1.5\% | 406 | 2.2\% | 269 | 196.4\% |
| TOTAL | 13,028 | 100.0\% | 16,305 | 100.0\% | 18,170 | 100.0\% | 18,189 | 100.0\% | 1,884 | 100.0\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates
*The 1990 Census Household Income ranges combine \$150,000 and over
Table 12
Median Household Income - 1989 to 2017 (Polk County and Surrounding Counties)

| County | 1989 | 1999 | 2010 | 2017 | 1999-2017 Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barron | $\$ 22,570$ | $\$ 37,275$ | $\$ 42,601$ | $\$ 49,257$ | $\$ 11,982$ | $32.1 \%$ |
| Burnett | $\$ 20,153$ | $\$ 34,218$ | $\$ 39,626$ | $\$ 45,891$ | $\$ 11,673$ | $34.1 \%$ |
| Chippewa | $\$ 25,858$ | $\$ 39,596$ | $\$ 48,672$ | $\$ 55,240$ | $\$ 15,644$ | $39.5 \%$ |
| Dunn | $\$ 24,452$ | $\$ 38,753$ | $\$ 48,376$ | $\$ 54,605$ | $\$ 15,852$ | $40.9 \%$ |
| Polk | $\$ 24,267$ | $\$ 41,183$ | $\$ 49,806$ | $\$ 53,551$ | $\$ 12,368$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Rusk | $\$ 19,617$ | $\$ 31,344$ | $\$ 38,352$ | $\$ 41,930$ | $\$ 10,586$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| St. Croix | $\$ 36,716$ | $\$ 54,930$ | $\$ 67,446$ | $\$ 77,768$ | $\$ 22,838$ | $41.6 \%$ |
| Sawyer | $\$ 18,084$ | $\$ 32,287$ | $\$ 37,091$ | $\$ 43,565$ | $\$ 11,278$ | $34.9 \%$ |
| Washburn | $\$ 19,962$ | $\$ 33,716$ | $\$ 41,641$ | $\$ 46,502$ | $\$ 12,786$ | $37.9 \%$ |
| Wisconsin | $\$ 29,442$ | $\$ 43,791$ | $\$ 51,598$ | $\$ 56,759$ | $\$ 12,968$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| United States | $\$ 30,056$ | $\$ 41,994$ | $\$ 51,914$ | $\$ 57,652$ | $\$ 15,658$ | $37.3 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 13
Median Household Income - 1989 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 1989 | 1999 | 2010 | 2017 | 1999-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dollars | Percent |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | \$35,732 | \$57,337 | \$62,083 | \$70,550 | \$13,213 | 23.0\% |
| Apple River | \$24,911 | \$43,500 | \$53,194 | \$56,538 | \$13,038 | 30.0\% |
| Balsam Lake | \$29,118 | \$34,276 | \$57,202 | \$68,750 | \$34,474 | 100.6\% |
| Beaver | \$22,500 | \$40,114 | \$49,038 | \$54,773 | \$14,659 | 36.5\% |
| Black Brook | \$28,011 | \$48,125 | \$65,278 | \$62,300 | \$14,175 | 29.5\% |
| Bone Lake | \$19,000 | \$39,821 | \$46,136 | \$59,375 | \$19,554 | 49.1\% |
| Clam Falls | \$23,026 | \$34,844 | \$38,906 | \$40,179 | \$5,335 | 15.3\% |
| Clayton | \$29,063 | \$29,135 | \$58,750 | \$56,250 | \$27,115 | 93.1\% |
| Clear Lake | \$21,806 | \$32,269 | \$58,750 | \$67,386 | \$35,117 | 108.8\% |
| Eureka | \$27,404 | \$45,625 | \$60,313 | \$58,077 | \$12,452 | 27.3\% |
| Farmington | \$37,600 | \$58,833 | \$70,893 | \$74,145 | \$15,312 | 26.0\% |
| Garfield | \$27,050 | \$48,000 | \$67,050 | \$66,136 | \$18,136 | 37.8\% |
| Georgetown | \$18,750 | \$38,487 | \$39,271 | \$53,750 | \$15,263 | 39.7\% |
| Johnstown | \$22,292 | \$37,500 | \$49,524 | \$46,875 | \$9,375 | 25.0\% |
| Laketown | \$24,643 | \$40,156 | \$58,913 | \$54,583 | \$14,427 | 35.9\% |
| Lincoln | \$27,448 | \$45,904 | \$52,269 | \$64,602 | \$18,698 | 40.7\% |
| Lorain | \$18,295 | \$25,208 | \$37,188 | \$42,813 | \$17,605 | 69.8\% |
| Luck | \$23,889 | \$32,138 | \$45,000 | \$64,659 | \$32,521 | 101.2\% |
| McKinley | \$21,964 | \$37,083 | \$40,972 | \$43,213 | \$6,130 | 16.5\% |
| Milltown | \$26,964 | \$28,309 | \$54,250 | \$48,971 | \$20,662 | 73.0\% |
| Osceola | \$35,000 | \$39,000 | \$63,368 | \$86,140 | \$47,140 | 120.9\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$29,018 | \$46,500 | \$60,481 | \$64,115 | \$17,615 | 37.9\% |
| Sterling | \$22,237 | \$36,042 | \$44,464 | \$45,484 | \$9,442 | 26.2\% |
| West Sweden | \$23,182 | \$41,250 | \$49,333 | \$57,833 | \$16,583 | 40.2\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | \$17,778 | \$45,909 | \$43,636 | \$40,521 | $(\$ 5,388)$ | -11.7\% |
| Centuria | \$20,625 | \$32,560 | \$44,531 | \$29,667 | $(\$ 2,893)$ | -8.9\% |
| Clayton | \$17,656 | \$41,719 | \$34,375 | \$40,375 | $(\$ 1,344)$ | -3.2\% |
| Clear Lake | \$22,917 | \$48,542 | \$40,769 | \$41,083 | $(\$ 7,459)$ | -15.4\% |
| Dresser | \$22,446 | \$37,500 | \$50,682 | \$55,833 | \$18,333 | 48.9\% |
| Frederic | \$15,602 | \$25,380 | \$25,833 | \$38,333 | \$12,953 | 51.0\% |
| Luck | \$20,263 | \$40,417 | \$33,250 | \$39,868 | (\$549) | -1.4\% |
| Milltown | \$13,654 | \$46,944 | \$33,529 | \$35,833 | $(\$ 11,111)$ | -23.7\% |
| Osceola | \$25,641 | \$55,509 | \$42,521 | \$48,125 | $(\$ 7,384)$ | -13.3\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | \$0 | \$19,750 | \$25,179 | \$17,250 | $(\$ 2,500)$ | -12.7\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | \$17,315 | \$29,485 | \$43,558 | \$35,104 | \$5,619 | 19.1\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | \$19,828 | \$30,710 | \$38,511 | \$39,446 | \$8,736 | 28.4\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$26,016 | \$39,350 | \$46,419 | \$40,917 | \$1,567 | 4.0\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | \$24,267 | \$41,183 | \$49,806 | \$53,551 | \$12,368 | 30.0\% |
| State of Wisconsin | \$29,442 | \$43,791 | \$51,598 | \$56,759 | \$12,968 | 29.6\% |

source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 14
Households with Incomes $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ or Less of Household Area Median Family Income (HAMFI) for Polk County \& Participating Communities

|  | Household by Tenure | Household Income <= 30\% HAMFI | Household Income >30\% to $<=50 \%$ HAMFI | Household Income >50\% to <=80\% HAMFI | Percent of Total Households with Incomes 80\% or Less or HAMFI* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polk County | Owner | 940 | 1,330 | 2,390 | 25.6\% |
|  | Renter | 795 | 895 | 1,210 | 15.9\% |
|  | Total | 1,735 | 2,225 | 3,600 | 41.6\% |
| City of Amery | Owner | 40 | 80 | 250 | 30.5\% |
|  | Renter | 25 | 140 | 165 | 27.2\% |
|  | Total | 65 | 220 | 415 | 57.6\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls | Owner | 40 | 75 | 80 | 19.8\% |
|  | Renter | 110 | 55 | 160 | 33.0\% |
|  | Total | 150 | 130 | 240 | 52.8\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake | Owner | 20 | 30 | 40 | 27.7\% |
|  | Renter | 15 | 40 | 15 | 21.5\% |
|  | Total | 35 | 70 | 55 | 49.2\% |
| Village of Clear Lake | Owner | 25 | 40 | 55 | 24.6\% |
|  | Renter | 35 | 30 | 25 | 18.4\% |
|  | Total | 60 | 70 | 80 | 43.0\% |
| Village of Dresser | Owner | 10 | 30 | 65 | 26.3\% |
|  | Renter | 35 | 30 | 25 | 22.5\% |
|  | Total | 45 | 60 | 90 | 48.8\% |
| Village of Luck | Owner | 35 | 40 | 65 | 27.1\% |
|  | Renter | 35 | 40 | 40 | 22.3\% |
|  | Total | 70 | 80 | 105 | 49.4\% |
| Village of Milltown | Owner | 30 | 35 | 60 | 24.3\% |
|  | Renter | 65 | 25 | 45 | 26.3\% |
|  | Total | 95 | 60 | 105 | 50.6\% |
| Village of Osceola | Owner | 45 | 55 | 80 | 16.7\% |
|  | Renter | 75 | 110 | 135 | 29.7\% |
|  | Total | 120 | 165 | 215 | 46.4\% |

Source: CHAS Data, ACS 2011-2015; https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/cp.html
*Note that the Percentage Totals are based on number of households within a particular community and not number of individuals. To determine CDBG eligibility for a grant, the Wisconsin DOA relies on data for individuals.

## Profile of Renters

Table 15
Changes in Age of Renter Householders - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{C}{\text { © }}$ | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 10 | 19 | 23 | 9 | 10 | 14 |
|  | 2017 |  | 7 | 30 | 15 | 23 | 10 | 4 |
|  | Change | \# | -3 | 11 | -8 | 14 | 0 | -10 |
|  |  | \% | -30.0 | 57.9 | -34.8 | 155.6 | 0.0 | -71.4 |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 3 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2017 |  | 19 | 14 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Change | \# | 16 | 5 | -5 | 15 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | \% | 533.3 | 55.6 | -62.5 | 300.0 | 50.0 | 150.0 |

Table 15 Continued
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Table 15 Continued
Changes in Age of Renter Householders - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Other Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 104,852 | 176,905 | 132,938 | 85,616 | 45,886 | 111,986 |
|  | 2017 |  | 101,328 | 197,520 | 127,940 | 112,998 | 95,616 | 134,044 |
|  | Change | \# | -3,524 | 20,615 | -4,998 | 27,382 | 49,730 | 22,058 |
|  |  | \% | -3.4 | 11.7 | -3.8 | 32.0 | 108.4 | 19.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 4,543,962 | 9,961,330 | 8,101,318 | 5,335,508 | 2,879,792 | 4,842,438 |
|  | 2017 |  | 3,929,166 | 11,245,427 | 8,668,985 | 7,203,713 | 5,642,868 | 6,302,627 |
|  | Change | \# | -614,796 | 1,284,097 | 567,667 | 1,868,205 | 2,763,076 | 1,460,189 |
|  |  | \% | -13.5 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 35.0 | 95.9 | 30.2 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates

Table 16
Median Year Renter Moved into Unit - 2000 \& 2017 (Polk County \& Participating Communities)

|  | 2000 Census |  | 2017 ACS |  | 2000-2017 Change in Median Years in Unit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median Year Renter Moved into Unit | Median Number of Years Renter Stayed in Unit | Median Year Renter Moved into Unit | Median Number of Years Renter Stayed in Unit | \# | \% |
| Primary Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Amery | 1998 | 2 | 2013 | 4 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls | 1998 | 2 | 2013 | 4 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake | 1999 | 1 | 2012 | 5 | 4 | 400.0\% |
| Village of Clear Lake | 1996 | 4 | 2012 | 5 | 1 | 25.0\% |
| Village of Dresser | 1997 | 3 | 2013 | 4 | 1 | 33.3\% |
| Village of Luck | 1998 | 2 | 2012 | 5 | 3 | 150.0\% |
| Village of Milltown | 1996 | 4 | 2013 | 4 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Village of Osceola | 1998 | 2 | 2012 | 5 | 3 | 150.0\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 1997 | 3 | 2012 | 5 | 2 | 66.7\% |
| Wisconsin | 1998 | 2 | 2012 | 5 | 3 | 150.0\% |
| United States | 1998 | 2 | 2012 | 5 | 3 | 150.0\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 17
Change in Median Renter Income - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | \$35,417 | \$47,946 | \$42,813 | \$7,396 | 20.9\% |
| Apple River | \$46,563 | \$21,875 | \$37,692 | -\$8,871 | -19.1\% |
| Balsam Lake | \$46,250 | \$56,250 | \$59,375 | \$13,125 | 28.4\% |
| Beaver | \$35,536 | \$35,625 | \$27,321 | -\$8,215 | -23.1\% |
| Black Brook | \$33,125 | \$29,464 | \$24,539 | -\$8,586 | -25.9\% |
| Bone Lake | \$31,667 | NA | \$55,625 | \$23,958 | 75.7\% |
| Clam Falls | \$18,125 | \$15,313 | \$24,000 | \$5,875 | 32.4\% |
| Clayton | \$25,000 | \$51,250 | \$48,690 | \$23,690 | 94.8\% |
| Clear Lake | \$39,375 | \$46,250 | \$39,583 | \$208 | 0.5\% |
| Eureka | \$25,750 | \$25,179 | \$41,579 | \$15,829 | 61.5\% |
| Farmington | \$29,107 | \$40,750 | \$42,125 | \$13,018 | 44.7\% |
| Garfield | \$30,000 | \$32,917 | \$46,719 | \$16,719 | 55.7\% |
| Georgetown | \$26,528 | \$22,083 | NA | - | - |
| Johnstown | \$10,781 | \$21,563 | \$35,833 | \$25,052 | 232.4\% |
| Laketown | \$36,250 | \$29,063 | NA | - | - |
| Lincoln | \$28,750 | \$22,250 | \$37,750 | \$9,000 | 31.3\% |
| Lorain | \$18,125 | \$33,958 | \$32,000 | \$13,875 | 76.6\% |
| Luck | \$25,000 | \$31,250 | NA | - | - |
| McKinley | \$25,000 | \$29,583 | \$38,438 | \$13,438 | 53.8\% |
| Milltown | \$32,188 | \$32,188 | \$32,841 | \$653 | 2.0\% |
| Osceola | \$38,125 | \$24,750 | \$30,385 | -\$7,740 | -20.3\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$26,875 | \$52,917 | NA | - | - |
| Sterling | \$12,500 | \$27,396 | \$35,357 | \$22,857 | 182.9\% |
| West Sweden | \$30,625 | \$13,542 | \$18,750 | -\$11,875 | -38.8\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | \$21,528 | \$40,417 | \$26,875 | \$5,347 | 24.8\% |
| Centuria | \$23,125 | \$19,583 | \$23,426 | \$301 | 1.3\% |
| Clayton | \$24,583 | \$36,667 | \$29,667 | \$5,084 | 20.7\% |
| Clear Lake | \$15,000 | \$23,000 | \$27,946 | \$12,946 | 86.3\% |
| Dresser | \$21,696 | \$38,462 | \$32,917 | \$11,221 | 51.7\% |
| Frederic | \$15,398 | \$15,529 | \$24,559 | \$9,161 | 59.5\% |
| Luck | \$19,688 | \$18,393 | \$26,250 | \$6,562 | 33.3\% |
| Milltown | \$15,875 | \$15,694 | \$26,346 | \$10,471 | 66.0\% |
| Osceola | \$28,839 | \$30,768 | \$37,296 | \$8,457 | 29.3\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk Co.) | \$17,708 | \$12,321 | \$17,159 | -\$549 | -3.1\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron Co.) | \$18,304 | \$21,071 | \$19,688 | \$1,384 | 7.6\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | \$20,607 | \$19,323 | \$29,341 | \$8,734 | 42.4\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$20,707 | \$25,945 | \$24,548 | \$3,841 | 18.5\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | \$23,479 | \$26,151 | \$31,199 | \$7,720 | 32.88\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 18
Renter Household Income - 2017 (Polk County \& Participating Communities)

| Renter Household Income | Polk County | City of Amery | City of St. Croix Falls | Village of Balsam Lake | Village of Clear Lake | Village of Dresser | Village of Luck | Village of Milltown | Village of Osceola |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 253 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 29 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 11 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 440 | 38 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 40 | 55 | 33 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 838 | 98 | 143 | 30 | 23 | 31 | 34 | 33 | 80 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 687 | 139 | 43 | 36 | 24 | 17 | 28 | 41 | 50 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 702 | 31 | 80 | 12 | 22 | 13 | 12 | 28 | 120 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 539 | 47 | 38 | 4 | 28 | 25 | 26 | 41 | 91 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 279 | 27 | 36 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 33 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 15 |
| \$150,000 or more | 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

source: US Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## Profile of Homeowners

Table 19
Changes in Age of Owner Householders - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Ø }}{\frac{0}{0}}$ | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 10 | 99 | 245 | 242 | 154 | 138 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 69 | 151 | 242 | 232 | 250 |
|  | Change | \# | -10 | -30 | -94 | 0 | 78 | 112 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -30.3 | -38.4 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 81.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 9 | 46 | 97 | 82 | 77 | 78 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 29 | 66 | 96 | 94 | 95 |
|  | Change | \# | -9 | -17 | -31 | 14 | 17 | 17 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -37.0 | -32.0 | 17.1 | 22.1 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 5 | 71 | 120 | 120 | 92 | 91 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 47 | 73 | 107 | 164 | 194 |
|  | Change | \# | -5 | -24 | -47 | -13 | 72 | 103 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -33.8 | -39.2 | -10.8 | 78.3 | 113.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 8 | 35 | 53 | 64 | 46 | 63 |
|  | 2017 |  | 5 | 13 | 29 | 61 | 86 | 83 |
|  | Change | \# | -3 | -22 | -24 | -3 | 40 | 20 |
|  |  | \% | -37.5 | -62.9 | -45.3 | -4.7 | 87.0 | 31.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 2 | 53 | 108 | 93 | 55 | 55 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 74 | 73 | 142 | 140 | 76 |
|  | Change | \# | -2 | 21 | -35 | 49 | 85 | 21 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | 39.6 | -32.4 | 52.7 | 154.5 | 38.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 1 | 25 | 52 | 54 | 42 | 67 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 18 | 21 | 66 | 77 | 72 |
|  | Change | \# | -1 | -7 | -31 | 12 | 35 | 5 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -28.0 | -59.6 | 22.2 | 83.3 | 7.5 |


| Table 19 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes in Age of Owner Householders -2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 4 | 11 | 46 | 46 | 36 | 64 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 13 | 13 | 46 | 67 | 78 |
|  | Change | \# | -4 | 2 | -33 | 0 | 31 | 14 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | 18.2 | -71.7 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 21.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 3 | 35 | 66 | 80 | 57 | 63 |
|  | 2017 |  | 7 | 38 | 38 | 61 | 108 | 107 |
|  | Change | \# | 4 | 3 | -28 | -19 | 51 | 44 |
|  |  | \% | 133.3 | 8.6 | -42.4 | -23.8 | 89.5 | 69.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 9 | 34 | 71 | 54 | 41 | 42 |
|  | 2017 |  | 4 | 24 | 46 | 83 | 57 | 68 |
|  | Change | \# | -5 | -10 | -25 | 29 | 16 | 26 |
|  |  | \% | -55.6 | -29.4 | -35.2 | 53.7 | 39.0 | 61.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 8 | 47 | 122 | 98 | 85 | 87 |
|  | 2017 |  | 5 | 38 | 76 | 178 | 151 | 148 |
|  | Change | \# | -3 | -9 | -46 | 80 | 66 | 61 |
|  |  | \% | -37.5 | -19.1 | -37.7 | 81.6 | 77.6 | 70.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 4 | 70 | 136 | 120 | 61 | 64 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 87 | 82 | 114 | 139 | 139 |
|  | Change | \# | -4 | 17 | -54 | -6 | 78 | 75 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | 24.3 | -39.7 | -5.0 | 127.9 | 117.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흥 } \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 9 | 50 | 115 | 103 | 91 | 98 |
|  | 2017 |  | 14 | 56 | 80 | 108 | 136 | 148 |
|  | Change | \# | 5 | 6 | -35 | 5 | 45 | 50 |
|  |  | \% | 55.6 | 12.0 | -30.4 | 4.9 | 49.5 | 51.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 3 | 28 | 49 | 74 | 94 | 118 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 6 | 47 | 59 | 91 | 187 |
|  | Change | \# | -3 | -22 | -2 | -15 | -3 | 69 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -78.6 | -4.1 | -20.3 | -3.2 | 58.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n000000 | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 1 | 12 | 26 | 41 | 42 | 47 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 8 | 19 | 24 | 42 | 70 |
|  | Change | \# | -1 | -4 | -7 | -17 | 0 | 23 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -33.3 | -26.9 | -41.5 | 0.0 | 48.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Under 25 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 plus |
|  | 2000 |  | 3 | 29 | 77 | 85 | 49 | 65 |
|  | 2017 |  | 0 | 6 | 33 | 54 | 107 | 151 |
|  | Change | \# | -3 | -23 | -44 | -31 | 58 | 86 |
|  |  | \% | -100.0 | -79.3 | -57.1 | -36.5 | 118.4 | 132.3 |





Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates

Table 20
Median Year Owner Moved into Unit - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County \& Participating Communities)

|  | 2000 Census |  | 2017 ACS |  | 2000-2017 Change in Median Years in Unit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median Year Owner Moved into Unit | Median Number of Years Owner Stayed in Unit | Median Year Owner Moved into Unit | Median Number of Years Owner Stayed in Unit | \# | \% |
| Primary Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Amery | 1991 | 9 | 2007 | 7 | -2 | -22.2\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls | 1991 | 9 | 2004 | 13 | 4 | 44.4\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake | 1994 | 6 | 2004 | 13 | 7 | 116.7\% |
| Village of Clear Lake | 1992 | 8 | 2002 | 15 | 7 | 87.5\% |
| Village of Dresser | 1993 | 7 | 2003 | 14 | 7 | 100.0\% |
| Village of Luck | 1992 | 8 | 2003 | 14 | 6 | 75.0\% |
| Village of Milltown | 1992 | 8 | 2003 | 14 | 6 | 75.0\% |
| Village of Osceola | 1992 | 8 | 2005 | 12 | 4 | 50.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 1991 | 9 | 2002 | 15 | 6 | 66.7\% |
| Wisconsin | 1990 | 10 | 2002 | 15 | 5 | 50.0\% |
| United States | 1991 | 9 | 2003 | 14 | 5 | 55.6\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates

Table 21
Change in Median Owner Income - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  |  |  |  | 2000-20 | hange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | \$59,766 | \$66,205 | \$73,194 | \$13,428 | 22.5\% |
| Apple River | \$42,708 | \$57,692 | \$60,833 | \$18,125 | 42.4\% |
| Balsam Lake | \$47,019 | \$58,125 | \$70,774 | \$23,755 | 50.5\% |
| Beaver | \$40,795 | \$50,536 | \$59,375 | \$18,580 | 45.5\% |
| Black Brook | \$50,903 | \$70,313 | \$66,683 | \$15,780 | 31.0\% |
| Bone Lake | \$42,292 | \$46,364 | \$63,571 | \$21,279 | 50.3\% |
| Clam Falls | \$35,875 | \$41,818 | \$41,382 | \$5,507 | 15.4\% |
| Clayton | \$36,875 | \$32,981 | \$53,438 | \$16,563 | 44.9\% |
| Clear Lake | \$47,500 | \$59,464 | \$73,182 | \$25,682 | 54.1\% |
| Eureka | \$50,236 | \$65,208 | \$64,028 | \$13,792 | 27.5\% |
| Farmington | \$63,250 | \$72,366 | \$78,295 | \$15,045 | 23.8\% |
| Garfield | \$51,488 | \$70,921 | \$68,636 | \$17,148 | 33.3\% |
| Georgetown | \$41,875 | \$42,333 | \$56,094 | \$14,219 | 34.0\% |
| Johnstown | \$39,659 | \$57,969 | \$60,750 | \$21,091 | 53.2\% |
| Laketown | \$40,000 | \$59,837 | \$55,125 | \$15,125 | 37.8\% |
| Lincoln | \$47,093 | \$55,076 | \$69,615 | \$22,522 | 47.8\% |
| Lorain | \$24,750 | \$38,500 | \$44,375 | \$19,625 | 79.3\% |
| Luck | \$42,115 | \$53,942 | \$66,818 | \$24,703 | 58.7\% |
| McKinley | \$40,000 | \$41,438 | \$44,107 | \$4,107 | 10.3\% |

Table 21 Continued
Change in Median Owner Income - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milltown | \$47,639 | \$55,104 | \$55,250 | \$7,611 | 16.0\% |
| Osceola | \$57,448 | \$67,931 | \$88,024 | \$30,576 | 53.2\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$52,188 | \$61,538 | \$66,354 | \$14,166 | 27.1\% |
| Sterling | \$36,705 | \$51,125 | \$48,571 | \$11,866 | 32.3\% |
| West Sweden | \$42,778 | \$50,972 | \$58,667 | \$15,889 | 37.1\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | \$38,594 | \$44,205 | \$51,607 | \$13,013 | 33.7\% |
| Centuria | \$34,342 | \$54,821 | \$42,167 | \$7,825 | 22.8\% |
| Clayton | \$36,875 | \$32,981 | \$53,438 | \$16,563 | 44.9\% |
| Clear Lake | \$40,000 | \$46,154 | \$47,422 | \$7,422 | 18.6\% |
| Dresser | \$42,813 | \$56,184 | \$60,156 | \$17,343 | 40.5\% |
| Frederic | \$35,234 | \$42,434 | \$45,741 | \$10,507 | 29.8\% |
| Luck | \$39,632 | \$38,359 | \$50,833 | \$11,201 | 28.3\% |
| Milltown | \$40,000 | \$47,875 | \$50,833 | \$10,833 | 27.1\% |
| Osceola | \$49,712 | \$61,000 | \$53,409 | \$3,697 | 7.4\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk Co.) | \$41,875 | \$44,167 | NA | NA | NA |
| Turtle Lake (Barron Co.) | \$38,125 | \$50,598 | \$47,656 | \$9,531 | 25.0\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | \$39,115 | \$47,083 | \$48,800 | \$9,685 | 24.8\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$48,929 | \$61,250 | \$55,764 | \$6,835 | 14.0\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | \$45,789 | \$55,940 | \$61,850 | \$16,061 | 35.1\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 22
Owner Household Income - 2017 (Polk County \& Participating Communities)

| Owner Household Income | Polk County | City of Amery | City of St. Croix Falls | Village of Balsam Lake | Village of Clear Lake | Village of Dresser | Village of Luck | Village of Milltown | Village of Osceola |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 472 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 17 | 15 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 405 | 12 | 32 | 14 | 16 | 5 | 19 | 19 | 5 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 1,287 | 179 | 69 | 19 | 41 | 20 | 47 | 27 | 55 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 1,304 | 39 | 41 | 19 | 29 | 24 | 27 | 39 | 57 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 2,086 | 212 | 97 | 34 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 33 | 143 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 3,089 | 116 | 154 | 43 | 68 | 75 | 47 | 53 | 184 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 2,352 | 86 | 65 | 25 | 50 | 37 | 60 | 38 | 64 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2,224 | 147 | 57 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 51 | 34 | 85 |
| \$150,000 or more | 1,037 | 45 | 47 | 21 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 14 | 32 |

source: 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## Race and Ethnicity

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Population - Polk County \& Participating Communities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total <br> Population <br> (based on <br> 2013-2017 <br> ACS data) | $\frac{\text { Percentage of }}{\text { City/County }}$ <br> (based on <br> 2013-2017 <br> ACS data) | $-\frac{\text { Total }}{\frac{\text { Population }}{}} \begin{array}{\|} \text { (based } \\ \text { on 2010 } \\ \hline \text { Census } \\ \hline \text { Data) } \end{array}$ | $\frac{\text { Percentage }}{\frac{\text { of Cityl }}{\text { County }}}$(based on <br> 2010 Census$\frac{\text { Data) }}{}$ |
| City of Amery |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 2,726 | 96.22\% | 2,824 | 97.31\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 1 | 0.04\% | 4 | 0.14\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 22 | 0.76\% |
| Asian Alone | 36 | 1.27\% | 10 | 0.34\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | ${ }^{2}$ | 0.07\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 0.45\% |
| Two or more Races | 70 | 2.47\% | 27 | 0.93\% |
| TotalOVerall for Jurisdiction | 2,833 | 100.00\% | 2,902 | 100.00 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1 | 0.04\% | 65 | 2.24\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 2,832 | 99.96\% | 2,837 | 97.76\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,833 | 100.00\% | 2,902 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 724 | 95.51\% | 950 | 94.15\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 14 | 1.85\% | 9 | 0.89\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 7 | 0.92\% | 26 | 2.58\% |
| Asian Alone | 8 | 1.06\% | 3 | 0.30\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 0.50\% |
| Two or more Races | 5 | 0.66\% | 16 | 1.59\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 758 | 100.00\% | 1,009 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 0.53\% | 7 | 0.69\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 754 | 99.47\% | 1,002 | 99.31\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 758 | 100.00\% | 1,009 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,041 | 99.62\% | 1,042 | 97.38\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.09\% |
| Asian Alone | 2 | 0.19\% | 2 | 0.19\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 10 | 0.93\% |
| Two or more Races | 2 | 0.19\% | 15 | 1.40\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,045 | 100.00\% | 1,070 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 0.29\% | 30 | 2.80\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,042 | 99.71\% | 1,040 | 97.20\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,045 | 100.00\% | 1,070 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Dresser |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 862 | 94.00\% | 878 | 98.10\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 29 | 3.16\% | 2 | 0.22\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 9 | 0.98\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Asian Alone | 2 | 0.22\% | 4 | 0.45\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.11\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 12 | 1.31\% | 6 | 0.67\% |
| Two or more Races | 3 | 0.33\% | 4 | 0.45\% |
| TotallOverall for Jurisdiction | 917 | 100.00\% | 895 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 13 | 1.42\% | 17 | 1.90\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 904 | 98.58\% | 878 | 98.10\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 917 | 100.00\% | 895 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Luck |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,106 | 97.19\% | 1,088 | 97.23\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 7 | 0.62\% | 2 | 0.18\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.54\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.09\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.18\% |
| Two or more Races | 25 | 2.20\% | 20 | 1.79\% |
| TotallOverall for Jurisdiction | 1,138 | 100.00\% | 1,119 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 33 | 2.90\% | 19 | 1.70\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,105 | 97.10\% | 1,100 | 98.30\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,138 | 100.00\% | 1,119 | 100.00\% |

## Table 23-1 Continued

|  | Total Population (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | $\frac{\text { Percentage of }}{\text { City/County }}$ <br> (based on <br> (a13-2017 <br> ACS data) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { (based } \\ \frac{\text { on } 2010}{\text { Census }} \\ \hline \text { Data) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Percentage <br> $\frac{\text { of Cityl }}{\text { County }}$ <br> (based on$\frac{2010 \text { Census }}{\text { Data) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Village of Milltown |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,123 | 93.20\% | 893 | 97.38\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 7 | 0.58\% | 3 | 0.33\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 10 | 0.83\% | 8 | 0.87\% |
| Asian Alone | 10 | 0.83\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 4 | 0.33\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 2 | 0.17\% | 5 | 0.55\% |
| Two or more Races | 49 | 4.07\% | 8 | 0.87\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,205 | 100.00\% | 917 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 31 | 2.57\% | 11 | 1.20\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,174 | 97.43\% | 906 | 98.80\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,205 | 100.00\% | 917 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Osceola |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 2,379 | 95.20\% | 2,465 | 95.99\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 8 | 0.32\% | 7 | 0.27\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0.27\% |
| Asian Alone | 31 | 1.24\% | 26 | 1.01\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 67 | 2.68\% | 23 | 0.90\% |
| Two or more Races | 14 | 0.56\% | 40 | 1.56\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,499 | 100.00\% | 2,568 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 90 | 3.60\% | 53 | 2.06\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 2,409 | 96.40\% | 2,515 | 97.94\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,499 | 100.00\% | 2,568 | 100.00\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,897 | 98.91\% | 2,063 | 96.72\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 4 | 0.21\% | 7 | 0.33\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 6 | 0.31\% | 10 | 0.47\% |
| Asian Alone | 5 | 0.26\% | 12 | 0.56\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 | 0.42\% |
| Two or more Races | 6 | 0.31\% | 32 | 1.50\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,918 | 100.00\% | 2,133 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 13 | 0.68\% | 38 | 1.78\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,905 | 99.32\% | 2,095 | 98.22\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,918 | 100.00\% | 2,133 | 100.00\% |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 41,758 | 96.38\% | 42,807 | 96.84\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 133 | 0.31\% | 96 | 0.22\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 458 | 1.06\% | 454 | 1.03\% |
| Asian Alone | 169 | 0.39\% | 159 | 0.36\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 4 | 0.01\% | 7 | 0.02\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 264 | 0.61\% | 226 | 0.51\% |
| Two or more Races | 542 | 1.25\% | 456 | 1.03\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 43,328 | 100.00\% | 44,205 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 810 | 1.87\% | 656 | 1.48\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 42,518 | 98.13\% | 43,549 | 98.52\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 43,328 | 100.00\% | 44,205 | 100.00\% |
| source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ The householder refers to the person (or one of the people) in whose name the housing unit is owned or rented (maintained) or, if there is no such person, any adult member, excluding roomers, boarders, or paid employees. If the house is owned or rented jointly by a married couple, the householder may be either the husband or the wife. The person designated as the householder is the "reference person" to whom the relationship of all other household members, if any, is recorded. The number of householders is equal to the number of households. Also, the number of family householders is equal to the number of families. |  |  |  |  |

Table 23-2

|  |  | Percentage of <br> City/County <br> (based on 2013- <br> 2017 ACS data) | No. of House- <br> holds <br> howith <br> householder <br> identifving w/ <br> a particular <br> racelthnicity <br> (based on 2010 <br> Census Data) | Percentage of Cityl County (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Amery |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,200 | 98.77\% | 1,267 | 98.52\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.47\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.23\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 4 | 0.31\% |
| Two or more Races | 15 | 1.23\% | - 6 | 0.47\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,215 | 100.00\% | 1,286 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0.00\% | 8 | 0.62\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,215 | 100.00\% | 1,278 | 99.38\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,215 | 100.00\% | 1,286 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 322 | 99.08\% | 418 | 96.31\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.46\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 1.61\% |
| Asian Alone | 3 | 0.92\% | 2 | 0.46\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.46\% |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.69\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 325 | 100.00\% | 434 | 100.00\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.69\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 325 | 100.00\% | 431 | 99.31\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 325 | 100.00\% | 434 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 486 | 99.59\% | 452 | 98.47\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.65\% |
| Two or more Races | 2 | 0.41\% | 4 | 0.87\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 488 | 100.00\% | 459 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 0.61\% | 6 | 1.31\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 485 | 99.39\% | 453 | 98.69\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 488 | 100.00\% | 459 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Dresser |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 382 | 95.50\% | 355 | 98.34\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 8 | 2.00\% | 1 | 0.28\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 7 | 1.75\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.55\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 3 | 0.75\% | 2 | 0.55\% |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.28\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 400 | 100.00\% | 361 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 1.00\% | 5 | 1.39\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 396 | 99.00\% | 356 | 98.61\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 400 | 100.00\% | 361 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Luck |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 502 | 97.29\% | 467 | 98.32\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.42\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.63\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Two or more Races | 14 | 2.71\% | 3 | 0.63\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 516 | 100.00\% | \% 475 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 17 | 3.29\% | 7 | 1.47\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 499 | 96.71\% | 468 | 98.53\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 516 | 100.00\% | 475 | 100.00\% |


| Table 23-2 Continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Households - Polk County \& Participating Communities |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of Households ${ }^{1}$ with householder identifying $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{a}$ particular race/ ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | Percentage of <br> City/County <br> (based on 2013- <br> 2017 ACS data) | No. of House- <br> holds ${ }^{1}$ with <br> householder <br> identifying w/ <br> a particular <br> racelthnicity <br> (based on 2010 <br> Census Data) | Percentage of Cityl County (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| Village of Milltown |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 488 | 94.94\% | 412 | 99.04\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 4 | 0.78\% | 3 | 0.72\% |
| Asian Alone | 7 | 1.36\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Two or more Races | 15 | 2.92\% | 1 | 0.24\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 514 | 100.00\% | 416 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 0.78\% | 1 | 0.24\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 510 | 99.22\% | 415 | 99.76\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 514 | 100.00\% | 416 | 100.00\% |
| Village of Osceola |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,029 | 95.45\% | 1,112 | 97.37\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 5 | 0.46\% | 3 | 0.26\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 0.44\% |
| Asian Alone | 9 | 0.83\% | 8 | 0.70\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 28 | 2.60\% | 6 | 0.53\% |
| Two or more Races | 7 | 0.65\% | 8 | 0.70\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,078 | 100.00\% | 1,142 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 36 | 3.34\% | 19 | 1.66\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,042 | 96.66\% | 1,123 | 98.34\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,078 | 100.00\% | 1,142 | 100.00\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 984 | 100.00\% | 946 | 97.83\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.10\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.31\% |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0.72\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.10\% |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 | 0.93\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 984 | 100.00\% | 967 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0.00\% | 14 | 1.45\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 984 | 100.00\% | 953 | 98.55\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 984 | 100.00\% | 967 | 100.00\% |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 17,787 | 97.79\% | 17,655 | 98.06\% |
| Black or African American Alone | 33 | 0.18\% | 25 | 0.14\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 176 | 0.97\% | 135 | 0.75\% |
| Asian Alone | 23 | 0.13\% | 35 | 0.19\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.01\% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 60 | 0.33\% | 49 | 0.27\% |
| Two or more Races | 110 | 0.60\% | 104 | 0.58\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 18,189 | 100.00\% | 18,004 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 223 | 1.23\% | 152 | 0.84\% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 17,966 | 98.77\% | 17,852 | 99.16\% |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 18,189 | 100.00\% | 18,004 | 100.00\% |
| source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates See footnotes on Table 23-1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 23-3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Households \& Household Size - Poik County \& Participating Communities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Population in <br> Households ${ }^{1}$ <br> with householder <br> identifying w/ a <br> particular racel <br> ethnicity (based <br> $\frac{\text { on 2013-2017 }}{\text { ACS data) }}$ | Average House- <br> $\frac{\text { hold }}{}$ <br> (based on 2013- <br> 2017 ACS data) | Population in Occupied Housing Units with householder identifying w/ a particular race/ethnicity (based on 2010 Census Data) | Average household size of Occupied Housing Units (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| City of Amery |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 2,585 | 2.15 | 2,695 | 2.13 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | - | 15 | 2.50 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | - | 7 | 2.33 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 14 | 3.50 |
| Two or more Races | 84 | 5.60 | 15 | 2.50 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,669 | 2.20 | 2,746 | 2.14 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | - | 26 | 3.25 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 2,669 | 2.20 | 2,720 | 2.13 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,669 | 2.20 | 2,746 | 2.14 |
| Village of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 650 | 2.02 | 878 | 2.10 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 4 | 2.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | - | 22 | 3.14 |
| Asian Alone | 8 | 2.67 | 3 | 1.50 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 7 | 3.50 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | - | 6 | 2.00 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 658 | 2.02 | 920 | 2.12 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | - | 9 | 3.00 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 658 | 2.02 | 911 | 2.11 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 658 | 2.02 | 920 | 2.12 |
| Village of Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,038 | 2.14 | 1,041 | 2.30 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 10 | 3.33 |
| Two or more Races | 4 | 2.00 | 15 | 3.75 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,042 | 2.14 | 1,066 | 2.32 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 5 | 1.67 | 20 | 3.33 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,037 | 2.14 | 1,046 | 2.31 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,042 | 2.14 | 1,066 | 2.32 |
| Village of Dresser |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 873 | 2.29 | 882 | 2.48 |
| Black or African American Alone | 8 | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 26 | 3.71 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Asian Alone | 0 |  | 4 | 2.00 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 10 | 3.33 | 6 | 3.00 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | - | 2 | 2.00 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 917 | 2.29 | 895 | 2.48 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8 | 2.00 | 13 | 2.60 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 909 | 2.30 | 882 | 2.48 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 917 | 2.29 | 895 | 2.48 |
| Village of Luck |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,045 | 2.08 | 1,046 | 2.24 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 2 | 1.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | - | 6 | 2.00 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Two or more Races | 25 | 1.79 | 5 | 1.67 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,070 | 2.07 | 1,059 | 2.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 41 | 2.41 | 16 | 2.29 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,029 | 2.06 | 1,043 | 2.23 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,070 | 2.07 | 1,059 | 2.23 |


| Table 23-3 Continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Households \& Household Size - Polk County \& Participating Communities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Population in Households ${ }^{1}$ with householder identifying w/ a particular race/ ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | Average House- hold (based on 2013-- 2017 ACS data) | Population in Occupied Housing Units with householder identifying w/ a particular race/ethnicity (based on 2010 Census Data) | Average household size of Occupied Housing Units (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| Village of Milltown |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,162 | 2.38 | 904 | 2.19 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | ${ }^{8}$ | 2.00 | 8 | 2.67 |
| Asian Alone | 15 | 2.14 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Two or more Races | 15 | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,200 | 2.33 | 913 | 2.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 9 | 2.25 | 1 | 1.00 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,191 | 2.34 | 912 | 2.20 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,200 | 2.33 | 913 | 2.19 |
| Village of Osceola |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 2,330 | 2.26 | 2,493 | 2.24 |
| Black or African American Alone | 18 | 3.60 | 5 | 1.67 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | ${ }^{0}$ | - | 11 | 2.20 |
| Asian Alone | 13 | 1.44 | 18 | 2.25 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 96 | 3.43 | 22 | 3.67 |
| Two or more Races | 72,464 | 1.00 | 19 | 2.38 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction |  | 2.29 | 2,568 | 2.25 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 119 | 3.31 | 49 | 2.58 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 2,345 | 2.25 | 2,519 | 2.24 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 2,464 | 2.29 | 2,568 | 2.25 |
| City of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 1,864 | 1.89 | 2,015 | 2.13 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | - | 6 | 6.00 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | - | 12 | 4.00 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | - | 14 | 2.00 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 0 | 0.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | - | 3 | 3.00 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | - | 19 | 2.11 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,864 | 1.89 | 2,069 | 2.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | - | 32 | 2.29 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 1,864 | 1.89 | 2,037 | 2.14 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1,864 | 1.89 | 2,069 | 2.14 |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 41,585 | 2.34 | 42,720 | 2.42 |
| Black or African American Alone | 108 | 3.27 | 55 | 2.20 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 523 | 2.97 | 408 | 3.02 |
| Asian Alone | 47 | 2.04 | 90 | 2.57 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | - | 5 | 5.00 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 218 | 3.63 | 193 | 3.94 |
| Two or more Races | 32842,809 | 2.982.35 | 273 | 2.63 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction |  |  | 43,744 | 2.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 602 | 2.70 | 477 | 3.14 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 42,207 | 2.35 | 43,267 | 2.42 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 42,809 | 2.35 | 43,744 | 2.43 |
| source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 23-4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Owner \& Renter - 2010 \& 2017 (Poik County \& Participating Communities) |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of OwnerOccupied Hous ing Units with householder identifying w/a particular race/ ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | No. of RenterOccuiped Hous ing Units with householder identifying w/a particular race/ ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | No. of Owner- <br> Occupied <br> Oousing Units <br> with householderidentifying w/al <br> particular racel <br> ethnicity (basedon 2010 Census <br> Data) | No. of RenterOccupied Housing Units with householder identifying w/ a particular race $/$ ethnicity (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| City of Amery |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 836 | 364 | 1,832 | 863 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | , | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1 836 | 379 | 1,851 | 895 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 836 | 379 | 1,847 | 873 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 836 | 379 | 1,851 | 895 |
| Village of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 226 | 96 | 661 | 217 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | , | 0 | 9 | 13 |
| Asian Alone | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 229 | 96 | 678 | 242 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 229 | 96 | 674 | 237 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 229 | 96 | 678 | 242 |
| Village of Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 325 | 161 | 735 | 306 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Two or more Races | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 327 | 161 | 745 | 321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 0 | 8 | 12 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 324 | 161 | 737 | 309 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 327 | 161 | 745 | 321 |
| Village of Dresser |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 258 | 124 | 662 | 220 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 8 | , | 1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | , | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 261 | 139 | 668 | 227 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 257 | 139 | 658 | 224 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 261 | 139 | 668 | 227 |
| Village of Luck |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 319 | 183 | 733 | 313 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two or more Races | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 330 | 186 | 738 | 321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 17 | 0 | 9 | 7 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 313 | 186 | 729 | 314 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | 1 330 | 186 | 738 | 321 |


| Table 23-4 Continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race \& Ethnicity Owner \& Renter - 2010 \& 2017 (Polk County \& Participating Communities) |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of OwnerOccupied Housing Units with householder identifying $\mathbf{w} / \mathrm{a}$ particular racel ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | No. of RenterOccuiped Housing Units with householder identifying w/a particular racel ethnicity (based on 2013-2017 ACS data) | $\frac{\text { No. of Owner- }}{\text { Occupied }}$Housing Unitswith housenolderidentifying w/aparticular racel <br> ethnicity (based <br> en <br> $\frac{\text { Data) }}{\text { on Census }}$ | No. of RenterOccupied Housing Units with householder identifying w/ a particular racel ethnicity (based on 2010 Census Data) |
| Village of Milltown |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 266 | 222 | 571 | 333 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Asian Alone | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 274 | 240 | 579 | 334 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 270 | 240 | 579 | 333 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 274 | 240 | 579 | 334 |
| Village of Osceola |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 623 | 406 | 1,565 | 928 |
| Black or African American Alone | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Asian Alone | 4 | 5 | 5 | 13 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 8 | 20 | 8 | 14 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 7 | 1 | 18 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 640 | 438 | 1,583 | 985 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8 | 28 | 12 | 37 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 632 | 410 | 1,571 | 948 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 640 | 438 | 1,583 | 985 |
| City of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 573 | 411 | 1,302 | 713 |
| Black or African American Alone | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Asian Alone | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Two or more Races | 0 | 0 | 10 | , |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 573 | 411 | 1,335 | 734 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0 | 17 | 15 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 573 | 411 | 1,318 | 719 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 573 | 411 | 1,335 | 734 |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |
| White Alone | 14,104 | 3,683 | 35,074 | 7,646 |
| Black or African American Alone | 5 | 28 | 31 | 24 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native Alone | 56 | 120 | 166 | 242 |
| Asian Alone | 15 | 8 | 54 | 36 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Some Other Race Alone | 23 | 37 | 73 | 120 |
| Two or more Races | 53 | 57 | 138 | 135 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 14,256 | 3,933 | 35,536 | 8,208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 138 | 85 | 202 | 275 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino ${ }^{2}$ | 14,118 | 3,848 | 35,334 | 7,933 |
| Total/Overall for Jurisdiction | - 14,256 | 3,933 | 35,536 | 8,208 |
| Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 5 Year Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 5 Year EstimalesSee footnotes on Table 23-1 |  |  |  |  |

## SECTION II - ECONOMIC PROFILE

## Industries

Table 24
Employment By Industry - 1990 to 2017 (Polk County)

|  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2010 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting \& mining | 1,678 | 10.9 | 991 | 4.8 | 762 | 3.5 | 932 | 4.4 |
| Construction | 995 | 6.4 | 1,520 | 7.4 | 1,811 | 8.3 | 1,630 | 7.7 |
| Manufacturing | 4,066 | 26.3 | 5,780 | 28.1 | 5,354 | 24.4 | 5,049 | 23.8 |
| Wholesale trade | 380 | 2.5 | 530 | 2.6 | 472 | 2.2 | 416 | 2.0 |
| Retail trade | 2,274 | 14.7 | 2,074 | 10.1 | 2,321 | 10.6 | 2,298 | 10.8 |
| Transportation, warehousing and utilities | 813 | 5.3 | 885 | 4.3 | 901 | 4.1 | 899 | 4.2 |
| Information | NA | NA | 330 | 1.6 | 283 | 1.3 | 270 | 1.3 |
| Finance, insurance, real estate, rental \& leasing | 630 | 4.1 | 780 | 3.8 | 855 | 3.9 | 754 | 3.5 |
| Professional, scientific, management, administrative and waste management services | 784 | 5.1 | 948 | 4.6 | 1,294 | 5.9 | 1,249 | 5.9 |
| Educational, health and social services | 2,402 | 15.5 | 3,968 | 19.3 | 4,456 | 20.3 | 4,718 | 22.2 |
| Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services | 949 | 6.1 | 1,262 | 6.1 | 1,676 | 7.6 | 1,516 | 7.1 |
| Other services, except public administration |  |  | 853 | 4.2 | 942 | 4.3 | 836 | 3.9 |
| Public administration | 484 | 3.1 | 632 | 3.1 | 812 | 3.7 | 690 | 3.2 |
| Total Employment (16 years and over) | 15,455 | 100.0 | 20,553 | 100.0 | 21,939 | 100.0 | 21,257 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## Employment

Table 25
Labor Force - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  |  | Persons 16 and over | \# in Labor Force | \% in Labor Force | \# Employed | \# Unemployed | \% Unemployed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Alden |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2,043 | 1,496 | 73.1 | 1,468 | 26 | 1.7 |
|  | 2010 | 2,206 | 1,486 | 67.3 | 1,370 | 116 | 7.8 |
|  | 2017 | 2,274 | 1,528 | 67.2 | 1,462 | 66 | 4.3 |
| Town of Apple River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 816 | 549 | 67.3 | 514 | 33 | 6.0 |
|  | 2010 | 871 | 615 | 70.6 | 579 | 36 | 5.9 |
|  | 2017 | 894 | 594 | 66.4 | 563 | 31 | 5.2 |
| Town of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 1,067 | 778 | 72.9 | 743 | 35 | 4.5 |
|  | 2010 | 1,199 | 774 | 64.6 | 699 | 75 | 9.7 |
|  | 2017 | 1,286 | 847 | 65.9 | 798 | 49 | 5.8 |
| Town of Beaver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 559 | 368 | 65.8 | 357 | 11 | 3.0 |
|  | 2010 | 755 | 518 | 68.6 | 480 | 38 | 7.3 |
|  | 2017 | 638 | 420 | 65.8 | 404 | 16 | 3.8 |
| Town of Black Brook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 910 | 688 | 75.6 | 674 | 14 | 2.0 |
|  | 2010 | 1,067 | 796 | 74.6 | 771 | 25 | 3.1 |
|  | 2017 | 1,173 | 831 | 70.8 | 802 | 29 | 3.5 |
| Town of Bone Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 539 | 320 | 59.4 | 307 | 13 | 4.1 |
|  | 2010 | 620 | 369 | 59.5 | 350 | 19 | 5.1 |
|  | 2017 | 550 | 338 | 61.5 | 315 | 23 | 6.8 |

Town of Clam Falls


| Table 25 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor Force - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Persons 16 and over | \# in Labor Force | \% in Labor Force | \# Employed | \# Unemployed | \% Unemployed |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Johnstown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 402 | 263 | 65.4 | 256 | 7 | 2.7 |
| 2010 | 401 | 247 | 61.6 | 232 | 15 | 6.1 |
| 2017 | 463 | 264 | 57.0 | 245 | 19 | 7.2 |
| Town of Laketown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 730 | 543 | 74.4 | 531 | 12 | 2.2 |
| 2010 | 784 | 576 | 73.5 | 530 | 46 | 8.0 |
| 2017 | 755 | 414 | 54.0 | 378 | 36 | 8.7 |
| Town of Lincoln |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,840 | 1,264 | 68.7 | 1,232 | 32 | 2.5 |
| 2010 | 1,802 | 1,239 | 68.8 | 1,207 | 32 | 2.6 |
| 2017 | 1,717 | 1,090 | 63.5 | 1,060 | 30 | 2.8 |
| Town of Lorain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 237 | 161 | 67.9 | 155 | 6 | 3.7 |
| 2010 | 246 | 133 | 54.1 | 117 | 16 | 12.0 |
| 2017 | 233 | 137 | 58.8 | 131 | 6 | 4.4 |
| Town of Luck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 676 | 451 | 66.7 | 438 | 13 | 2.9 |
| 2010 | 708 | 494 | 69.8 | 463 | 31 | 6.3 |
| 2017 | 795 | 524 | 65.9 | 510 | 14 | 2.7 |
| Town of McKinley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 254 | 156 | 61.4 | 142 | 14 | 9.0 |
| 2010 | 308 | 187 | 60.7 | 177 | 10 | 5.3 |
| 2017 | 232 | 135 | 58.2 | 123 | 12 | 8.9 |
| Town of Milltown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 878 | 618 | 70.4 | 596 | 22 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 869 | 553 | 63.6 | 533 | 20 | 3.6 |
| 2017 | 995 | 636 | 63.9 | 621 | 15 | 2.4 |
| Town of Osceola |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,563 | 1,192 | 76.3 | 1,156 | 36 | 3.0 |
| 2010 | 2,011 | 1,459 | 72.6 | 1,324 | 135 | 9.3 |
| - 2017 | 2,205 | 1,703 | 77.2 | 1,640 | 63 | 3.7 |
| Town of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 829 | 550 | 66.3 | 539 | 11 | 2.0 |
| 2010 | 891 | 679 | 76.2 | 612 | 67 | 9.9 |
| 2017 | 1,077 | 710 | 65.9 | 682 | 28 | 3.9 |
| Town of Sterling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 539 | 364 | 67.5 | 338 | 26 | 7.1 |
| 2010 | 637 | 474 | 74.4 | 547 | 17 | 3.6 |
| 2017 | 554 | 368 | 66.4 | 346 | 22 | 6.0 |
| Town of West Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 527 | 364 | 69.1 | 350 | 14 | 3.8 |
| 2010 | 584 | 419 | 71.7 | 380 | 39 | 9.3 |
| 2017 | 680 | 429 | 63.1 | 401 | 28 | 6.5 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village of Balsam Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 800 | 461 | 57.6 | 434 | 27 | 5.9 |
| 2010 | 726 | 442 | 60.9 | 399 | 43 | 9.7 |
| 2017 | 653 | 272 | 41.7 | 254 | 18 | 6.6 |
| Village of Centuria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 637 | 416 | 65.3 | 401 | 15 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 656 | 481 | 73.3 | 456 | 25 | 5.2 |
| 2017 | 663 | 428 | 64.6 | 366 | 62 | 14.5 |
| Village of Clayton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 371 | 271 | 73.0 | 233 | 38 | 14.0 |
| 2010 | 451 | 301 | 55.2 | 249 | 52 | 17.3 |
| 2017 | 396 | 285 | 72.0 | 264 | 21 | 7.4 |


| Table 25 ContinuedLabor Force - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons 16 and over | \# in Labor Force | \% in Labor Force | \# Employed | \# Unemployed | \% Unemployed |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village of Clear Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 800 | 499 | 62.4 | 468 | 31 | 6.2 |
| 2010 | 816 | 562 | 68.9 | 502 | 60 | 10.7 |
| 2017 | 835 | 522 | 62.5 | 485 | 37 | 7.1 |
| Village of Dresser |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 535 | 396 | 74.0 | 385 | 11 | 2.8 |
| 2010 | 685 | 547 | 79.9 | 532 | 15 | 2.7 |
| 2017 | 705 | 521 | 73.9 | 501 | 20 | 3.8 |
| Village of Frederic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,028 | 509 | 49.5 | 499 | 10 | 2.0 |
| 2010 | 947 | 487 | 51.4 | 449 | 38 | 7.8 |
| 2017 | 798 | 428 | 53.6 | 384 | 44 | 10.3 |
| Village of Luck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 938 | 536 | 57.1 | 508 | 28 | 5.2 |
| 2010 | 1,022 | 545 | 53.3 | 514 | 31 | 5.7 |
| 2017 | 932 | 489 | 52.5 | 477 | 12 | 2.5 |
| Village of Milltown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 719 | 439 | 61.1 | 423 | 16 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 767 | 547 | 71.3 | 498 | 49 | 9.0 |
| 2017 | 935 | 590 | 63.1 | 562 | 28 | 4.7 |
| Village of Osceola |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,827 | 1,322 | 72.4 | 1,248 | 74 | 5.6 |
| 2010 | 2,067 | 1,579 | 76.4 | 1,478 | 101 | 6.4 |
| 2017 | 2,028 | 1,353 | 66.7 | 1,283 | 70 | 5.2 |
| Village of Turtle Lake (Polk Co.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 30 | 20 | 66.7 | 17 | 3 | 15.0 |
| 2010 | 73 | 34 | 46.6 | 34 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2017 | 39 | 14 | 35.9 | 14 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Village of Turtle Lake (Barron Co.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 771 | 489 | 63.4 | 458 | 31 | 6.3 |
| 2010 | 806 | 556 | 69.0 | 515 | 41 | 7.4 |
| 2017 | 665 | 437 | 65.7 | 401 | 36 | 8.2 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Amery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2,288 | 1,275 | 55.7 | 1,261 | 14 | 1.1 |
| 2010 | 2,410 | 1,252 | 52.0 | 1,170 | 82 | 6.5 |
| 2017 | 2,238 | 1,251 | 55.9 | 1,205 | 46 | 3.7 |
| City of St. Croix Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1,622 | 1,009 | 62.2 | 974 | 35 | 3.5 |
| 2010 | 1,506 | 1,003 | 67.3 | 917 | 86 | 8.6 |
| 2017 | 1,627 | 887 | 54.5 | 834 | 53 | 6.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 31,857 | 21,383 | 67.1 | 20,553 | 830 | 3.9 |
| 2010 | 35,071 | 23,664 | 67.5 | 21,939 | 1,725 | 7.3 |
| 2017 | 35,090 | 22,338 | 63.7 | 21,257 | 1,081 | 4.8 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2012-2016 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 26
Employment By Occupation - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County)

|  | 2000 |  | 2010 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Management, business, science, and arts occupations | 5,418 | 26.4 | 5,755 | 26.2 | 6,251 | 29.4 |
| Service occupations | 2,839 | 13.8 | 3,568 | 16.3 | 3,433 | 16.1 |
| Sales and office occupations | 4,458 | 21.7 | 4,948 | 22.6 | 4,268 | 20.1 |
| Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations | 2,470 | 12.0 | 2,631 | 12.0 | 2,627 | 12.4 |
| Production, transportation, and material moving occupations | 5,368 | 26.1 | 5,037 | 23.0 | 4,678 | 22.0 |
| TOTAL | 20,553 | 100.0 | 21,939 | 100.0 | 21,257 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 27
Travel Time to Work - 1990 to 2017 (Polk County)

|  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2010 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Worked at home | 1,733 | 11.4\% | 1,268 | 6.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Less than 5 minutes | 1,219 | 8.0\% | 1,226 | 6.0\% | 1,359 | 6.6\% | 1,120 | 5.7\% |
| 5 to 9 minutes | 2,450 | 16.1\% | 2,577 | 12.7\% | 2,738 | 13.3\% | 2,336 | 11.8\% |
| 10 to 19 minutes | 3,761 | 24.7\% | 4,915 | 24.2\% | 5,030 | 24.5\% | 4,932 | 24.9\% |
| 20 to 29 minutes | 1,905 | 12.5\% | 3,002 | 14.8\% | 3,312 | 16.1\% | 3,302 | 16.7\% |
| 30 to 44 minutes | 1,752 | 11.5\% | 2,830 | 13.9\% | 3,535 | 17.2\% | 3,437 | 17.4\% |
| 45 to 59 minutes | 850 | 5.6\% | 1,668 | 8.2\% | 1,770 | 8.6\% | 1,918 | 9.7\% |
| 60 minutes or longer | 1,537 | 10.1\% | 2,802 | 13.8\% | 2,789 | 13.6\% | 2,756 | 13.9\% |
| TOTAL | 15,207 | 100.0\% | 20,288 | 100.0\% | 20,533 | 100.0\% | 19,801 | 100.0\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

## SECTION III - HOUSING SUPPLY, OCCUPANCY \& OWNERSHIP

## Overall Housing Stock

Table 28

| Housing Units - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 942 | 1,056 | 1,235 | 1,396 | 1,371 | 136 | 11.0\% |
| Apple River | 487 | 570 | 625 | 715 | 772 | 147 | 23.5\% |
| Balsam Lake | 732 | 906 | 1,018 | 1,093 | 1,079 | 61 | 6.0\% |
| Beaver | 370 | 419 | 441 | 521 | 519 | 78 | 17.7\% |
| Black Brook | 336 | 361 | 448 | 548 | 634 | 186 | 41.5\% |
| Bone Lake | 304 | 331 | 434 | 489 | 502 | 68 | 15.7\% |
| Clam Falls | 275 | 372 | 352 | 331 | 319 | -33 | -9.4\% |
| Clayton | 348 | 371 | 412 | 470 | 491 | 79 | 19.2\% |
| Clear Lake | 256 | 263 | 290 | 354 | 344 | 54 | 18.6\% |
| Eureka | 446 | 523 | 567 | 756 | 757 | 190 | 33.5\% |
| Farmington | 389 | 414 | 555 | 694 | 707 | 152 | 27.4\% |
| Garfield | 565 | 679 | 707 | 933 | 999 | 292 | 41.3\% |
| Georgetown | 1,017 | 1,162 | 1,274 | 1,337 | 1,344 | 70 | 5.5\% |
| Johnstown | 322 | 350 | 418 | 456 | 478 | 60 | 14.4\% |
| Laketown | 373 | 420 | 445 | 538 | 578 | 133 | 29.9\% |
| Lincoln | 868 | 1,056 | 1,119 | 1,301 | 1,268 | 149 | 13.3\% |
| Lorain | 140 | 153 | 173 | 183 | 184 | 11 | 6.4\% |
| Luck | 395 | 381 | 400 | 480 | 476 | 76 | 19.0\% |
| McKinley | 190 | 201 | 223 | 258 | 242 | 19 | 8.5\% |
| Milltown | 655 | 745 | 811 | 895 | 893 | 82 | 10.1\% |
| Osceola | 469 | 582 | 829 | 1,188 | 1,195 | 366 | 44.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 402 | 486 | 538 | 609 | 685 | 147 | 27.3\% |
| Sterling | 357 | 448 | 521 | 583 | 542 | 21 | 4.0\% |
| West Sweden | 299 | 302 | 327 | 361 | 398 | 71 | 21.7\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 580 | 660 | 673 | 732 | 648 | -25 | -3.7\% |
| Centuria | 302 | 322 | 361 | 449 | 456 | 95 | 26.3\% |
| Clayton | 164 | 184 | 208 | 225 | 226 | 18 | 8.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 372 | 430 | 478 | 502 | 529 | 51 | 10.7\% |
| Dresser | 250 | 259 | 312 | 382 | 413 | 101 | 32.4\% |
| Frederic | 485 | 559 | 586 | 567 | 542 | -44 | -7.5\% |
| Luck | 428 | 501 | 572 | 567 | 597 | 25 | 4.4\% |
| Milltown | 373 | 375 | 437 | 473 | 533 | 96 | 22.0\% |
| Osceola | 668 | 853 | 1,072 | 1,289 | 1,230 | 158 | 14.7\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 1 | 31 | 40 | 33 | 2 | 6.5\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 325 | 395 | 508 | 495 | 464 | -44 | -8.7\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 1,027 | 1,132 | 1,311 | 1,445 | 1,364 | 53 | 4.0\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 642 | 735 | 926 | 1,088 | 1,108 | 182 | 19.7\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 16,228 | 18,562 | 21,129 | 24,248 | 24,456 | 3,327 | 15.7\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates
Units in Structure - 2000 and 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

|  | 2014 or later | 2010 to 2013 | 2000 to 2009 | 1990 to 1999 | 1980 to 1989 | 1970 to 1979 | 1960 to 1969 | 1940 to 1959 | 1939 or earlier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 0 | 15 | 269 | 324 | 161 | 223 | 123 | 49 | 207 |
| Apple River | 0 | 23 | 159 | 170 | 93 | 120 | 62 | 75 | 70 |
| Balsam Lake | 9 | 11 | 284 | 206 | 138 | 164 | 67 | 116 | 84 |
| Beaver | 0 | 15 | 127 | 120 | 24 | 64 | 70 | 23 | 76 |
| Black Brook | 0 | 6 | 168 | 103 | 75 | 81 | 44 | 39 | 118 |
| Bone Lake | 3 | 9 | 111 | 77 | 86 | 64 | 34 | 37 | 81 |
| Clam Falls | 2 | 0 | 41 | 33 | 29 | 43 | 12 | 71 | 88 |
| Clayton | 0 | 21 | 78 | 74 | 37 | 91 | 36 | 48 | 106 |
| Clear Lake | 2 | 0 | 82 | 44 | 39 | 63 | 10 | 36 | 68 |
| Eureka | 4 | 3 | 257 | 107 | 91 | 63 | 39 | 36 | 157 |
| Farmington | 5 | 3 | 185 | 129 | 46 | 131 | 34 | 22 | 152 |
| Garfield | 0 | 3 | 275 | 204 | 141 | 174 | 71 | 67 | 64 |
| Georgetown | 3 | 17 | 217 | 175 | 186 | 219 | 184 | 200 | 143 |
| Johnstown | 6 | 5 | 77 | 74 | 80 | 62 | 65 | 47 | 62 |
| Laketown | 3 | 10 | 134 | 95 | 56 | 65 | 48 | 54 | 113 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 22 | 136 | 200 | 176 | 227 | 81 | 210 | 216 |
| Lorain | 1 | 0 | 19 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 9 | 27 | 40 |
| Luck | 4 | 8 | 65 | 51 | 39 | 94 | 44 | 70 | 101 |
| McKinley | 4 | 0 | 22 | 65 | 18 | 28 | 35 | 18 | 52 |
| Milltown | 0 | 15 | 99 | 100 | 120 | 119 | 44 | 190 | 206 |
| Osceola | 6 | 28 | 382 | 295 | 108 | 123 | 24 | 60 | 169 |
| St. Croix Falls | 9 | 10 | 174 | 114 | 59 | 71 | 37 | 82 | 129 |
| Sterling | 7 | 9 | 81 | 78 | 79 | 126 | 24 | 55 | 83 |
| West Sweden | 0 | 3 | 76 | 55 | 18 | 44 | 19 | 53 | 130 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 13 | 16 | 115 | 139 | 69 | 62 | 59 | 125 | 50 |
| Centuria | 0 | 0 | 87 | 41 | 28 | 85 | 17 | 143 | 55 |
| Clayton | 0 | 0 | 23 | 41 | 27 | 50 | 15 | 49 | 21 |
| Clear Lake | 0 | 0 | 69 | 89 | 64 | 53 | 58 | 105 | 91 |
| Dresser | 0 | 0 | 78 | 25 | 34 | 71 | 44 | 79 | 82 |
| Frederic | 4 | 0 | 22 | 86 | 57 | 126 | 77 | 101 | 69 |
| Luck | 11 | 0 | 54 | 80 | 28 | 106 | 54 | 161 | 103 |



Table 31
Median Number of Rooms - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs )

| Municipality | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 3.4\% |
| Apple River | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 | -0.1 | -1.8\% |
| Balsam Lake | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 1.8\% |
| Beaver | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 3.8\% |
| Black Brook | 6 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 1.7\% |
| Bone Lake | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | -0.2 | -3.5\% |
| Clam Falls | 5 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 14.0\% |
| Clayton | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.8 | -0.1 | -1.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Eureka | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Farmington | 6.5 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 9.2\% |
| Garfield | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 1.8\% |
| Georgetown | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | -0.2 | -3.9\% |
| Johnstown | 4.9 | 5 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 14.3\% |
| Laketown | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 1.8\% |
| Lincoln | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Lorain | 5 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 14.0\% |
| Luck | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 3.5\% |
| McKinley | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Milltown | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 7.5\% |
| Osceola | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 3.2\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 6.6\% |
| Sterling | 4.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| West Sweden | 5.5 | 6 | 6 | 0.5 | 9.1\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 4.1\% |
| Centuria | 5.2 | 5 | 5 | -0.2 | -3.8\% |
| Clayton | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 11.8\% |
| Clear Lake | 5.3 | 5 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 7.5\% |
| Dresser | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6 | 0.8 | 15.4\% |
| Frederic | 5.1 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0\% |
| Luck | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.9\% |
| Milltown | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.5\% |
| Osceola | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 4.3\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 43.9\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | -0.2 | -4.2\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 5 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 28.0\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5 | -0.2 | -3.8\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 3.7\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 31.1

Changes in Tenure By Year Structure Built By Units In Structure 2000 \& 2017 (Polk County)

|  | 2000 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Owner occupied: |  |  |  |  |
| Built 2010 or later: |  | 187 |  |  |
| 1, detached or attached |  | 159 |  |  |
| 2 to 4 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 5 to 19 |  | 10 |  |  |
| 20 to 49 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 50 or more |  | 0 |  |  |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. |  | 18 |  |  |
| Built 2000 to 2009: |  | 2,908 |  |  |
| 1, detached or attached |  | 2,693 |  |  |
| 2 to 4 |  | 24 |  |  |
| 5 to 19 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 20 to 49 |  | 13 |  |  |
| 50 or more |  | 0 |  |  |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. |  | 178 |  |  |
| Built 1980 to 1999: | 4,078 | 4,158 | 80 | 2.0\% |
| 1, detached or attached | 3,252 | 3,515 | 263 | 8.1\% |
| 2 to 4 | 43 | 26 | -17 | -39.5\% |
| 5 to 19 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 300.0\% |
| 20 to 49 | 0 | 17 | 17 | - |
| 50 or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. | 780 | 588 | -192 | -24.6\% |
| Built 1960 to 1979: | 3,604 | 3,059 | -545 | -15.1\% |
| 1, detached or attached | 2,984 | 2,766 | -218 | -7.3\% |
| 2 to 4 | 24 | 16 | -8 | -33.3\% |
| 5 to 19 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 25.0\% |
| 20 to 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 50 or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. | 588 | 267 | -321 | -54.6\% |
| Built 1940 to 1959: | 1,780 | 1,462 | -318 | -17.9\% |
| 1, detached or attached | 1,747 | 1,446 | -301 | -17.2\% |
| 2 to 4 | 14 | 4 | -10 | -71.4\% |
| 5 to 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 20 to 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 50 or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. | 19 | 12 | -7 | -36.8\% |
| Built 1939 or earlier: | 3,141 | 2,482 | -659 | -21.0\% |
| 1, detached or attached | 3,071 | 2,456 | -615 | -20.0\% |
| 2 to 4 | 38 | 17 | -21 | -55.3\% |
| 5 to 19 | 4 | 0 | -4 | -100.0\% |
| 20 to 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 50 or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. | 28 | 9 | -19 | -67.9\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 7}$ |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates
NOTE: Years built are not the same for the 1980-1999 in year 2000

Table 32
Median Number of Bedrooms- 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Municipality | No Bedroom | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedrooms | 3 Bedrooms | 4 Bedrooms | 5 or more bedrooms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 8 | 91 | 360 | 570 | 270 | 72 |
| Apple River | 8 | 45 | 294 | 308 | 105 | 12 |
| Balsam Lake | 4 | 101 | 341 | 442 | 140 | 51 |
| Beaver | 11 | 38 | 155 | 242 | 44 | 29 |
| Black Brook | 0 | 53 | 141 | 264 | 140 | 36 |
| Bone Lake | 7 | 32 | 199 | 185 | 59 | 20 |
| Clam Falls | 8 | 30 | 101 | 104 | 59 | 17 |
| Clayton | 18 | 32 | 147 | 197 | 78 | 19 |
| Clear Lake | 7 | 0 | 95 | 165 | 58 | 19 |
| Eureka | 13 | 26 | 205 | 391 | 100 | 22 |
| Farmington | 0 | 40 | 137 | 317 | 161 | 52 |
| Garfield | 11 | 68 | 282 | 408 | 168 | 62 |
| Georgetown | 18 | 210 | 466 | 479 | 153 | 18 |
| Johnstown | 22 | 39 | 129 | 210 | 63 | 15 |
| Laketown | 26 | 33 | 146 | 252 | 108 | 13 |
| Lincoln | 13 | 18 | 369 | 586 | 257 | 25 |
| Lorain | 8 | 3 | 65 | 78 | 23 | 7 |
| Luck | 15 | 45 | 121 | 188 | 89 | 18 |
| McKinley | 9 | 38 | 76 | 95 | 20 | 4 |
| Milltown | 28 | 42 | 235 | 375 | 187 | 26 |
| Osceola | 21 | 75 | 200 | 508 | 280 | 111 |
| St. Croix Falls | 3 | 30 | 86 | 391 | 142 | 33 |
| Sterling | 40 | 69 | 208 | 152 | 58 | 15 |
| West Sweden | 0 | 27 | 120 | 161 | 76 | 14 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 3 | 89 | 203 | 257 | 86 | 10 |
| Centuria | 7 | 81 | 128 | 188 | 32 | 20 |
| Clayton | 0 | 15 | 44 | 147 | 20 | 0 |
| Clear Lake | 0 | 93 | 175 | 180 | 72 | 9 |
| Dresser | 0 | 23 | 132 | 182 | 55 | 21 |
| Frederic | 5 | 101 | 190 | 184 | 35 | 27 |
| Luck | 5 | 109 | 161 | 220 | 98 | 4 |
| Milltown | 11 | 116 | 194 | 173 | 29 | 10 |
| Osceola | 19 | 113 | 543 | 396 | 131 | 28 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 28 | 106 | 167 | 116 | 36 | 11 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 0 | 183 | 415 | 482 | 246 | 38 |
| St. Croix Falls | 9 | 175 | 447 | 297 | 149 | 31 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 357 | 2,283 | 7,325 | 9,792 | 3,791 | 908 |

[^2]Table 33
HUD State of the Cities Data Systems (SOCDS) Building Permits Database - 2010 to June 2019 (Polk County)

| Polk County | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 <br> (through June) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Units | 91 | 46 | 68 | 73 | 87 | 103 | 152 | 164 | 203 | 45 | 1,032 |
| Units in Single-Family Structures | 83 | 46 | 68 | 73 | 87 | 103 | 131 | 146 | 195 | 45 | 977 |
| Units in 2-unit Multi-Family Structures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Units in 3-\& 4-unit Multi-Family Structures | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Units in 5+ unit Multi-Family Structures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 39 |

Source: https://socds.huduser.gov/permits/

## Housing Type and Occupancy Status

Table 34
Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County)

| Polk County | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Total Housing Units | 16,228 | 18,562 | 21,129 | 24,115 | 24,456 | 3,327 | 15.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 3,219 | 4,634 | 4,211 | 4,429 | 4,985 | 774 | 18.4\% |
| Total Vacant | 1,615 | 5,506 | 4,875 | 5,945 | 6,267 | 1,392 | 28.6\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 11,394 | 13,056 | 16,254 | 18,170 | 18,189 | 1,935 | 11.9\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 9,090 | 10,165 | 13,037 | 14,893 | 14,256 | 1,219 | 9.4\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 2,305 | 2,891 | 3,217 | 3,277 | 3,933 | 716 | 22.3\% |
| Single Family Units* | 10,242 | 14,114 | 16,801 | 19,710 | 20,126 | 3,325 | 19.8\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 1,400 | 1,571 | 2,040 | 2,379 | 2,280 | 240 | 11.8\% |
| Mobile Homes | 1,169 | 2,877 | 2,068 | 2,016 | 2,043 | -25 | -1.2\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 35
Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Town of Alden | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$-'17 <br> \# Change | 2000-'17 <br> \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Housing Units | 942 | 1,056 | 1,235 | 1,396 | 1,371 | 136 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Total Seasonal | 291 | 301 | 246 | 280 | 247 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total Vacant | 74 | 39 | 16 | 57 | 31 | 15 | $93.8 \%$ |
| Total Occupied Units | 577 | 716 | 973 | 1,059 | 1,093 | 120 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Owner Occupied Units | 505 | 635 | 888 | 965 | 1,004 | 116 | $13.1 \%$ |
| Renter Occupied Units | 72 | 81 | 85 | 94 | 89 | 4 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Single Family Units | 559 | 953 | 1,161 | 1,338 | 1,259 | 98 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Multi-Family Units | 38 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 43 | 34 | $377.8 \%$ |
| Mobile Homes | 54 | 97 | 75 | 45 | 69 | -6 | $-8.0 \%$ |


| Table 35 Continued <br> Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town of Apple River | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000-\text { '17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 487 | 570 | 625 | 715 | 772 | 147 | 23.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 191 | 251 | 189 | 229 | 318 | 129 | 68.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 17 | 15 | 18 | 27 | 10 | -8 | -44.4\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 279 | 304 | 418 | 459 | 444 | 26 | 6.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 235 | 254 | 389 | 409 | 380 | -9 | -2.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 44 | 50 | 29 | 50 | 64 | 35 | 120.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 237 | 453 | 522 | 601 | 706 | 184 | 35.2\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 12 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 0.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 47 | 115 | 55 | 113 | 58 | 3 | 5.5\% |
| Town of Balsam Lake | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 732 | 906 | 1,018 | 1,093 | 1,079 | 61 | 6.0\% |
| Total Seasonal | 385 | 404 | 463 | 476 | 406 | -57 | -12.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 26 | 99 | 26 | 49 | 72 | 46 | 176.9\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 321 | 403 | 529 | 568 | 601 | 72 | 13.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 290 | 363 | 499 | 538 | 585 | 86 | 17.2\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 31 | 41 | 30 | 30 | 16 | -14 | -46.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 290 | 628 | 927 | 939 | 982 | 55 | 5.9\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 16 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 22 | 13 | 144.4\% |
| Mobile Homes | 41 | 267 | 122 | 119 | 75 | -47 | -38.5\% |
| Town of Beaver | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 370 | 419 | 441 | 521 | 519 | 78 | 17.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 105 | 150 | 120 | 158 | 177 | 57 | 47.5\% |
| Total Vacant | 22 | 28 | 17 | 22 | 28 | 11 | 64.7\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 243 | 241 | 304 | 341 | 314 | 10 | 3.3\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 302 | 209 | 269 | 288 | 277 | 8 | 3.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 41 | 32 | 35 | 53 | 37 | 2 | 5.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 214 | 340 | 381 | 417 | 465 | 84 | 22.0\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 31 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 8 | - |
| Mobile Homes | 20 | 77 | 58 | 40 | 46 | -12 | -20.7\% |
| Town of Black Brook | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 336 | 361 | 448 | 548 | 634 | 186 | 41.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 16.7\% |
| Total Vacant | 21 | 19 | 17 | 28 | 24 | 7 | 41.2\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 300 | 329 | 419 | 508 | 596 | 177 | 42.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 258 | 285 | 366 | 436 | 505 | 139 | 38.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 42 | 44 | 53 | 72 | 91 | 38 | 71.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 274 | 326 | 381 | 492 | 545 | 164 | 43.0\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 18 | 4 | 7 | 34 | 25 | 18 | 257.1\% |
| Mobile Homes | 29 | 31 | 59 | 54 | 64 | 5 | 8.5\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Bone Lake | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 304 | 331 | 434 | 489 | 502 | 68 | 15.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 121 | 128 | 157 | 181 | 195 | 38 | 24.2\% |
| Total Vacant | 26 | 11 | 13 | 22 | 22 | 9 | 69.2\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 157 | 192 | 264 | 286 | 285 | 21 | 8.0\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 136 | 172 | 241 | 260 | 254 | 13 | 5.4\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 21 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 31 | 8 | 34.8\% |
| Single Family Units | 172 | 287 | 368 | 464 | 418 | 50 | 13.6\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 7 | 44 | 43 | 70 | 78 | 35 | 81.4\% |
| Town of Clam Falls | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 275 | 372 | 352 | 331 | 319 | -33 | -9.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 4 | 115 | 106 | 57 | 45 | -61 | -57.5\% |
| Total Vacant | 58 | 26 | 9 | 19 | 19 | 10 | 111.1\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 213 | 231 | 237 | 255 | 255 | 18 | 7.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 180 | 185 | 207 | 228 | 217 | 10 | 4.8\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 33 | 46 | 30 | 27 | 38 | 8 | 26.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 232 | 250 | 237 | 249 | 278 | 41 | 17.3\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 20 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 0.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 19 | 122 | 23 | 53 | 37 | 14 | 60.9\% |
| Town of Clayton | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 348 | 371 | 412 | 470 | 491 | 79 | 19.2\% |
| Total Seasonal | 60 | 72 | 44 | 60 | 63 | 19 | 43.2\% |
| Total Vacant | 25 | 14 | 8 | 22 | 7 | -1 | -12.5\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 263 | 285 | 360 | 388 | 421 | 61 | 16.9\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 216 | 232 | 304 | 329 | 359 | 55 | 18.1\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 47 | 53 | 56 | 59 | 62 | 6 | 10.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 239 | 302 | 339 | 361 | 433 | 94 | 27.7\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 18 | 13 | 24 | 5 | 15 | -9 | -37.5\% |
| Mobile Homes | 31 | 56 | 48 | 38 | 43 | -5 | -10.4\% |
| Town of Clear Lake | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 256 | 263 | 290 | 354 | 344 | 54 | 18.6\% |
| Total Seasonal | 5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 22 | 17 | 340.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 19 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 4 | 44.4\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 232 | 246 | 276 | 330 | 309 | 33 | 12.0\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 187 | 206 | 251 | 292 | 282 | 31 | 12.4\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 45 | 40 | 25 | 38 | 27 | 2 | 8.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 218 | 229 | 248 | 340 | 291 | 43 | 17.3\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Mobile Homes | 18 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 48 | 16 | 50.0\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Eureka | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 446 | 523 | 567 | 756 | 757 | 190 | 33.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 38 | 60 | 49 | 80 | 47 | -2 | -4.1\% |
| Total Vacant | 49 | 36 | 15 | 34 | 30 | 15 | 100.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 359 | 427 | 503 | 642 | 680 | 177 | 35.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 307 | 359 | 447 | 581 | 596 | 149 | 33.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 52 | 68 | 56 | 61 | 84 | 28 | 50.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 349 | 434 | 389 | 617 | 704 | 315 | 81.0\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 18 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 116.7\% |
| Mobile Homes | 41 | 72 | 72 | 63 | 40 | -32 | -44.4\% |
| Town of Farmington | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 389 | 414 | 555 | 694 | 707 | 152 | 27.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 15 | 3 | 15 | 16 | 27 | 12 | 80.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 31 | 11 | 15 | 26 | 39 | 24 | 160.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 343 | 400 | 525 | 652 | 641 | 116 | 22.1\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 282 | 329 | 455 | 584 | 561 | 106 | 23.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 61 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 80 | 10 | 14.3\% |
| Single Family Units | 312 | 370 | 503 | 716 | 656 | 153 | 30.4\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 37 | 23 | 29 | 9 | 37 | 8 | 27.6\% |
| Mobile Homes | 25 | 21 | 20 | 24 | 14 | -6 | -30.0\% |
| Town of Garfield | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-’17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 565 | 679 | 707 | 933 | 999 | 292 | 41.3\% |
| Total Seasonal | 193 | 259 | 168 | 273 | 318 | 150 | 89.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 51 | 16 | 10 | 38 | 51 | 41 | 410.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 321 | 404 | 529 | 622 | 630 | 101 | 19.1\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 284 | 345 | 466 | 527 | 542 | 76 | 16.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 37 | 59 | 63 | 95 | 88 | 25 | 39.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 307 | 528 | 647 | 768 | 831 | 184 | 28.4\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 27 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 27 | 13 | 92.9\% |
| Mobile Homes | 38 | 135 | 83 | 94 | 141 | 58 | 69.9\% |
| Town of Georgetown | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 1,017 | 1,162 | 1,274 | 1,337 | 1,344 | 70 | 5.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 679 | 833 | 814 | 860 | 850 | 36 | 4.4\% |
| Total Vacant | 60 | 24 | 27 | 48 | 38 | 11 | 40.7\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 278 | 305 | 433 | 429 | 456 | 23 | 5.3\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 244 | 259 | 366 | 379 | 390 | 24 | 6.6\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 34 | 46 | 67 | 50 | 66 | -1 | -1.5\% |
| Single Family Units | 294 | 942 | 1,054 | 1,155 | 1,152 | 98 | 9.3\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 16 | 9 | 29 | 37 | 16 | -13 | -44.8\% |
| Mobile Homes | 28 | 211 | 83 | 106 | 176 | 93 | 112.0\% |

Table 35 Continued
Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Town of Johnstown | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-'17 <br> \# Change | $\begin{gathered} 2000-\text { '17 } \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Housing Units | 322 | 350 | 418 | 456 | 478 | 60 | 14.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 8 | 192 | 206 | 232 | 231 | 25 | 12.1\% |
| Total Vacant | 175 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 77.8\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 139 | 151 | 203 | 208 | 231 | 28 | 13.8\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 102 | 126 | 169 | 161 | 163 | -6 | -3.6\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 37 | 25 | 34 | 47 | 68 | 34 | 100.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 253 | 318 | 391 | 439 | 457 | 66 | 16.9\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 31 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 3 | -6 | -66.7\% |
| Mobile Homes | 30 | 28 | 36 | 30 | 18 | -18 | -50.0\% |
| Town of Laketown | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 373 | 420 | 445 | 538 | 578 | 133 | 29.9\% |
| Total Seasonal | 11 | 68 | 85 | 113 | 140 | 55 | 64.7\% |
| Total Vacant | 62 | 32 | 10 | 28 | 51 | 41 | 410.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 300 | 320 | 350 | 397 | 387 | 37 | 10.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 259 | 271 | 308 | 352 | 351 | 43 | 14.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 41 | 49 | 42 | 45 | 36 | -6 | -14.3\% |
| Single Family Units | 328 | 348 | 415 | 437 | 490 | 75 | 18.1\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 13 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 0 | -8 | -100.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 21 | 66 | 66 | 49 | 88 | 22 | 33.3\% |
| Town of Lincoln | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 868 | 1,056 | 1,119 | 1,301 | 1,268 | 149 | 13.3\% |
| Total Seasonal | 269 | 348 | 240 | 353 | 346 | 106 | 44.2\% |
| Total Vacant | 51 | 50 | 15 | 64 | 71 | 56 | 373.3\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 548 | 658 | 864 | 884 | 851 | -13 | -1.5\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 72 | 560 | 787 | 797 | 760 | -27 | -3.4\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 476 | 98 | 77 | 87 | 91 | 14 | 18.2\% |
| Single Family Units | 478 | 859 | 954 | 1,148 | 1,106 | 152 | 15.9\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 49 | 37 | 22 | 31 | 29 | 7 | 31.8\% |
| Mobile Homes | 72 | 160 | 107 | 106 | 133 | 26 | 24.3\% |
| Town of Lorain | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 140 | 153 | 173 | 183 | 184 | 11 | 6.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 14 | 43 | 44 | 63 | 61 | 17 | 38.6\% |
| Total Vacant | 35 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 3 | -9 | -75.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 91 | 106 | 117 | 118 | 120 | 3 | 2.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 79 | 83 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 12 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 3 | 15.8\% |
| Single Family Units | 102 | 123 | 173 | 160 | 159 | -14 | -8.1\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -100.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 6 | 28 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0.0\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of Luck | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 395 | 381 | 400 | 480 | 476 | 76 | 19.0\% |
| Total Seasonal | 76 | 40 | 52 | 61 | 40 | -12 | -23.1\% |
| Total Vacant | 22 | 26 | 9 | 35 | 23 | 14 | 155.6\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 297 | 315 | 339 | 384 | 413 | 74 | 21.8\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 263 | 277 | 305 | 341 | 384 | 79 | 25.9\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 34 | 38 | 34 | 43 | 29 | -5 | -14.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 278 | 324 | 357 | 371 | 441 | 84 | 23.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 16 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 0 | -4 | -100.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 25 | 53 | 50 | 20 | 35 | -15 | -30.0\% |
| Town of McKinley | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-’17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000-’ 17 \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 190 | 201 | 223 | 258 | 242 | 19 | 8.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 11 | 75 | 83 | 101 | 112 | 29 | 34.9\% |
| Total Vacant | 63 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | -2 | -20.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 116 | 118 | 130 | 149 | 122 | -8 | -6.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 99 | 103 | 117 | 130 | 111 | -6 | -5.1\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 17 | 15 | 13 | 19 | 11 | -2 | -15.4\% |
| Single Family Units | 160 | 172 | 203 | 237 | 230 | 27 | 13.3\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -50.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 15 | 29 | 15 | 5 | 11 | -4 | -26.7\% |
| Town of Milltown | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 655 | 745 | 811 | 895 | 893 | 82 | 10.1\% |
| Total Seasonal | 244 | 375 | 345 | 381 | 381 | 36 | 10.4\% |
| Total Vacant | 96 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 12 | -13 | -52.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 315 | 343 | 441 | 493 | 500 | 59 | 13.4\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 274 | 294 | 406 | 461 | 446 | 40 | 9.9\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 41 | 49 | 35 | 32 | 54 | 19 | 54.3\% |
| Single Family Units | 384 | 675 | 789 | 756 | 866 | 77 | 9.8\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 3 | -4 | -57.1\% |
| Mobile Homes | 19 | 60 | 23 | 30 | 24 | 1 | 4.3\% |
| Town of Osceola | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 469 | 582 | 829 | 1,188 | 1,195 | 366 | 44.1\% |
| Total Seasonal | 95 | 84 | 64 | 84 | 114 | 50 | 78.1\% |
| Total Vacant | 29 | 26 | 21 | 53 | 16 | -5 | -23.8\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 345 | 472 | 744 | 1,051 | 1,065 | 321 | 43.1\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 299 | 414 | 669 | 937 | 937 | 268 | 40.1\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 46 | 58 | 75 | 114 | 128 | 53 | 70.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 326 | 485 | 723 | 1,101 | 1,138 | 415 | 57.4\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 26 | 22 | 44 | 48 | 38 | -6 | -13.6\% |
| Mobile Homes | 22 | 65 | 47 | 21 | 19 | -28 | -59.6\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town of St. Croix Falls | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 402 | 486 | 538 | 609 | 685 | 147 | 27.3\% |
| Total Seasonal | 108 | 95 | 104 | 128 | 158 | 54 | 51.9\% |
| Total Vacant | 11 | 18 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 8 | 57.1\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 283 | 373 | 420 | 461 | 505 | 85 | 20.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 249 | 318 | 359 | 397 | 463 | 104 | 29.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 34 | 55 | 61 | 64 | 42 | -19 | -31.1\% |
| Single Family Units | 256 | 411 | 469 | 532 | 640 | 171 | 36.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 7 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 31 | 55 | 33 | 13 | 26 | -7 | -21.2\% |
| Town of Sterling | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 357 | 448 | 521 | 583 | 542 | 21 | 4.0\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 213 | 230 | 226 | 211 | -19 | -8.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 182 | 14 | 11 | 22 | 7 | -4 | -36.4\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 185 | 221 | 280 | 335 | 324 | 44 | 15.7\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 153 | 196 | 257 | 298 | 254 | -3 | -1.2\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 22 | 25 | 23 | 37 | 70 | 47 | 204.3\% |
| Single Family Units | 343 | 273 | 375 | 396 | 438 | 63 | 16.8\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 25 | 23 | 1150.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 11 | 171 | 69 | 87 | 79 | 10 | 14.5\% |
| Town of West Sweden | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 299 | 302 | 327 | 361 | 398 | 71 | 21.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 23 | 22 | 30 | 40 | 34 | 4 | 13.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 28 | 30 | 12 | 31 | 44 | 32 | 266.7\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 248 | 250 | 285 | 290 | 320 | 35 | 12.3\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 205 | 220 | 255 | 256 | 290 | 35 | 13.7\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 43 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 233 | 268 | 282 | 330 | 384 | 102 | 36.2\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mobile Homes | 23 | 32 | 36 | 22 | 14 | -22 | -61.1\% |
| Village of Balsam Lake | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000-\text { '17 } \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 580 | 660 | 673 | 732 | 648 | -25 | -3.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 242 | 229 | 212 | 246 | 257 | 45 | 21.2\% |
| Total Vacant | 39 | 22 | 33 | 52 | 66 | 33 | 100.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 38 | 339 | 428 | 434 | 325 | -103 | -24.1\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 272 | 240 | 324 | 319 | 229 | -95 | -29.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 66 | 99 | 104 | 115 | 96 | -8 | -7.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 236 | 414 | 483 | 611 | 522 | 39 | 8.1\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 64 | 71 | 79 | 76 | 71 | -8 | -10.1\% |
| Mobile Homes | 77 | 175 | 129 | 142 | 55 | -74 | -57.4\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village of Centuria | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \% \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 302 | 322 | 361 | 449 | 456 | 95 | 26.3\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 900.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 26 | 19 | 17 | 34 | 46 | 29 | 170.6\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 276 | 298 | 343 | 414 | 400 | 57 | 16.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 193 | 200 | 254 | 236 | 176 | -78 | -30.7\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 83 | 98 | 89 | 178 | 224 | 135 | 151.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 225 | 226 | 254 | 282 | 287 | 33 | 13.0\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 48 | 51 | 59 | 68 | 147 | 88 | 149.2\% |
| Mobile Homes | 29 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 22 | -25 | -53.2\% |
| Village of Clayton | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 164 | 184 | 208 | 225 | 226 | 18 | 8.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -100.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 12 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 6 | 85.7\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 152 | 174 | 199 | 208 | 213 | 14 | 7.0\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 114 | 112 | 130 | 116 | 115 | -15 | -11.5\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 38 | 62 | 69 | 92 | 98 | 29 | 42.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 114 | 120 | 126 | 163 | 167 | 41 | 32.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 26 | 35 | 56 | 44 | 29 | -27 | -48.2\% |
| Mobile Homes | 24 | 29 | 32 | 50 | 30 | -2 | -6.3\% |
| Village of Clear Lake | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 372 | 430 | 478 | 502 | 529 | 51 | 10.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 60.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 12 | 26 | 20 | 34 | 33 | 13 | 65.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 353 | 396 | 453 | 459 | 488 | 35 | 7.7\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 251 | 284 | 321 | 314 | 327 | 6 | 1.9\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 102 | 112 | 132 | 145 | 161 | 29 | 22.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 280 | 279 | 298 | 364 | 343 | 45 | 15.1\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 69 | 74 | 96 | 112 | 114 | 18 | 18.8\% |
| Mobile Homes | 16 | 77 | 86 | 78 | 69 | -17 | -19.8\% |
| Village of Dresser | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 250 | 259 | 312 | 382 | 413 | 101 | 32.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 9 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | -4 | -100.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 12 | 10 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 7 | 116.7\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 238 | 246 | 302 | 361 | 400 | 98 | 32.5\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 175 | 170 | 233 | 258 | 261 | 28 | 12.0\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 63 | 76 | 69 | 103 | 139 | 70 | 101.4\% |
| Single Family Units | 185 | 189 | 231 | 313 | 337 | 106 | 45.9\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 48 | 42 | 54 | 63 | 56 | 2 | 3.7\% |
| Mobile Homes | 17 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 20 | -4 | -16.7\% |


| Table 35 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Village of Frederic | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 485 | 559 | 586 | 567 | 542 | -44 | -7.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 27 | 45 | 38 | 66 | 70 | 32 | 84.2\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 258 | 501 | 536 | 496 | 460 | -76 | -14.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 328 | 332 | 349 | 314 | 278 | -71 | -20.3\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 130 | 169 | 187 | 182 | 182 | -5 | -2.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 356 | 354 | 378 | 365 | 361 | -17 | -4.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 101 | 138 | 153 | 192 | 129 | -24 | -15.7\% |
| Mobile Homes | 28 | 76 | 60 | 43 | 52 | -8 | -13.3\% |
| Village of Luck | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \# Change } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 428 | 501 | 572 | 567 | 597 | 25 | 4.4\% |
| Total Seasonal | 12 | 50 | 49 | 52 | 44 | -5 | -10.2\% |
| Total Vacant | 12 | 22 | 23 | 40 | 37 | 14 | 60.9\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 404 | 429 | 500 | 475 | 516 | 16 | 3.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 263 | 288 | 335 | 314 | 330 | -5 | -1.5\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 141 | 141 | 165 | 161 | 186 | 21 | 12.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 289 | 352 | 411 | 471 | 454 | 43 | 10.5\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 101 | 101 | 105 | 108 | 101 | -4 | -3.8\% |
| Mobile Homes | 26 | 48 | 51 | 33 | 42 | -9 | -17.6\% |
| Village of Milltown | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2000-'17 } \\ & \text { \# Change } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000-’ 17 \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 373 | 375 | 437 | 473 | 533 | 96 | 22.0\% |
| Total Seasonal | 10 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | -5 | -100.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 24 | 33 | 12 | 50 | 19 | 7 | 58.3\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 339 | 341 | 420 | 416 | 514 | 94 | 22.4\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 239 | 221 | 276 | 251 | 274 | -2 | -0.7\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 100 | 120 | 144 | 165 | 240 | 96 | 66.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 200 | 193 | 225 | 281 | 260 | 35 | 15.6\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 92 | 99 | 117 | 155 | 165 | 48 | 41.0\% |
| Mobile Homes | 71 | 83 | 95 | 91 | 108 | 13 | 13.7\% |
| Village of Osceola | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 668 | 853 | 1072 | 1289 | 1230 | 158 | 14.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 0 | -11 | -100.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 68 | 38 | 59 | 132 | 152 | 93 | 157.6\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 600 | 811 | 1002 | 1142 | 1078 | 76 | 7.6\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 400 | 509 | 581 | 639 | 640 | 59 | 10.2\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 200 | 302 | 421 | 503 | 438 | 17 | 4.0\% |
| Single Family Units | 378 | 465 | 567 | 820 | 708 | 141 | 24.9\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 194 | 273 | 402 | 459 | 451 | 49 | 12.2\% |
| Mobile Homes | 96 | 115 | 99 | 74 | 71 | -28 | -28.3\% |

Table 35 Continued
Housing Characteristics - 1980 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Village of Turtle Lake (Polk Co.) | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Housing Units | 0 | 1 | 508 | 40 | 33 | -475 | -93.5\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -100.0\% |
| Total Vacant | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | -28 | -100.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 0 | 1 | 475 | 37 | 33 | -442 | -93.1\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 0 | 1 | 258 | 12 | 8 | -250 | -96.9\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 0 | 0 | 217 | 25 | 25 | -192 | -88.5\% |
| Single Family Units | 0 | 1 | 320 | 22 | 11 | -309 | -96.6\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 0 | 0 | 170 | 27 | 22 | -148 | -87.1\% |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | -14 | -100.0\% |
| Village of Turtle Lake (Barron Co.) | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 325 | 394 | 477 | 495 | 464 | -13 | -2.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | -1 | -33.3\% |
| Total Vacant | 15 | 34 | 24 | 37 | 49 | 25 | 104.2\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 310 | 358 | 450 | 455 | 413 | -37 | -8.2\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 137 | 233 | 254 | 253 | 203 | -51 | -20.1\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 173 | 125 | 196 | 202 | 210 | 14 | 7.1\% |
| Single Family Units | 257 | 280 | 307 | 331 | 262 | -45 | -14.7\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 59 | 96 | 152 | 137 | 185 | 33 | 21.7\% |
| Mobile Homes | 9 | 18 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 21.4\% |
| City of Amery | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 1,027 | 1,132 | 1,311 | 1,445 | 1,364 | 53 | 4.0\% |
| Total Seasonal | 16 | 32 | 23 | 44 | 51 | 28 | 121.7\% |
| Total Vacant | 95 | 66 | 57 | 115 | 98 | 41 | 71.9\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 916 | 1,034 | 1,231 | 1,286 | 1,215 | -16 | -1.3\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 679 | 674 | 807 | 802 | 836 | 29 | 3.6\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 237 | 360 | 424 | 484 | 379 | -45 | -10.6\% |
| Single Family Units | 683 | 740 | 837 | 961 | 922 | 85 | 10.2\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 241 | 263 | 344 | 396 | 275 | -69 | -20.1\% |
| Mobile Homes | 87 | 129 | 130 | 165 | 167 | 37 | 28.5\% |
| City of St. Croix Falls | 1980 | 1999 | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \# Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2000-'17 } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Housing Units | 642 | 735 | 926 | 1,088 | 1,108 | 182 | 19.7\% |
| Total Seasonal | 10 | 11 | 14 | 27 | 46 | 32 | 228.6\% |
| Total Vacant | 55 | 48 | 40 | 94 | 78 | 38 | 95.0\% |
| Total Occupied Units | 577 | 676 | 872 | 967 | 984 | 112 | 12.8\% |
| Owner Occupied Units | 391 | 440 | 545 | 566 | 573 | 28 | 5.1\% |
| Renter Occupied Units | 186 | 236 | 327 | 401 | 411 | 84 | 25.7\% |
| Single Family Units | 450 | 473 | 590 | 693 | 685 | 95 | 16.1\% |
| Multi-Family Units | 157 | 210 | 317 | 333 | 377 | 60 | 18.9\% |
| Mobile Homes | 25 | 52 | 35 | 34 | 46 | 11 | 31.4\% |

[^3]Table 36
Seasonal Units - 2000 and 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

| Municipality | 2000 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2000-2017 <br> Seasonal Unit Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Housing Units | Seasonal Units | \% of Total Units | Total Housing Units | Seasonal Units | \% of Total Units | \# Change | \% Change |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 1,235 | 246 | 19.9\% | 1,371 | 247 | 18.0\% | 1 | 0.4\% |
| Apple River | 625 | 189 | 30.2\% | 772 | 318 | 41.2\% | 129 | 68.3\% |
| Balsam Lake | 1,018 | 463 | 45.5\% | 1,079 | 406 | 37.6\% | -57 | -12.3\% |
| Beaver | 441 | 120 | 27.2\% | 519 | 177 | 34.1\% | 57 | 47.5\% |
| Black Brook | 448 | 12 | 2.7\% | 634 | 14 | 2.2\% | 2 | 16.7\% |
| Bone Lake | 434 | 157 | 36.2\% | 502 | 195 | 38.8\% | 38 | 24.2\% |
| Clam Falls | 352 | 106 | 30.1\% | 319 | 45 | 14.1\% | -61 | -57.5\% |
| Clayton | 412 | 44 | 10.7\% | 491 | 63 | 12.8\% | 19 | 43.2\% |
| Clear Lake | 290 | 5 | 1.7\% | 344 | 22 | 6.4\% | 17 | 340.0\% |
| Eureka | 567 | 49 | 8.6\% | 757 | 47 | 6.2\% | -2 | -4.1\% |
| Farmington | 555 | 15 | 2.7\% | 707 | 27 | 3.8\% | 12 | 80.0\% |
| Garfield | 707 | 168 | 23.8\% | 999 | 318 | 31.8\% | 150 | 89.3\% |
| Georgetown | 1,274 | 814 | 63.9\% | 1,344 | 850 | 63.2\% | 36 | 4.4\% |
| Johnstown | 418 | 206 | 49.3\% | 478 | 231 | 48.3\% | 25 | 12.1\% |
| Laketown | 445 | 85 | 19.1\% | 578 | 140 | 24.2\% | 55 | 64.7\% |
| Lincoln | 1,119 | 240 | 21.4\% | 1,268 | 346 | 27.3\% | 106 | 44.2\% |
| Lorain | 173 | 44 | 25.4\% | 184 | 61 | 33.2\% | 17 | 38.6\% |
| Luck | 400 | 52 | 13.0\% | 476 | 40 | 8.4\% | -512 | -92.8\% |
| McKinley | 223 | 83 | 37.2\% | 242 | 112 | 46.3\% | 29 | 34.9\% |
| Milltown | 811 | 345 | 42.5\% | 893 | 381 | 42.7\% | 36 | 10.4\% |
| Osceola | 829 | 64 | 7.7\% | 1,195 | 114 | 9.5\% | 50 | 78.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 538 | 104 | 19.3\% | 685 | 158 | 23.1\% | 54 | 51.9\% |
| Sterling | 521 | 230 | 44.1\% | 542 | 211 | 38.9\% | -19 | -8.3\% |
| West Sweden | 327 | 30 | 9.2\% | 398 | 34 | 8.5\% | 4 | 13.3\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 673 | 212 | 31.5\% | 648 | 257 | 39.7\% | 45 | 21.2\% |
| Centuria | 361 | 1 | 0.3\% | 456 | 10 | 2.2\% | 9 | 900.0\% |
| Clayton | 208 | 2 | 1.0\% | 226 | 0 | 0.0\% | -2 | -100.0\% |
| Clear Lake | 478 | 5 | 1.0\% | 529 | 8 | 1.5\% | 3 | 60.0\% |
| Dresser | 312 | 4 | 1.3\% | 413 | 0 | 0.0\% | -4 | -100.0\% |
| Frederic | 586 | 12 | 2.0\% | 542 | 12 | 2.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Luck | 572 | 49 | 8.6\% | 597 | 44 | 7.4\% | -5 | -10.2\% |
| Milltown | 437 | 5 | 1.1\% | 533 | 0 | 0.0\% | -5 | -100.0\% |
| Osceola | 1,072 | 11 | 1.0\% | 1,230 | 0 | 0.0\% | -11 | -100.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 31 | 0 | 0.0\% | 33 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | - |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 477 | 3 | 0.6\% | 464 | 2 | 0.4\% | -1 | -33.3\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 1,311 | 23 | 1.8\% | 1,364 | 51 | 3.7\% | 28 | 121.7\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 926 | 14 | 1.5\% | 1,108 | 46 | 4.2\% | 32 | 228.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 21,129 | 4,211 | 19.9\% | 24,456 | 4,985 | 20.4\% | 774 | 18.4\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates


## Homeowner-Occupied Housing

| Table 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Owner-Occupied Housing Units - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
|  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 888 | 965 | 1004 | 116 | 13.1\% |
| Apple River | 389 | 409 | 380 | -9 | -2.3\% |
| Balsam Lake | 499 | 538 | 585 | 86 | 17.2\% |
| Beaver | 269 | 288 | 277 | 8 | 3.0\% |
| Black Brook | 366 | 436 | 505 | 139 | 38.0\% |
| Bone Lake | 241 | 260 | 254 | 13 | 5.4\% |
| Clam Falls | 207 | 228 | 217 | 10 | 4.8\% |
| Clayton | 304 | 329 | 359 | 55 | 18.1\% |
| Clear Lake | 251 | 292 | 282 | 31 | 12.4\% |
| Eureka | 447 | 581 | 596 | 149 | 33.3\% |
| Farmington | 455 | 584 | 561 | 106 | 23.3\% |
| Garfield | 466 | 527 | 542 | 76 | 16.3\% |
| Georgetown | 366 | 379 | 390 | 24 | 6.6\% |
| Johnstown | 169 | 161 | 163 | -6 | -3.6\% |
| Laketown | 308 | 352 | 351 | 43 | 14.0\% |
| Lincoln | 787 | 797 | 760 | -27 | -3.4\% |
| Lorain | 98 | 98 | 98 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Luck | 305 | 341 | 384 | 79 | 25.9\% |
| McKinley | 117 | 130 | 111 | -6 | -5.1\% |
| Milltown | 406 | 461 | 446 | 40 | 9.9\% |
| Osceola | 669 | 937 | 937 | 268 | 40.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 359 | 397 | 463 | 104 | 29.0\% |
| Sterling | 257 | 298 | 254 | -3 | -1.2\% |
| West Sweden | 255 | 256 | 290 | 35 | 13.7\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 324 | 319 | 229 | -95 | -29.3\% |
| Centuria | 254 | 236 | 176 | -78 | -30.7\% |
| Clayton | 130 | 116 | 115 | -15 | -11.5\% |
| Clear Lake | 321 | 314 | 327 | 6 | 1.9\% |
| Dresser | 233 | 258 | 261 | 28 | 12.0\% |
| Frederic | 349 | 314 | 278 | -71 | -20.3\% |
| Luck | 335 | 314 | 330 | -5 | -1.5\% |
| Milltown | 276 | 251 | 274 | -2 | -0.7\% |
| Osceola | 581 | 639 | 640 | 59 | 10.2\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 4 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 254 | 253 | 203 | -51 | -20.1\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 807 | 802 | 836 | 29 | 3.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 545 | 566 | 573 | 28 | 5.1\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 13,037 | 14,185 | 14,256 | 1,219 | 9.4\% |

Table 39
Homeowner Vacancy Rates - 2000 to 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |
| Alden | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Apple River | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| Balsam Lake | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| Beaver | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Black Brook | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Bone Lake | 0.8 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| Clam Falls | 0.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| Clayton | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Clear Lake | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Eureka | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Farmington | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Garfield | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Georgetown | 1.6 | 7.9 | 1.5 |
| Johnstown | 0.0 | 2.4 | 4.1 |
| Laketown | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Lincoln | 0.3 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| Lorain | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Luck | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| McKinley | 0.8 | 2.2 | 3.5 |
| Milltown | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| Osceola | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| St. Croix Falls | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Sterling | 0.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| West Sweden | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Villages |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 2.1 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| Centuria | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.9 |
| Clayton | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| Clear Lake | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Dresser | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Frederic | 0.3 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Luck | 1.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| Milltown | 1.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Osceola | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 4.2 | 2.6 | 6.5 |
| Cities |  |  |  |
| Amery | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| St. Croix Falls | 1.8 | 8.6 | 0.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| Wisconsin | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| United States | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates








Table 40 Continued

|  | Less than \$50,000 | \$50,000-\$99,999 | \$100,000-\$149,999 | \$150,000-\$199,999 | \$200,000-\$299,999 | \$300,000-\$499,999 | \$500,000 or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milltown | 81 | 85 | 83 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| Osceola | 55 | 86 | 259 | 149 | 82 | 0 | 9 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 25 | 105 | 57 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 130 | 89 | 368 | 132 | 71 | 17 | 29 |
| St. Croix Falls | 36 | 83 | 187 | 112 | 109 | 42 | 4 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 1,001 | 2,012 | 3,523 | 2,884 | 3,140 | 1,358 | 338 |
| Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Table 41
Median Value of Owner-Occupied Specified Houses - 2000-2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-201 | Change \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | \$139,700 | \$229,500 | \$222,000 | \$82,300 | 58.9\% |
| Apple River | \$101,400 | \$159,200 | \$153,600 | \$52,200 | 51.5\% |
| Balsam Lake | \$124,100 | \$212,500 | \$192,200 | \$68,100 | 54.9\% |
| Beaver | \$88,800 | \$200,000 | \$150,300 | \$61,500 | 69.3\% |
| Black Brook | \$101,600 | \$215,800 | \$159,700 | \$58,100 | 57.2\% |
| Bone Lake | \$115,100 | \$185,700 | \$183,600 | \$68,500 | 59.5\% |
| Clam Falls | \$66,400 | \$129,800 | \$152,500 | \$86,100 | 129.7\% |
| Clayton | \$92,300 | \$177,600 | \$147,500 | \$55,200 | 59.8\% |
| Clear Lake | \$97,500 | \$184,600 | \$162,700 | \$65,200 | 66.9\% |
| Eureka | \$92,900 | \$191,400 | \$173,900 | \$81,000 | 87.2\% |
| Farmington | \$129,400 | \$233,000 | \$209,600 | \$80,200 | 62.0\% |
| Garfield | \$122,500 | \$198,400 | \$187,100 | \$64,600 | 52.7\% |
| Georgetown | \$122,700 | \$191,300 | \$174,500 | \$51,800 | 42.2\% |
| Johnstown | \$123,200 | \$187,500 | \$172,500 | \$49,300 | 40.0\% |
| Laketown | \$91,500 | \$188,000 | \$150,800 | \$59,300 | 64.8\% |
| Lincoln | \$120,500 | \$171,500 | \$181,700 | \$61,200 | 50.8\% |
| Lorain | \$63,800 | \$164,100 | \$117,000 | \$53,200 | 83.4\% |
| Luck | \$91,400 | \$160,500 | \$137,500 | \$46,100 | 50.4\% |
| McKinley | \$85,000 | \$165,000 | \$154,900 | \$69,900 | 82.2\% |
| Milltown | \$114,100 | \$185,900 | \$183,600 | \$69,500 | 60.9\% |
| Osceola | \$138,200 | \$221,500 | \$198,600 | \$60,400 | 43.7\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$112,500 | \$240,700 | \$180,100 | \$67,600 | 60.1\% |
| Sterling | \$82,700 | \$153,700 | \$125,800 | \$43,100 | 52.1\% |
| West Sweden | \$84,000 | \$165,400 | \$142,200 | \$58,200 | 69.3\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | \$87,300 | \$131,300 | \$132,900 | \$45,600 | 52.2\% |
| Centuria | \$80,300 | \$110,800 | \$94,000 | \$13,700 | 17.1\% |
| Clayton | \$72,700 | \$138,600 | \$101,500 | \$28,800 | 39.6\% |
| Clear Lake | \$80,500 | \$119,200 | \$119,200 | \$38,700 | 48.1\% |
| Dresser | \$89,400 | \$140,900 | \$124,200 | \$34,800 | 38.9\% |
| Frederic | \$67,900 | \$109,200 | \$102,300 | \$34,400 | 50.7\% |
| Luck | \$79,600 | \$118,400 | \$109,100 | \$29,500 | 37.1\% |
| Milltown | \$80,000 | \$118,600 | \$87,000 | \$7,000 | 8.8\% |
| Osceola | \$98,900 | \$148,200 | \$138,600 | \$39,700 | 40.1\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | \$112,500 | \$162,500 | NA | NA | NA |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | \$62,400 | \$101,800 | \$88,400 | \$26,000 | 41.7\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | \$93,500 | \$119,100 | \$130,300 | \$36,800 | 39.4\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$108,400 | \$175,200 | \$145,000 | \$36,600 | 33.8\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | \$100,200 | \$170,300 | \$158,300 | \$58,100 | 58.0\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates

Table 42
Median Sale Price - 2007-2018 (Polk County \& Wisconsin)

|  |  | Polk County | Wisconsin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 |  | \$154,700 | \$168,000 |
| 2008 |  | \$132,500 | \$160,000 |
| 2009 |  | \$110,000 | \$148,500 |
| 2010 |  | \$110,000 | \$142,700 |
| 2011 |  | \$101,250 | \$131,737 |
| 2012 |  | \$100,000 | \$133,500 |
| 2013 |  | \$118,000 | \$143,000 |
| 2014 |  | \$124,000 | \$147,750 |
| 2015 |  | \$135,000 | \$155,000 |
| 2016 |  | \$149,900 | \$163,500 |
| 2017 |  | \$164,500 | \$172,500 |
| 2018 |  | \$174,000 | \$184,000 |
| 2007-2017 Change | \# | \$9,800 | \$4,500 |
|  | \% | 6.3\% | 2.7\% |
| 2007-2018* Change | \# | \$19,300 | \$16,000 |
|  | \% | 12.5\% | 9.5\% |

Source: Wisconsin Realtors Association

Table 43
FHFA Housing Price Index (HPI)* - 1985-2018 (Polk County)

| Year | Annual Change (\%) | HPI with 1990 base | HPI with 2000 base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | . | 83.30 | 45.20 |
| 1986 | 2.89 | 85.71 | 46.50 |
| 1987 | 4.04 | 89.17 | 48.38 |
| 1988 | 8.68 | 96.91 | 52.58 |
| 1989 | -1.18 | 95.77 | 51.96 |
| 1990 | 4.42 | 100.00 | 54.26 |
| 1991 | 2.82 | 102.82 | 55.79 |
| 1992 | 0.69 | 103.52 | 56.17 |
| 1993 | 2.21 | 105.82 | 57.41 |
| 1994 | 8.45 | 114.76 | 62.26 |
| 1995 | 9.87 | 126.09 | 68.41 |
| 1996 | 6.40 | 134.15 | 72.79 |
| 1997 | 10.16 | 147.79 | 80.19 |
| 1998 | 2.14 | 150.96 | 81.90 |
| 1999 | 11.06 | 167.65 | 90.96 |
| 2000 | 9.93 | 184.31 | 100.00 |
| 2001 | 7.51 | 198.15 | 107.51 |
| 2002 | 5.32 | 208.70 | 113.23 |
| 2003 | 4.03 | 217.10 | 117.79 |
| 2004 | 9.59 | 237.92 | 129.09 |
| 2005 | 6.74 | 253.97 | 137.79 |
| 2006 | 3.22 | 262.16 | 142.24 |
| 2007 | -1.05 | 259.39 | 140.74 |
| 2008 | -2.29 | 253.44 | 137.51 |
| 2009 | -5.16 | 240.38 | 130.42 |
| 2010 | -9.11 | 218.49 | 118.54 |
| 2011 | -6.00 | 205.38 | 111.43 |
| 2012 | -5.14 | 194.83 | 105.71 |
| 2013 | 1.59 | 197.93 | 107.39 |
| 2014 | 5.44 | 208.70 | 113.23 |
| 2015 | 2.25 | 213.38 | 115.77 |
| 2016 | 5.03 | 224.11 | 121.60 |
| 2017 | 7.05 | 239.91 | 130.17 |
| 2018 | 6.32 | 255.08 | 138.40 |

Source: https://www.fhfa.gov/DataTools/Downloads/Pages/House-Price-Index-Datasets. aspx
*The FHFA House Price Index (HPI) is a broad measure of the movement of single-family house prices. The HPI is a weighted, repeat-sales index, meaning that it measures average price changes in repeat sales or refinancings on the same properties. This information is obtained by reviewing repeat mortgage transactions on single-family properties whose mortgages have been purchased or securitized by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac since January 1975.

[^5]Table 44
Multiple Median (Housing Affordability Gauge) - 2000-2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |
| Alden | 2.44 | 3.70 | 3.15 |
| Apple River | 2.33 | 2.99 | 2.72 |
| Balsam Lake | 3.62 | 3.71 | 2.80 |
| Beaver | 2.21 | 4.08 | 2.74 |
| Black Brook | 2.11 | 3.31 | 2.56 |
| Bone Lake | 2.89 | 4.03 | 3.09 |
| Clam Falls | 1.91 | 3.34 | 3.80 |
| Clayton | 3.17 | 3.02 | 2.62 |
| Clear Lake | 3.02 | 3.14 | 2.41 |
| Eureka | 2.04 | 3.17 | 2.99 |
| Farmington | 2.20 | 3.29 | 2.83 |
| Garfield | 2.55 | 2.96 | 2.83 |
| Georgetown | 3.19 | 4.87 | 3.25 |
| Johnstown | 3.29 | 3.79 | 3.68 |
| Laketown | 2.28 | 3.19 | 2.76 |
| Lincoln | 2.63 | 3.28 | 2.81 |
| Lorain | 2.53 | 4.41 | 2.73 |
| Luck | 2.84 | 3.57 | 2.13 |
| McKinley | 2.29 | 4.03 | 3.58 |
| Milltown | 4.03 | 3.43 | 3.75 |
| Osceola | 3.54 | 3.50 | 2.31 |
| St. Croix Falls | 2.42 | 3.98 | 2.81 |
| Sterling | 2.29 | 3.46 | 2.77 |
| West Sweden | 2.04 | 3.35 | 2.46 |
| Villages |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 1.90 | 3.01 | 3.28 |
| Centuria | 2.47 | 2.49 | 3.17 |
| Clayton | 1.74 | 4.03 | 2.51 |
| Clear Lake | 1.66 | 2.92 | 2.90 |
| Dresser | 2.38 | 2.78 | 2.22 |
| Frederic | 2.68 | 4.23 | 2.67 |
| Luck | 1.97 | 3.56 | 2.74 |
| Milltown | 1.70 | 3.54 | 2.43 |
| Osceola | 1.78 | 3.49 | 2.88 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 5.70 | 6.45 | N/A |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 2.12 | 2.34 | 2.52 |
| Cities |  |  |  |
| Amery | 3.04 | 3.09 | 3.30 |
| St. Croix Falls | 2.75 | 3.77 | 3.54 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 2.43 | 3.42 | 2.96 |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS Five Year Estimates

Table 45
Owner Monthly Housing Costs with a Mortgage as a Percentage of Household Income - 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | Less than 20\% | 20.0\%-24.9\% | 25.0\%-29.9\% | 30.0\%-34.9\% | 35.0\% or more | \% of Cost Burdened Owner Households+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 226 | 115 | 75 | 91 | 208 | 41.8\% |
| Apple River | 106 | 51 | 30 | 10 | 47 | 23.4\% |
| Balsam Lake | 163 | 22 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 44.6\% |
| Beaver | 59 | 34 | 18 | 20 | 31 | 31.5\% |
| Black Brook | 136 | 115 | 15 | 42 | 79 | 31.3\% |
| Bone Lake | 51 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 57 | 44.4\% |
| Clam Falls | 36 | 15 | 26 | 4 | 46 | 39.4\% |
| Clayton | 83 | 35 | 28 | 31 | 58 | 37.9\% |
| Clear Lake | 74 | 27 | 20 | 16 | 37 | 30.5\% |
| Eureka | 144 | 70 | 47 | 25 | 141 | 38.9\% |
| Farmington | 156 | 85 | 43 | 13 | 54 | 19.1\% |
| Garfield | 136 | 58 | 71 | 24 | 72 | 26.6\% |
| Georgetown | 57 | 32 | 21 | 24 | 63 | 44.2\% |
| Johnstown | 14 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 42.9\% |
| Laketown | 81 | 25 | 9 | 18 | 48 | 36.5\% |
| Lincoln | 166 | 68 | 71 | 35 | 118 | 33.4\% |
| Lorain | 17 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 23 | 52.6\% |
| Luck | 116 | 39 | 26 | 8 | 56 | 26.1\% |
| McKinley | 13 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 27.3\% |
| Milltown | 90 | 43 | 46 | 37 | 78 | 39.1\% |
| Osceola | 363 | 185 | 58 | 16 | 71 | 12.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 130 | 38 | 21 | 50 | 86 | 41.8\% |
| Sterling | 55 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 55 | 38.6\% |
| West Sweden | 63 | 38 | 24 | 10 | 42 | 29.4\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 36 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 47 | 44.0\% |
| Centuria | 55 | 27 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 26.9\% |
| Clayton | 30 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 27.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 80 | 35 | 31 | 16 | 49 | 30.8\% |
| Dresser | 79 | 40 | 15 | 24 | 22 | 25.6\% |
| Frederic | 71 | 37 | 22 | 20 | 43 | 32.6\% |
| Luck | 67 | 40 | 21 | 20 | 31 | 28.5\% |
| Milltown | 74 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 66 | 46.2\% |
| Osceola | 162 | 106 | 73 | 44 | 107 | 30.7\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 40 | 30 | 26 | 3 | 19 | 18.6\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 186 | 39 | 94 | 65 | 75 | 30.5\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 114 | 62 | 27 | 19 | 115 | 39.8\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 3,489 | 1,612 | 1,051 | 808 | 2,222 | 33.0\% |

source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates
+Cost Burdened defined as a household paying more than 30 percent of its income on housing costs.
Table 46
Owner Monthly Housing Costs without a Mortgage as a Percentage of Household Income - 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | Less than 10\% | 10.0\%-14.9\% | 15.0\%-19.9\% | 20.0\%-24.9\% | 25.0\%-29.9\% | 30.0\%-34.9\% | 35.0\% or more | \% of Cost Burdened Owner Households+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 90 | 104 | 28 | 27 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 8.5\% |
| Apple River | 45 | 25 | 28 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 13.4\% |
| Balsam Lake | 87 | 40 | 14 | 36 | 14 | 4 | 20 | 11.2\% |
| Beaver | 24 | 39 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 13.2\% |
| Black Brook | 50 | 14 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 13.5\% |
| Bone Lake | 31 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 7.8\% |
| Clam Falls | 30 | 7 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 13.3\% |
| Clayton | 62 | 28 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8.9\% |
| Clear Lake | 61 | 26 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 6.5\% |
| Eureka | 52 | 51 | 25 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 9.0\% |
| Farmington | 77 | 47 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 25 | 13.6\% |
| Garfield | 56 | 35 | 39 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 12.5\% |
| Georgetown | 45 | 40 | 24 | 30 | 12 | 9 | 33 | 21.8\% |
| Johnstown | 25 | 22 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 23.3\% |
| Laketown | 69 | 22 | 36 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 12.3\% |
| Lincoln | 97 | 63 | 55 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 8.9\% |
| Lorain | 16 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 17.9\% |
| Luck | 69 | 30 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 12.7\% |
| McKinley | 15 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 32.8\% |
| Milltown | 52 | 26 | 11 | 23 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 23.0\% |
| Osceola | 84 | 43 | 41 | 36 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 11.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 37 | 34 | 25 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 13.8\% |
| Sterling | 23 | 21 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 22.2\% |
| West Sweden | 47 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 15.7\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 32 | 21 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 18.3\% |
| Centuria | 9 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 8.7\% |
| Clayton | 28 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4.5\% |
| Clear Lake | 37 | 29 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 21 | 21.4\% |
| Dresser | 8 | 22 | 25 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 14.1\% |

Table 46 Continued

|  | Less than 10\% | 10.0\%-14.9\% | 15.0\%-19.9\% | 20.0\%-24.9\% | 25.0\%-29.9\% | 30.0\%-34.9\% | 35.0\% or more | \% of Cost Burdened Owner Households+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Villages Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frederic | 48 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 11.8\% |
| Luck | 32 | 21 | 28 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 29 | 25.7\% |
| Milltown | 55 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3.4\% |
| Osceola | 40 | 42 | 23 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 13.5\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 28 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 21.2\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 70 | 47 | 103 | 44 | 24 | 61 | 28 | 23.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 58 | 57 | 41 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 56 | 23.7\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 1,661 | 1,055 | 757 | 453 | 294 | 188 | 556 | 15.0\% |

source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates
+Cost Burdened defined as a household paying more than 30 percent of its income on housing costs.

## Renter-Occupied Housing



Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

Table 49
Gross Rent (Renter-Occupied Units) - 2017 (Polk County MCDs)

|  | Less than \$500 | \$500-\$999 | \$1,000-\$1,499 | \$1,500-\$1,999 | \$2,000-\$2,499 | \$2,500 or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 5 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apple River | 0 | 52 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Balsam Lake | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beaver | 0 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black Brook | 14 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bone Lake | 2 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Clam Falls | 2 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clayton | 4 | 25 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Clear Lake | 2 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eureka | 12 | 59 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Farmington | 0 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Garfield | 4 | 52 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgetown | 28 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Johnstown | 31 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laketown | 14 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 58 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Lorain | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luck | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| McKinley | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Milltown | 0 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 4 | 67 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Croix Falls | 12 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| Sterling | 0 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Sweden | 2 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 9 | 73 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Centuria | 42 | 130 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Clayton | 16 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clear Lake | 75 | 77 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Dresser | 8 | 60 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Frederic | 78 | 77 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luck | 34 | 94 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Milltown | 60 | 166 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 45 | 316 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 42 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 104 | 164 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Croix Falls | 126 | 254 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 743 | 2,234 | 577 | 71 | 3 | 0 |

[^6]Table 50

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | 2000-2017 Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | \$478.00 | \$872.00 | \$745.00 | \$267.00 | 55.9\% |
| Apple River | \$639.00 | \$481.00 | \$690.00 | \$51.00 | 8.0\% |
| Balsam Lake | \$475.00 | \$875.00 | \$425.00 | -\$50.00 | -10.5\% |
| Beaver | \$544.00 | \$967.00 | \$819.00 | \$275.00 | 50.6\% |
| Black Brook | \$489.00 | \$925.00 | \$596.00 | \$107.00 | 21.9\% |
| Bone Lake | \$492.00 | NA | \$615.00 | \$123.00 | 25.0\% |
| Clam Falls | \$330.00 | \$593.00 | \$753.00 | \$423.00 | 128.2\% |
| Clayton | \$485.00 | \$617.00 | \$795.00 | \$310.00 | 63.9\% |
| Clear Lake | \$445.00 | \$1,031.00 | \$725.00 | \$280.00 | 62.9\% |
| Eureka | \$533.00 | \$638.00 | \$838.00 | \$305.00 | 57.2\% |
| Farmington | \$600.00 | \$750.00 | \$842.00 | \$242.00 | 40.3\% |
| Garfield | \$425.00 | \$778.00 | \$890.00 | \$465.00 | 109.4\% |
| Georgetown | \$400.00 | \$566.00 | \$496.00 | \$96.00 | 24.0\% |
| Johnstown | \$438.00 | \$513.00 | \$468.00 | \$30.00 | 6.8\% |
| Laketown | \$460.00 | \$917.00 | \$753.00 | \$293.00 | 63.7\% |
| Lincoln | \$488.00 | \$908.00 | \$867.00 | \$379.00 | 77.7\% |
| Lorain | \$425.00 | \$692.00 | \$700.00 | \$275.00 | 64.7\% |
| Luck | \$504.00 | \$628.00 | \$840.00 | \$336.00 | 66.7\% |
| McKinley | \$525.00 | \$983.00 | \$1,031.00 | \$506.00 | 96.4\% |
| Milltown | \$445.00 | NA | \$780.00 | \$335.00 | 75.3\% |
| Osceola | \$590.00 | \$735.00 | \$960.00 | \$370.00 | 62.7\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$513.00 | \$896.00 | \$796.00 | \$283.00 | 55.2\% |
| Sterling | \$438.00 | \$777.00 | \$811.00 | \$373.00 | 85.2\% |
| West Sweden | \$425.00 | \$703.00 | \$1,125.00 | \$700.00 | 164.7\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | \$388.00 | \$721.00 | \$754.00 | \$366.00 | 94.3\% |
| Centuria | \$398.00 | \$531.00 | \$693.00 | \$295.00 | 74.1\% |
| Clayton | \$478.00 | \$650.00 | \$716.00 | \$238.00 | 49.8\% |
| Clear Lake | \$354.00 | \$600.00 | \$542.00 | \$188.00 | 53.1\% |
| Dresser | \$448.00 | \$584.00 | \$920.00 | \$472.00 | 105.4\% |
| Frederic | \$340.00 | \$453.00 | \$527.00 | \$187.00 | 55.0\% |
| Luck | \$436.00 | \$517.00 | \$812.00 | \$376.00 | 86.2\% |
| Milltown | \$365.00 | \$528.00 | \$635.00 | \$270.00 | 74.0\% |
| Osceola | \$530.00 | \$716.00 | \$786.00 | \$256.00 | 48.3\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | \$392.00 | \$592.00 | \$811.00 | \$419.00 | 106.9\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | \$412.00 | \$545.00 | \$581.00 | \$169.00 | 41.0\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | \$431.00 | \$645.00 | \$712.00 | \$281.00 | 65.2\% |
| St. Croix Falls | \$425.00 | \$541.00 | \$663.00 | \$238.00 | 56.0\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | \$440.00 | \$659.00 | \$740.00 | \$300.00 | 68.2\% |

[^7]Table 51
Renter-Occupied Monthly Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income - 2017 (Polk County MCDs)
\% of Cost

|  | Less than 15\% | 15.0\% to 19.9\% | 20.0\% to 24.9\% | 25.0\% to 29.9\% | 30.0\% to 34.9\% | 35.0\% or more | \% of Cost Burdened Renter Households+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 5 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 42.7\% |
| Apple River | 3 | 13 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 24.2\% |
| Balsam Lake | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6.3\% |
| Beaver | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 65.5\% |
| Black Brook | 14 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 35.2\% |
| Bone Lake | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 23.8\% |
| Clam Falls | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 72.4\% |
| Clayton | 2 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 32.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 40.9\% |
| Eureka | 15 | 4 | 20 | 29 | 0 | 9 | 11.7\% |
| Farmington | 14 | 17 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 14.1\% |
| Garfield | 29 | 7 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 23.2\% |
| Georgetown | 25 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 36.4\% |
| Johnstown | 31 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 24.0\% |
| Laketown | 17 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 44.4\% |
| Lincoln | 5 | 13 | 4 | 33 | 15 | 16 | 36.0\% |
| Lorain | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 50.0\% |
| Luck | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 80.8\% |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Milltown | 2 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 3 | 8 | 26.8\% |
| Osceola | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 55 | 78.1\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 3 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 37.1\% |
| Sterling | 0 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 38.1\% |
| West Sweden | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 80.0\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 15 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 12 | 36 | 53.3\% |
| Centuria | 11 | 39 | 28 | 22 | 14 | 103 | 53.9\% |
| Clayton | 6 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 42.7\% |
| Clear Lake | 22 | 43 | 28 | 7 | 4 | 41 | 31.0\% |
| Dresser | 32 | 9 | 16 | 12 | 5 | 52 | 45.2\% |
| Frederic | 33 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 16 | 52 | 39.5\% |
| Luck | 14 | 28 | 45 | 8 | 13 | 73 | 47.5\% |
| Milltown | 51 | 26 | 8 | 54 | 28 | 69 | 41.1\% |
| Osceola | 54 | 58 | 82 | 58 | 78 | 93 | 40.4\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 76.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 26 | 15 | 36 | 31 | 14 | 78 | 46.0\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 94 | 19 | 56 | 63 | 0 | 134 | 36.6\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 63 | 30 | 72 | 100 | 0 | 140 | 34.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 626 | 460 | 534 | 519 | 286 | 1,172 | 40.5\% |

Source: U.S. Census, 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimate
+Cost Burdened defined as a household paying more than 30 percent of its income on housing costs.

Table 52
Cost Burdened Renter Households - 2000 to 2017
(Polk County MCDs)

|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000-2017 \\ & \text { \% Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towns |  |  |  |  |
| Alden | 9 | 42 | 32 | 255.6\% |
| Apple River | 0 | 10 | 15 | - |
| Balsam Lake | 0 | 10 | 1 | - |
| Beaver | 2 | 16 | 19 | 850.0\% |
| Black Brook | 7 | 33 | 25 | 257.1\% |
| Bone Lake | 0 | 0 | 5 | - |
| Clam Falls | 9 | 21 | 21 | 133.3\% |
| Clayton | 11 | 0 | 17 | 54.5\% |
| Clear Lake | 5 | 3 | 9 | 80.0\% |
| Eureka | 11 | 34 | 9 | -18.2\% |
| Farmington | 10 | 15 | 9 | -10.0\% |
| Garfield | 14 | 21 | 19 | 35.7\% |
| Georgetown | 7 | 14 | 20 | 185.7\% |
| Johnstown | 14 | 12 | 12 | -14.3\% |
| Laketown | 7 | 0 | 16 | 128.6\% |
| Lincoln | 14 | 46 | 31 | 121.4\% |
| Lorain | 0 | 0 | 5 | - |
| Luck | 6 | 14 | 21 | 250.0\% |
| McKinley | 4 | 5 | 7 | 75.0\% |
| Milltown | 2 | 0 | 11 | 450.0\% |
| Osceola | 16 | 67 | 89 | 456.3\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 12 | 26 | 13 | 8.3\% |
| Sterling | 6 | 28 | 16 | 166.7\% |
| West Sweden | 4 | 11 | 16 | 300.0\% |
| Villages |  |  |  |  |
| Balsam Lake | 26 | 17 | 48 | 84.6\% |
| Centuria | 28 | 49 | 117 | 317.9\% |
| Clayton | 18 | 3 | 38 | 111.1\% |
| Clear Lake | 41 | 53 | 45 | 9.8\% |
| Dresser | 19 | 16 | 57 | 200.0\% |
| Frederic | 74 | 56 | 68 | -8.1\% |
| Luck | 54 | 62 | 86 | 59.3\% |
| Milltown | 45 | 84 | 97 | 115.6\% |
| Osceola | 100 | 227 | 171 | 71.0\% |
| Turtle Lake (Polk County portion) | 7 | 24 | 19 | 171.4\% |
| Turtle Lake (Barron County portion) | 65 | 51 | 92 | 41.5\% |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |
| Amery | 190 | 207 | 134 | -29.5\% |
| St. Croix Falls | 90 | 205 | 140 | 55.6\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Polk County | 864 | 1,407 | 1,458 | 68.8\% |

Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimate
+Cost Burdened defined as a household paying more than 30 percent of its income on housing costs.

## SECTION IV - GAP ANALYSIS

## Polk County Housing Gap Analysis

Table 53
Polk County Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 253 | 6\% | \$0-\$199 | 443 | 190 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 440 | 11\% | \$200-\$299 | 226 | -214 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 838 | 21\% | \$300-\$549 | 1,203 | 365 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 687 | 17\% | \$550-\$749 | 1,361 | 674 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 702 | 18\% | \$750-\$999 | 513 | -189 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 539 | 14\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 174 | -365 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 279 | 7\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 13 | -266 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 162 | 4\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -162 |
| \$150,000 or more | 33 | 1\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | -33 |
| TOTAL | 3,933 | 100\% |  | 3,933 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25. This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 54
Polk County Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Owner Households | \% of Owner Households | Affordable Owner Range | Number of Owner Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$24,999 | 2164 | 15\% | \$0-\$59,999 | 1223 | -941 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 1304 | 9\% | \$60,000-\$89,999 | 1300 | -4 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 2086 | 15\% | \$90,000-\$124,999 | 2277 | 191 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 3089 | 22\% | \$125,000-\$199,999 | 4620 | 1531 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 2352 | 16\% | \$200,000-\$249,999 | 3140 | 788 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2224 | 16\% | \$250,000-\$399,999 | 973 | -1251 |
| \$150,000 or more | 1037 | 7\% | \$400,000 + | 723 | -314 |
| TOTAL | 14,256 | 100\% |  | 14,256 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5 . The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## City of Amery Housing Gap Analysis

Table 55
City of Amery Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 0 | 0\% | \$0-\$199 | 13 | 13 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 38 | 10\% | \$200-\$299 | 69 | 31 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 97 | 26\% | \$300-\$549 | 48 | -49 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 139 | 37\% | \$550-\$749 | 151 | 12 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 31 | 8\% | \$750-\$999 | 32 | 1 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 47 | 12\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 66 | 19 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 27 | 7\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -27 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 0 | 0\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | 0 |
| \$150,000 or more | 0 | 0\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 379 | 100\% |  | 379 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25 . This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 56
City of Amery Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Owner Households | \% of Owner Households | Affordable Owner Range | Number of Owner Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$ \$4,999 | 191 | 23\% | \$0-\$59,999 | 130 | -61 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 39 | 5\% | \$60,000-\$89,999 | 37 | -2 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 212 | 25\% | \$90,000-\$124,999 | 206 | -6 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 116 | 14\% | \$125,000-\$199,999 | 346 | 230 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 86 | 10\% | \$200,000-\$249,999 | 20 | -66 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 147 | 18\% | \$250,000-\$399,999 | 51 | -96 |
| \$150,000 or more | 45 | 5\% | \$400,000 + | 46 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 836 | 100\% |  | 836 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## Village of Balsam Lake Housing Gap Analysis

Table 57
Village of Balsam Lake Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 3 | 3\% | \$0-\$199 | 3 | 0 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 0 | 0\% | \$200-\$299 | 3 | 3 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 30 | 31\% | \$300-\$549 | 62 | 32 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 36 | 38\% | \$550-\$749 | 21 | -15 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 12 | 13\% | \$750-\$999 | 7 | -5 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 4 | 4\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 0 | -4 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 4 | 4\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -4 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 4 | 4\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -4 |
| \$150,000 or more | 3 | 3\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | -3 |
| TOTAL | 96 | 100\% |  | 96 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by . 25 . This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 58
Village of Balsam Lake Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Owner Households | \% of Owner Households | Affordable Owner Range | Number of Owner Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$24,999 | 57 | 25\% | \$0-\$59,999 | 48 | -9 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 19 | 8\% | \$60,000-\$89,999 | 21 | 2 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 34 | 15\% | \$90,000-\$124,999 | 36 | 2 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 43 | 19\% | \$125,000-\$199,999 | 49 | 6 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 25 | 11\% | \$200,000-\$249,999 | 16 | -9 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 30 | 13\% | \$250,000-\$399,999 | 31 | 1 |
| \$150,000 or more | 21 | 9\% | \$400,000 + | 28 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 229 | 100\% |  | 229 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5 . The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## Village of Clear Lake Housing Gap Analysis

Table 59
Village of Clear Lake Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 29 | 18\% | \$0-\$199 | 21 | -8 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 26 | 16\% | \$200-\$299 | 23 | -3 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 23 | 14\% | \$300-\$549 | 66 | 43 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 24 | 15\% | \$550-\$749 | 48 | 24 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 22 | 14\% | \$750-\$999 | 0 | -22 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 28 | 17\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 3 | -25 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 9 | 6\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -9 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 0 | 0\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | 0 |
| \$150,000 or more | 0 | 0\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 161 | 100\% |  | 161 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25 . This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 60
Village of Clear Lake Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Owner <br> Households | \% of Owner <br> Households | Affordable Owner <br> Range | Number of <br> Owner Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\$ 24,999$ | 67 | $20 \%$ | $\$ 0-\$ 59,999$ | 67 | 0 |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | 29 | $9 \%$ | $\$ 60,000-\$ 89,999$ | 67 | 38 |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 80 | $24 \%$ | $\$ 90,000-\$ 124,999$ | 46 | -34 |
| $\$ \mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\$ \mathbf{7 4 , 9 9 9}$ | 68 | $21 \%$ | $\$ 125,000-\$ 199,999$ | 112 | 44 |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | 50 | $15 \%$ | $\$ 200,000-\$ 249,999$ | 22 | -28 |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | 29 | $9 \%$ | $\$ 250,000-\$ 399,999$ | 19 | -10 |
| $\$ 150,000$ or more | 4 | $1 \%$ | $\$ 400,000+$ | 3 | -1 |
| TOTAL | 327 | $100 \%$ |  | 327 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations

## Methodology Notes:

i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## Village of Dresser Housing Gap Analysis

Table 61
Village of Dresser Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 9 | 6\% | \$0-\$199 | 13 | 4 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 15 | 11\% | \$200-\$299 | 6 | -9 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 31 | 22\% | \$300-\$549 | 19 | -12 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 17 | 12\% | \$550-\$749 | 45 | 28 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 13 | 9\% | \$750-\$999 | 43 | 30 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 25 | 18\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 13 | -12 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 15 | 11\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -15 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 12 | 9\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -12 |
| \$150,000 or more | 2 | 1\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | -2 |
| TOTAL | 139 | 100\% |  | 139 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25. This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 62
Village of Dresser Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Owner <br> Households | \% of Owner <br> Households | Affordable Owner <br> Range | Number of <br> Owner Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$| Less than $\$ \mathbf{2 4 , 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the 30\% affordability standard discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## Village of Luck Housing Gap Analysis

Table 63

| Village of Luck Renter Housing Gap Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| Less than \$10,000 | 16 | 9\% | \$0-\$199 | 5 | -11 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 40 | 22\% | \$200-\$299 | 4 | -36 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 34 | 18\% | \$300-\$549 | 74 | 40 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 28 | 15\% | \$550-\$749 | 48 | 20 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 12 | 6\% | \$750-\$999 | 55 | 43 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 26 | 14\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 0 | -26 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 20 | 11\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -20 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 10 | 5\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -10 |
| \$150,000 or more | 0 | 0\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 186 | 100\% |  | 186 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25. This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 64
Village of Luck Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Owner <br> Households | \% of Owner <br> Households | Affordable Owner <br> Range | Number of <br> Owner Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## Village of Milltown Housing Gap Analysis

Table 65
Village of Milltown Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 25 | 10\% | \$0-\$199 | 4 | -21 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 55 | 23\% | \$200-\$299 | 14 | -41 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 33 | 14\% | \$300-\$549 | 138 | 105 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 41 | 17\% | \$550-\$749 | 74 | 33 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 28 | 12\% | \$750-\$999 | 10 | -18 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 41 | 17\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 0 | -41 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 0 | 0\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | 0 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 17 | 7\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -17 |
| \$150,000 or more | 0 | 0\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 240 | 100\% |  | 240 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25. This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 66

| Village of Milltown Owner Housing Gap Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Income Ranges | Number of Owner Households | \% of Owner Households | Affordable Owner Range | Number of Owner Units | Balance |
| Less than \$24,999 | 63 | 23\% | \$0-\$59,999 | 107 | 44 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 39 | 14\% | \$60,000-\$89,999 | 37 | -2 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 33 | 12\% | \$90,000-\$124,999 | 67 | 34 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 53 | 19\% | \$125,000-\$199,999 | 53 | 0 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 38 | 14\% | \$200,000-\$249,999 | 6 | -32 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 34 | 12\% | \$250,000-\$399,999 | 4 | -30 |
| \$150,000 or more | 14 | 5\% | \$400,000 + | 0 | -14 |
| TOTAL | 274 | 100\% |  | 274 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:

[^8]
## Village of Osceola Housing Gap Analysis

Table 67
Village of Osceola Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income Ranges | Number of Renter Households | \% of Renter Households | Affordable Renter Range | Number of Renter Units | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 11 | 3\% | \$0-\$199 | 28 | 17 |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 33 | 8\% | \$200-\$299 | 19 | -14 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 80 | 18\% | \$300-\$549 | 100 | 20 |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 50 | 11\% | \$550-\$749 | 196 | 146 |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 120 | 27\% | \$750-\$999 | 64 | -56 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 91 | 21\% | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 31 | -60 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 33 | 8\% | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 0 | -33 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 15 | 3\% | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 0 | -15 |
| \$150,000 or more | 5 | 1\% | \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 0 | -5 |
| TOTAL | 438 | 100\% |  | 438 |  |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the $30 \%$ standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25 . This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 68
Village of Osceola Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Owner <br> Households | \% of Owner <br> Households | Affordable Owner <br> Range | Number of <br> Owner Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## City of St. Croix Falls Housing Gap Analysis

Table 69
City of St. Croix Falls Renter Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Renter <br> Households | \% of Renter <br> Households | Affordable <br> Renter Range | Number of <br> Renter Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above price points are calculated based on affordable contract rent at $25 \%$ of household income, which is different than the 30\% standard for gross rent discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standards allows for the payment of all other housing costs.
ii. The above includes some rental units with zero cash rent.
iii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Renter Range", the household income was divided by 12 (months) and multiplied by .25 . This result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the contract rent value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

Table 70
City of St. Croix Falls Owner Housing Gap Analysis

| Household Income <br> Ranges | Number of Owner <br> Households | \% of Owner <br> Households | Affordable Owner <br> Range | Number of <br> Owner Units | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates and WCWRPC calculations
Methodology Notes:
i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional $5 \%$ in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

## SECTION V - INTERVIEWS SUMMARY

## Summary of Community Interviews

As part of the study interviews were conducted with a variety of stakeholders, including realtors, bankers, builders, non-profit community organizations, local and County officials and community members. Below is a summary of comments by community.

## City of Amery

- There is not much rental or owner housing available in the City. What is on the market is likely overpriced.
- Top housing needs - the full range of housing options are needed in the City.
- Entry-level owner options for $1^{\text {st }}$ time homebuyers
- Mid-level homes - new construction
- Market rate rents for workforce - e.g. teachers, new employees
- Lower-income apartments - need for income-based housing in the City nothing available for families who have wage rates of the production line workers.
- Single level living for retirees/seniors
- Top housing challenges:
- Taxes - people desire to live in the surrounding townships where they can buy a little more land and pay less in taxes.
- Lack of available lots - the only way the City can grow is to expand City sewer and water for housing. The City needs additional land for development.
- There is a 12-24 month wait (20-27 individuals on the waiting list) for housing authority units. This is likely driven by the increasing senior population (retiring farmers are looking to sell their farmhouse and downsize into senior units).
- There is a lack of available lots - the City needs to look at identifying additional land for developable acreage.
- The lack of housing affects the production industries - many of the production employees live out of town and are bussed in because they can't find affordable rentals in the City and some don't have transportation available (or don't have a driver's license).
- Amery is a big City with potential - ripe for housing if the City can get some interest from developers. Need to market the City's needs to attract development.


## Village of Balsam Lake

- There is a huge need for housing in the Village and the County.
- The housing market on the lake is great, but there isn't much available in the Village - nothing nice to rent in the Village limits.
- Top housing needs:
- Housing options for single person \& young couple households
- High demand in the Village for rental units, specifically nice rental units
- Affordable rental units of about \$600-\$800/month - many inquiries for these units and they don't stay on the market long.
- Housing to support the local workforce
- Affordable rentals are needed as more people are struggling financially to buy a home. Rents are around $\$ 700-\$ 800$ a month, but more desirable rents would be around $\$ 500-\$ 600 /$ month. If 100 new rental units were built, they would probably be rented very quickly.
- Affordable owner housing would be \$130,000-\$190,000 - one builder is exploring a modular type of housing to drive the price of housing down
- Need to streamline the development process county-wide and make it easier for development to happen.
- Population has stayed relatively flat over the last 10 years.


## Village of Clear Lake

- There is a need for both renter and owner housing in the Village.
- Top housing needs:
- All types of housing are needed in the Village!
- Rentals: Single-family 3-4 bedrooms rentals, rental units in the \$1,000$\$ 1,200$ range for families who don't want to own but want a nice place to rent.
- Housing authority receives calls every week from people looking for lowincome family housing.
- Housing authority wait list for senior units.
- Owner housing under \$200,000
- Top housing challenges:
- Lack of housing supply in the village!
- There is a waiting list of people that want to move into the Village for work but can't find a place to live.
- Lack of variety in housing, which puts pressure on people to accept the type of housing available or look elsewhere.
- Cost of housing and access to transportation is a problem for lowerincome workers.
- Development - owners have placed unrealistic values on land; most of the vacant land in the village available for development sits low and would require a lift station - this adds to the development cost making it not financially feasible.
- No incentives to invest and build housing in the Village.
- Lack of tradesmen in the community.
- Cost of permitting is high - don't see much happening until there are programs and incentives.


## Village of Dresser

- Top housing needs:
- Entry-level homes to bring in first-time homebuyers and young professionals
- Smaller units for seniors looking to downsize
- Not a high demand for housing in the Village, but if something is available and is reasonably priced someone might consider locating in Dresser as opposed to Osceola.
- Not many lots available in the Village.
- Not a lot of low-income individuals in the Village.


## Village of Luck

- Top housing needs:
- Need more rentals! Nicer, affordable rentals for younger families starting out, entry level teachers making $\$ 40,000$, seniors, families - no decent place in the Village that have available rentals
- Need for nicer starter homes in the \$150,000-\$200,000 range - need for "medium-level homes"
- Need for duplexes and rentals for families
- Single-level living for the aging population (twin-home, low maintenance living)
- The lack of housing opportunities in the Village hindering growth of the school district.
- Low wages - a lot of people are stuck in rentals given the low wages in the County, particularly wages of production employees
- Biggest barrier in the Village is the supply of housing
- There is demand for housing as people are looking to move back to Luck to retire.


## Village of Milltown

- Housing inventory in the Village is very low.
- Rentals are hard to come by in the Village.
- Housing cost and supply are big barriers in the County.
- There are some reasonably priced infill lots available - plenty of land and lots available for single-family and multi-family.
- Difficult to attract people to the Village as it lacks a bank, grocery store, and other attractive amenities.
- Top Housing Needs:
- Senior housing
- Affordable housing for the local workforce (production employees making \$15/hour)
- Group home or handicap accessible apartments for the physically disabled
- There is some demand as people are looking for cheaper housing (willing to move a few miles north to get cheaper housing prices)
- Shortage of affordable housing county-wide.


## Village of Osceola

- Lack of housing opportunities in the Village - not a lot of land or lots available for development.
- Cost and availability of housing are big issues in the Village.
- Rental vacancy rate per the 2017 ACS data is too high - need to adjust to closer to 4\%.
- Top Housing Needs:
- Affordable housing for low-and-medium income households
- More owner units
- More rental units
- Senior housing - twin home development in the Village is filling up fast
- Affordable low-income family units
- Products for younger starter-home families and seniors looking to downsize
- Housing authority currently has 6 on the waiting list; get at least 4 phone calls a day from people looking for low-income family units.
- There are not a lot of rentals in Osceola - with the baby boomers starting to retire, the Village and County need to find ways to attract millennials to meet the workforce needs.
- Need for shorter-term housing - people who want to relocate and temporarily rent until they decide where to settle. There is also a need for housing for summer interns.
- A lot of houses are being sold without finished basements to help keep the costs down. People are looking for lower price points.
- Seniors nearing retirement are looking to downsize and want single-level living.
- Pre-set building plans help one local builder to reduce the home cost.
- Village owns quite a bit of land that may be ripe for development.


## City of St. Croix Falls

- There is high demand and no supply of housing in the City. Whenever housing comes on the market it is swallowed up and/or there is a bidding war.
- There's not much for sale or rent in the City.
- Top housing needs:
- Homes for entry-level homebuyers. 1,100 sq. ft homes, 2 bed/1 bath, would sell quite quickly. Need for an entry-level subdivision like Gateway Meadows in Osceola.
- Senior housing - big need for senior housing in the City. Many elderly folks move to their lake home for retirement but have no place to go when they are no longer able to maintain their house and property.
- Need for senior housing throughout the County.
- The City has no low-end and no high-end senior housing. There are some mid-level twin home condos but no vacancies. They are sold fast!
- $\$ 200,000$ is affordable for most seniors as most are selling their existing homes.
- Market rate rents for seniors.
- A local builder is often asked by realtors and residents for more twin home type of products - high demand for these - single-level living is highly desired!
- Need owner opportunities for under $\$ 200,000$ countywide, but difficult to build at that price point due to material costs and labor costs.
- Gateway Meadows development in Osceola is building just over the \$200,000 mark - unfinished basement, more builder grade materials and package-type homes.
- Existing residential developments:
- Croixwood (behind Walmart)
- Glacier Ridge (behind Menards)
- Chinander Rock (far north side of City)
- Quite a few infill lots available in the City.
- Need a vision for Glacier Ridge - a lot of potential for future development
- Quite a few lots available but most under one ownership - not open to other builders and product types
- Opportunities for redevelopment - properties along the riverfront
- Opportunities to utilize the TID affordable housing extension - TID closure next March - opportunity to extend it a year. People are receptive to starting another TID. Challenge is identifying or recruiting a developer to make an investment.
- City-owned property along the riverfront might present opportunity for development.
- People in the County need education the value of money and the various loan programs available.
- Need to explore partnerships!
- There are opportunities for the City with the proximity to the Twin Cities metro, but growth isn't happening.
- Backlog of buyers - but can't build at the price point of $\$ 180,000-\$ 200,000$. What do you sacrifice? Materials and labor costs have increased.


## Polk County

- There is a need countywide for housing at all income levels, but a strong need for subsidized housing for the 30\% County Median Income households.
- County is very short on rental properties.
- Need for transitional housing to assist the re-entry population coming out of jails and prison - lack of alternatives for this population group.
- Not a lot of housing options for people with disabilities and mental health - need more group homes.
- Need for affordable senior housing.
- Entry-level housing - not much of it, and what is available is not very good and is over-priced.
- Workforce housing is needed in the County.
- Prices are going up about $4 \%-6 \%$ per year.
- There is an increased demand for housing in the County as retirees are moving to the area - moving back home, moving to the lake, but can still be close to the Twin Cities.
- Single-level living is in high demand! It's universal - can start out living there as a young family but seniors also desire the one-level living product.
- Seniors are staying put in their houses because of a lack of alternatives.
- Need better paying jobs for locals.
- There is a huge need countywide for affordable family units. Families may be slightly over the income restrictions for subsidized units and can't find a place to live in the County.
- Long waiting list for County housing authority units - senior units and disabled.


## Summary of HR Manager Interviews

As part of the study, interviews were conducted with some of the Human Resource Managers at various businesses in the County. Below is a summary of their comments:

- The lack of housing supply throughout the County is huge. There is a need for more housing, particularly rentals.
- There is a lack of variety in housing types and the costs/access to transportation are barriers when trying to find employee housing.
- Cost of daycare expenses has been identified as a big cost and issue when recruiting.
- Housing cost and availability are challenges for production employees while housing availability is the housing challenge for professional occupations such as the medical industry (cost is not as big of a challenge).
- Majority of production employees rent.
- Some production employees have had to get a $2^{\text {nd }}$ job to make finances work.
- There is a need for more affordable housing for production employees (those making $\$ 12-\$ 16 /$ hour). Also, a need for shorter-term housing for those that are relocating and want temporary housing until they decide where they want to live or find housing that suits their needs. Also need shorter-term housing for summer interns.
- There is a need for workforce and some of that could potentially be filled by graduating seniors who are not going on to college. The question is - where will they live? Unless they live at home, they'll likely need to move and get a different job as they won't be able to find a place to live.
- With the baby boomers starting to retire, companies will need to attract younger generations to meet the workforce demands. The younger generations, for example the millennials, might want other housing options, including apartments with common areas or a mix of uses - something that the area doesn't have.
- People look for quality, updated schools when looking to move somewhere. Communities need to consider these things and also look for other ways to make the community a destination, or it might stifle growth.
- There were no employer-assisted housing programs identified by the HR Managers.


## SECTION VI - COMMUNITY INFORMATION

## Polk County Housing Study Community Information Summary

To better understand the current housing policies and construction activity in Polk County and each participating community, officials were asked to provide information and data. Below is a summary of the information obtained from the eight participating communities.

## Municipal Housing Programs and Policies

Many of the communities have programs or policies to guide housing development. The most common programs include a housing revolving loan fund, tax increment financing and a fair housing ordinance. Responses from the eight participating communities were obtained and compiled; see the Polk County Housing Toolbox for a more comprehensive list of housing programs, policies, and resources.

| Municipal Housing Programs \& Policies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Revolving Loan Fund |  | X |  | X | X | X |  |  | X |
| Multi-use TIF district for housing | X |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| Municipal rental assistance programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Winterization/energy efficiency programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Local Community Housing Trust Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair Housing Ordinance | X | X |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| Allow for Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Allow for micro or tiny houses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner \& Renter Rehabilitation Programs |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |

## Municipal Housing Authorities

The County, along with the communities of Amery, Clear Lake, Luck, and Osceola, have housing authorities. Housing authorities are independent agencies that work to develop long-term affordable housing strategies for communities. They typically provide a variety of programs and services to provide affordable housing options.

| Polk County Community Housing Authorities |
| :--- |
| Amery Housing Authority |
| Clear Lake Housing Authority |
| Luck Housing Authority |
| Osceola Housing Authority |
| Polk County Housing Authority |

## Non-Profit Community Housing Assistance Programs

Communities identified Catholic Charities and Impact 7 as non-profit housing assistance programs in the region.

## Incentives for Residential Infill:

Some communities identified their comprehensive plan as a tool for guiding residential infill development. The County land use ordinance has been updated to include Planned Unit Development, Density-Based Zoning and Conservation Development Standards in order to provide flexibility in the residential development process.

## Residential Construction Activity

Communities provided residential construction data from 2010-2018, and part of 2019, where available.

| City of Amery Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |


| Village of Balsam Lake Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { (Jan. - Sept.) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Single Family | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Village of Clear Lake Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |
| Single Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Units Razed | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Village of Dresser Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Village of Luck Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Village of Milltown Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Village of Osceola Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { (Jan. - Sept.) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Single Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 17 |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 4 |
| Multi-Family | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| City of St. Croix Falls Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |
| Single Family | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 14 |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Units Razed | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Polk County (zoned Towns) Residential Construction, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> (Jan. - Sept.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 66 | 39 | 59 | 62 | 64 | 77 | 99 | 104 | 112 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units Razed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Seasonable Migrant Housing

While no communities specifically identified migrant housing being present in their community, many farms throughout the County have seasonal migrant housing for their employees. The County Planner indicated there is a need for additional migrant housing as the County does not have any seasonal housing available to the general public.

## Current Proposed Major Housing Projects

Very few communities identified new proposed major housing projects. The Village of Milltown and Village of Osceola identified subdivisions that have opportunities for future expansion. The County noted that there were two major plats already completed in 2019 and an additional major plat is in the planning/approval process. The County also saw 47 minor subdivisions in 2019, up 6.82\% from 2018.

## Barriers to Meeting Community Housing Needs

Communities were asked to identify the biggest barrier the community faces when trying to meet the community's housing needs.

Amery: Affordability and availability.

Balsam Lake: Land.
Clear Lake: Infrastructure (lift stations).
Dresser: Land locked. Lack of buildable lots. Competition with larger municipalities and a lack of resources.

Luck: Cost of housing is high, no sites served by water and sewer, and infrastructure costs are prohibiting growth.

Milltown: Funding!
Osceola: Affordable housing is difficult to come by. Additionally, the market and cost to build are impacting the ability of families to purchase newly-constructed homes.

St. Croix Falls: Recruiting developers to invest in housing development.
Polk County: Lack of developers, cost of infrastructure expansion, and lack of available buildings/houses.
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## 2019 Wisconsin Overview

The county workforce profiles provide snapshots of the labor market for each of the 72 Wisconsin counties. In addition to a static PDF version, each county profile will be available as an interactive document in which the reader can do additional manipulation of some tables. The profiles begin with an overview of the entire state's labor market outlook. From there, the profiles highlight the respective labor market with analyses of the current and projected population and labor force, community patterns, industries, occupations, and wages. We conclude each profile with an examination of the impact of automation on the county's workforce.

## Record Economic Expansion

The economic expansion is now the longest on record. This current expansion surpassed the previous mark of 120 months set in the 1991-2001 stretch in June 2019. What has been good for the country has been good for Wisconsin and most other states.


Wisconsin's workforce and employment numbers have attained new highs. Employment exceeded the 3 million mark in the summer of 2016. Wisconsin jobs reached new highs in 2019 with not-seasonally adjusted, total non-farm jobs breaking through 3 million at 3.026 million in June 2019. The state's unemployment rate has reached lows not seen since at least 1976, 2.8\% in the months of April and May of 2019. New unemployment rate lows were also recorded for the U.S. as a whole at $3.6 \%$. Thirty of 72 Wisconsin counties reached new job highs in the last two years. Thirty state counties hit new unemployment rate lows. Initial and continued unemployment insurance claims have been tracking at 40-year lows over the past three years.

Given that new records are being set largely across the board for expansion longevity, employment highs, and unemployment lows, the question turns to when will the trends reverse.

Economic expansions don't die of old age. Expansions are usually curtailed by decreasing jobs, spending, investments, inflation, or interest rate pressures. Decreasing jobs lead to lower incomes that result in less consumption, which is the driving force in the U.S. economy. Employment numbers are not good indicators of pending recessions. In fact, they are a lagging indicator of economic downturns and recoveries.

## What's next in the short-run?

As this is being written in November 2019, job numbers are still climbing, earnings and income are rising, retail sales are expanding, debt-to-income ratio is low, and inflation is subdued at about $2 \%$. Housing sales are relatively flat, vehicle sales have leveled off, and some European countries' economies are sagging. The primary unknown at the moment is the status of tariff and trade policy on the North American countries' trade agreement and trade with China. The uncertainty is dampening capital investment, injecting volatility in the equity markets, and causing household cogitation.

## What are the long-run influences?

The primary long-term challenge facing Wisconsin's economic future is its workforce quantity. The demographic situation facing the state, other upper Midwest states, and most western state economies will advance unaltered in the coming decades. The number of retiring baby boomers nearly match the influx of new workers, resulting in a slow growing workforce that is constraining employers' abilities across industries to secure talent. Many businesses report the lack of available workers have hindered expansion and, in some cases, even curtailed their ability to meet current product orders.

The blue-line, orange-line graph to the right portrays the labor force facing Wisconsin and other upper-Midwest states. While Wisconsin's population will continue to grow over the next 20 years, the workforce faces serious constraints. The curve began to flatten in 2008 as the first baby boomers (those born in 1946) reached age 62 and began to leave the workforce.

Wisconsin Population and Labor Force


Baby boomers continue to exit the workforce in great numbers. However, the labor force participation rates for workers over 55 years of age have risen significantly. The need or want to remain in the workforce has assisted in staving off more severe worker shortages.

Our analysis shows a marked decrease in per capita personal income growth in the coming decades. The consequences for shared tax burden will be real and require new policy discussions about the social contract for infrastructure and government services.

One of the remedies for labor scarcity and increased productivity is the incorporation of labor-saving technology in the workplace. As such, not only does Wisconsin have a quantity challenge, the state must also make all available workers technologically savvy. The propensity for automation varies by occupation, but routine activities are the most susceptible to displacement.

To summarize, the state needs to find every body it can and get everybody trained up to their maximum potential.

## Polk County

 Population and DemographicsPolk County added 175 residents from 2010 to 2018 , growing at a rate of $0.4 \%$, slower than the state growth rate of $2.27 \%$. It ranked as the 49th fastest growing among the state's 72 counties. Though Amery is the largest city in Polk County, the county doesn't really have a dominant urban center. Instead, population centers are spread throughout the county, with the largest municipalities having 1,500 and 3,000 residents. This rural landscape, coupled with an abundance of lakes, is what draws some migrant Minnesotans across the border and retirees who are looking for that lake cabin lifestyle.

10 Most Populous Municipalities in County

|  | 2010 Census | 2018 Final Estimate D | Numeric Change | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osceola, Town | 2,855 | 2,906 | 51 | 1.79\% |
| Amery, City | 2,902 | 2,878 | -24 | -0.83\% |
| Alden, Town | 2,786 | 2,803 | 17 | 0.61\% |
| Osceola, Village | 2,568 | 2,629 | 61 | 2.38\% |
| Lincoln, Town | 2,208 | 2,207 | -1 | -0.05\% |
| St. Croix Falls, City | 2,133 | 2,090 | -43 | -2.02\% |
| Farmington, Town | 1,836 | 1,855 | 19 | 1.03\% |
| Garfield, Town | 1,692 | 1,701 | 9 | 0.53\% |
| Eureka, Town | 1,649 | 1,675 | 26 | 1.58\% |
| Balsam Lake, Town | 1,411 | 1,389 | -22 | -1.56\% |
| Polk County | 44,205 | 44,380 | 175 | 0.40\% |
| United States Wisconsin | $\begin{gathered} 308,400,408 \\ 5,686,986 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 327,167,434 \\ 5,816,231 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,767,026 \\ 129,245 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.09\% } \\ & 2.27 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Source: Demographic Services Center, Wisconsin Department of Administration

## Components of Change

Net-migration, which is defined as people moving into the county minus those leaving, was positive for the period studied, as it was in two-thirds of Wisconsin counties. While during past growth periods Polk did have a moderately younger demographic moving in, we are also seeing the effects of an older demographic moving in. This is reflected in a negative rate natural increase (births minus deaths). Retirees moving to recreation-rich northern counties is a common trend in Wisconsin. This phenomenon is driving Polk's median age upward. Polk County's median age of 44.8 is ranked the 2 seventh oldest in the state.

Components of Population Change


## Polk County Worker Commute

## Residents Work

About 60\% of Polk County's employed residents actually work within the county. People tend to go where the jobs are, and with Minneapolis and St. Paul right across the border, residents here have access to a huge job market with many high paying opportunities. Many of the commuters travel across the border into Minnesota. About 13\% commute to nearby St. Croix and Barron counties, highlighting the economic connection in the region.


Where Polk County Workers Reside


## Workers Reside

Just over $77 \%$ of jobs in Polk County are filled by county residents. Nearby adjacent counties like Barron, Burnett, and St. Croix account for much of the commuters into the county. However, an important note is that there are some commuters from Minnesota. Minneapolis/St. Paul proximity is a major source of population growth in the county and could even be the source of a potential labor force for the right opportunities.

## Labor Force Dynamics

Polk's unemployment rate of $3.7 \%$ in 2018 is quite low, historically speaking, significantly lower than the 10-year average as seen in the first graph below. Unlike most counties in West Central Wisconsin, Pierce, Polk, and St. Croix have not experienced lower rates than during the late 1990s. The large Twin Cities metro of Minnesota experienced the disproportionately high economic growth common to popular urban areas during the late 1990 s boom, which had an outsized impact on these nearby counties. While a growing economy is partially responsible for today's low unemployment rates, the trend of slow labor force growth due to baby boomers leaving the labor force has a major impact on the rates.

Polk County Unemployment Rates - Not Seasonally Adjusted


Source: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, Bureau of Labor Statistics


Source: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, Bureau of Labor Statistics and Wisconsin Deparment of Administration

## Polk County Labor Force Components

Polk's labor force has seen significantly slower growth this decade than in the past, a worldwide trend likely to continue into at least the next decade. While a location near the Twin Cities may soften some of the impact that retiring baby boomers will have on the area's labor force, businesses will need to attract those workers to Polk County or convince current commuters across the border to take jobs in Polk closer to home. However, this is still a better situation than many Wisconsin counties face. It tends to be easier to get people already living in a county to work there.

Your source for Wisconsin economic and labor market information

# Industry Employment and Wages 2018 Employment and Wage Distribution by Industry Polk County 

|  | 2018 Annual Average Employment | 1-year change | Total Payroll (2018) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade, Transportation, Utilities | 2,671 | 82 | \$85,866,551 |  |  |  |  |
| Public Administration | 702 | 24 | \$20,479,196 |  |  |  |  |
| Professional \& Business Services | 983 | -697 | \$32,069,519 |  |  |  |  |
| Other services | 237 | -24 | \$6,567,167 | - |  | \% of Tot | loyment |
| Natural Resources | 336 | -3 | \$14,946,763 |  |  | \% of Total |  |
| Manufacturing | 3,959 | 147 | \$178,709,392 |  |  |  |  |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | 1,486 | 51 | \$19,963,880 |  |  |  |  |
| Information | 167 | -1 | \$6,823,347 | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| Financial Activities | 338 | -6 | \$16,596,835 |  |  |  |  |
| Education \& Health | 4,322 | 74 | \$173,020,914 |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 600 | 50 | \$30,445,218 |  |  |  |  |
| All industries | 15,801 | -301 | \$585,488,782 | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 20.00\% | 30.00\% |

Source: WI DWD, Labor Market Information, QCEW, June 2019

Polk County saw job losses of roughly 3.5\% (303 jobs) from 2017 to 2018, ranking it 6 ninth among the state's 72 counties by percent change. Education \& Health sector gained 74 jobs from 2017 to 2018 and now has the largest employment in the county, recently overtaking the Manufacturing sector.

Healthcare is an important sector, especially in a county with an aging population. Wages in Education \& Health are $81.4 \%$ of the state average. The healthcare industry in smaller rural counties tend to have lower concentrations of highly paid specialists, a factor that normally lowers average healthcare wages outside of more urban areas.

## 2018 Average Annual Wage by Industry

|  | Wisconsin Average Annual Wage | County Average Annual Wage | $2018 \text { \% }$ <br> Wisconsin | 1-Year \% Change* | Manufacturing, the second largest industry of employment in Polk, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade, Transportation, Utilities | \$41,901 | \$32,148 | 76.7\% | -0.3\% |  |
| Public Administration | \$47,859 | \$29,173 | 61.0\% | -2.4\% | gained 147 jobs from 2017 to 2018, |
| Professional \& Business Services | \$60,729 | \$32,624 | 53.7\% | -3.2\% | reversing a post-recessionary downward trend in manufacturing |
| Other services | \$30,674 | \$27,710 | 90.3\% | 0.5\% | employment in the county. |
| Natural Resources | \$39,444 | \$44,484 | 112.8\% | -0.1\% | Fabricated Metal Product |
| Manufacturing | \$58,048 | \$45,140 | 77.8\% | 2.0\% | Manufacturing (+48 jobs) was the largest sub-sector of manufacturing |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | \$18,757 | \$13,435 | 71.6\% | -2.8\% | employment, followed by Plastic and |
| Information | \$73,577 | \$40,858 | 55.5\% | 3.8\% | Rubber Product Manufacturing and |
| Financial Activities | \$71,474 | \$49,103 | 68.7\% | -2.8\% | Food Manufacturing. |
| Education \& Health | \$49,185 | \$40,033 | 81.4\% | -1.0\% |  |
| Construction | \$61,909 | \$50,742 | 82.0\% | -0.1\% |  |
| All Industries | \$48,891 | \$37,054 | 75.8\% | -0.2\% |  |
| Source: WI DWD, Labor Market Informat *Difference in the 2018 share of Wiscons | ion, QCEW, June in and the 2017 s | are of Wisconsin | STAT | F WISCON <br> Workforce Devel | WisConomy <br> Your source for Wisconsin economic and labor market information |

Industry Employment Projections
West Central WDA - Industry Projections 2016-2026
Barron, Chippewa, Clark, Dunn, Eau Claire, Pepin, Pierce, Polk, and St. Croix Counties

|  | 2016 <br> Industry | Projected 2026 <br> Employment | Employment <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total All Industries | 212,692 | 229,547 | 16,855 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Natural Resources and Mining | 3,842 | 4,233 | 391 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Construction | 7,725 | 8,814 | 1,089 | $14.1 \%$ |
| Manufacturing | 33,967 | 34,583 | 616 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Trade, Transportation, and Utilities | 39,950 | 43,478 | 3,528 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Information | 1,666 | 1,272 | -394 | $-23.6 \%$ |
| Financial Activities | 6,856 | 7,555 | 699 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Professional and Business Services | 16,712 | 19,121 | 2,409 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Education and Health Services | 46,552 | 50,204 | 3,652 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Leisure and Hospitality | 20,110 | 22,353 | 2,243 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Other Services (except Government) | 8,050 | 8,705 | 655 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Public Administration | 12,303 | 12,561 | 258 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Self Employed and Unpaid Family Workers | 14,959 | 16,668 | 1,709 | $11.4 \%$ |

Source: Office of Economic Advisors, Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development, December 2018
While studying past trends is useful, DWD also produces projections of industry and occupation employment into the future. The projections in this profile are for the nine-county West Central Workforce Development Area. These projections are produced every two years following Bureau of Labor Statistics methodology. New for the 2016-2026 projections, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) has changed the methodology to better project the workforce of the dynamic new economy in which a worker will likely have many occupations in a lifetime. The workforce is constantly evolving. Workers leave an occupation for reasons other than retirement or death, such as changing careers, promotions or completing retraining programs. The new BLS "separations" methodology accounts for these different types of job changes (i.e. job growth, job exits, job transfers). The Occupation Employment Projections discussion on the next page reviews the impact of this revision. While this projections region includes more than just Eau Claire County with $30 \%$ of the employment, the economic dynamics are similar enough throughout the region to comment on general trends.

Total industry employment is expected to grow by about eight percent over the 10 year period, or almost 17,000 workers. Most industries are expected to grow over this year period. The industry projections shown here forecast levels of filled positions rather than demand. This illustrates the issues associated with the aging population. While growth in the labor force is slowing and, in some counties, declining, job growth is expected to continue. The aging population will increase the need for replacements. Employers may have trouble finding replacement workers even if overall employment in the industry declines. As a result, businesses that are already having difficulty filling job openings vacated by retirees, will also strain to fill new openings. This could restrict job growth by limiting businesses ability to expand. Solutions to these problems will differ for each business but will likely include a combination of developing a talent pipeline such as Wisconsin Fast Forward training grants or business alliances aimed at marketing specific careers; increasing focus on talent attraction and retention; engaging under-utilized workforces; increasing automation; and retaining retirees in non-conventional work arrangements.

## Occupational Employment Projections

West Central WDA - Occupation Projections 2016-2026
Barron, Chippewa, Clark, Dunn, Eau Claire, Pepin, Pierce, Polk, and St. Croix Counties

| Occupation Title | $2016$ <br> Employment | 2026 Projected Employment | Occupational Openings | Percent Change (2016-2026) | Annual Growth Labor Force Exits Occupational Transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, All | 212,690 | 229,550 | 26,400 | 7.9\% |  |
| Management | 11,870 | 13,150 | 1,090 | 10.8\% |  |
| Business and Financial Operations | 7,330 | 8,180 | 780 | 11.6\% |  |
| Computer and Mathematical | 2,270 | 2,610 | 190 | 15.0\% |  |
| Architecture and Engineering | 3,310 | 3,540 | 270 | 6.9\% |  |
| Life, Physical, and Social Science | 910 | 1,050 | 100 | 15.4\% |  |
| Community and Social Service | 2,820 | 3,100 | 350 | 9.9\% | \|| |
| Legal | 780 | 810 | 50 | 3.8\% |  |
| Education, Training, and Library | 12,690 | 13,290 | 1,130 | 4.7\% |  |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media | 2,500 | 2,650 | 270 | 6.0\% | 1 |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical | 12,050 | 13,270 | 800 | 10.1\% |  |
| Healthcare Support | 5,980 | 6,640 | 780 | 11.0\% |  |
| Protective Service | 3,860 | 3,990 | 410 | 3.4\% | $\square$ |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related | 18,250 | 20,310 | 3,500 | 11.3\% |  |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenan.. | 6,260 | 6,800 | 860 | 8.6\% |  |
| Personal Care and Service | 11,890 | 13,660 | 2,010 | 14.9\% |  |
| Sales and Related | 20,170 | 21,730 | 3,040 | 7.7\% |  |
| Office and Administrative Support | 27,840 | 28,590 | 3,250 | 2.7\% |  |
| Farming, Fishing, and Forestry | 2,390 | 2,620 | 390 | 9.6\% |  |
| Construction and Extraction | 9,010 | 10,070 | 1,060 | 11.8\% |  |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair | 9,080 | 10,020 | 990 | 10.4\% |  |
| Production | 25,380 | 25,750 | 2,910 | 1.5\% |  |
| Transportation and Material Moving | 16,070 | 17,720 | 2,210 | 10.3\% |  |

Source: Office of Economic Advisors, Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development, December 2018

While industry projections have their uses, a more functional approach is projected occupational growth. An examination of projected occupational employment growth reveals a possible explanation for the moderate growth rates anticipated in a number of the region's largest industry sectors. We first see that the most significant occupational growth can be observed in a number of occupational categories largely concentrated in the Health Services sector, including Healthcare Practitioners, Healthcare Support, and Personal Care and Services workers.

Significant growth is also anticipated in many other occupational sectors, supporting the narrative of long-range stability in many of the region's largest industries. However, Wisconsin is experiencing labor constraints. The projected job openings created by replacing retiring workers outnumber openings generated by new growth by over three-to-one in the region. Facing the challenges of an aging baby boom population, an increased importance must be placed on the availability and skill sets of young workers entering the region's workforce. Slow growth can be attributed to factors such as increased automation and higher productivity, but there will be many openings simply due to retirements.

## Automation Exposure by Workforce Development Area



Source: The Future of Employment: How Susceptible are Jobs to Computerisation, C.B. Frey and M.A. Osborne,
September 17, 2013, Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford; OES
Technological advancements are changing the occupational landscape of the nation and Wisconsin is no exception. Developments in the fields of artificial intelligence, the internet of things (ability of electronic devices to communicate with each other), autonomous transportation, and many others are widely expected to have significant impacts on the nature of work, both in terms of the job mix and the skillsets needed to succeed in the labor market. By merging occupational-level probabilities of automation from a 2013 Oxford study with employment data from the Occupational Employment Statistics data set, we are able to estimate the overall level of exposure to automation and compare it across different geographies, which is identified in the chart above.

The graph above shows the overall exposure to future automation for the 11 Workforce Development Regions around Wisconsin. The state as a whole has a higher exposure than the national average, which is directly related to industry/occupation mix prevalent in the state. Wisconsin has one of the highest concentrations of manufacturing jobs in the country. Although a strength, this industry is highly exposed to automation. Transportation and Materials Moving sector, which is linked to manufacturing, finds itself on the cusp of greater automation, especially truck drivers. Agriculture, another major industry in Wisconsin, has already seen a significant amount of automation, which may hint at things to come for other industries.

Further analysis of the interactions between automation and other occupational characteristics yields some interesting conclusions that have broad implications on the labor market. Automation exposure is anticipated to continue contributing to inequality both in terms of wages and education. In other words, automation exposure has a strong tendency to decrease as wages and educational requirements associated with the job increase. Technological advancements can also help mitigate the workforce quantity challenge by enhancing labor productivity, which is essential for continued economic prosperity without increasing labor force. Of note, these developments are also anticipated to accelerate the evolution of workplace skills, which puts additional emphasis on the roles of postsecondary education and upskilling while still on the job.

# Automation Exposure by Occupation Group 

## for West Central WDA

Barron, Chippewa, Clark, Dunn, Eau Claire, Pepin, Pierce, Polk, and St. Croix Counties


Source: The Future of Employment: How Susceptible are Jobs to Computerisation, C.B. Frey and M.A. Osborne,
September 17, 2013, Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford; OES
Above, the various occupation groups are classified by their overall exposure to automation on the left (orange), which is how the graph is ranked. On the right in blue, we see the overall employment in those occupation groups in West Central WDA 8. Those occupations near the bottom of the graph have relatively low levels of automation exposure. The skill sets required to do many of these jobs (e.g. interacting with the environment, creativity, problem solving, and working with others) render them less exposed to automation, at least as technology stands now. Education \& Healthcare, two major areas of employment in this region, fall into this category. The area of concern tends to be those occupations located near the top - occupations that are particularly exposed to future automation trends. Production occupations, for example, also make up a high share of employment. It's important to note that not all occupations in these fields are likely to be automated in the immediate future, it depends largely on skills needed. For example, repetitive occupations that do not require a high degree of manual dexterity, problem solving, creativity, or adaptation are more likely to be automated. The Transportation and Material Moving sector is in a similar situation with the industry moving steadily into self-driving vehicles and highly automated warehouses.
The ability of the workforce to adapt to these rapid changes and the new occupations they will bring will be essential to continued economic progress going forward.

## SECTION VIII - DATA SOURCES \& LIMITATIONS

## Data Sources:

The primary data sources for this report are the U.S. Census and the American Community Survey (ACS), both of which are produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. Additional sources of data include the Wisconsin Department of Administration population estimates and projections, as well as the Wisconsin Realtors Association. Data sources are noted in each table.

## Data Limitations:

The U.S. Census is a count conducted every 10 years while the ACS is a yearly estimate that surveys a sample population. Both sources are self-reported and data produced is not always accurate or consistent. Because it is sample data, the ACS carriers a higher margin of error, particularly in small geographic areas. While there are limitations to the data, they are the best sources available that provide quantitative data for demographics.


[^0]:    Source: U.S. Census and WI DOA Population Projections

[^1]:    Source: U.S. Census and WI DOA Household Projections

[^2]:    Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

[^3]:    Source: U.S. Census 203-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

[^4]:    Source: U.S. Census, decennial and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

[^5]:    How to read: Using 2004, for example, the housing price of single family houses increased 9.59 percent from the previous year as seen in the second column. From 1990 to 2004, housing prices have increased 137.92 percent ( 237.92 minus 100 equals 137.92) as seen in the third column. From 2000 to 2004, housing prices increased 29.09 percent.

[^6]:    Source: U.S. Census 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

[^7]:    Source: U.S. Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 ACS 5 Year Estimates

[^8]:    i. The above affordable price points are calculated based 2.5 times the annual household income, which accounts for the financing of the home purchase over time at about $25 \%$ of the household income. This is less than the $30 \%$ affordability standard discussed previously. The additional 5\% in the Federal standard allows for the payment of all other housing costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities.
    ii. The U.S. Census Bureau provides data for household incomes and house values in ranges. To calculate the "Affordable Owner Range", the household income was multiplied by 2.5. The result did not yield household income ranges that aligned perfectly with the house value ranges; these ranges were matched up as closely as possible.

